**Mean Ratings** 

NC State vs. Peers: Asian

Theme   Measure					
Benchmark: Nature of Work: Research			NC State	Peers	
Research   3.33   3.31	Theme	Measure			Diff <sup>1</sup>
Time spent on research   3.88   3.67	momo				
Expectations for finding external funding					
Influence over focus of research   3.97   4.06   Quality of grad students to support research   3.51   3.20   ↑				3.17	<b>1</b>
Nature of Work:   Support for research   3.22   3.02			3.97	4.06	
Nature of Work:   Support for research   3.22   3.02		Quality of grad students to support research	3.51	3.20	
Support for obtaining grants (pre-award)   3.35   3.30	Nature of Work:			3.02	<u> </u>
Support for obtaining grants (pre-award)   3.35   3.30	Research	Support for engaging undergrads in research	3.60	3.15	
Support for maintaining grants (post-award)   3.02   3.13			3.35	3.30	<u> </u>
Support for securing grad student assistance   3.00   2.98			3.02	3.13	
Support for travel to present/conduct research   3.44   3.33			3.00	2.98	
Availability of course release for research   2.92   2.84			3.44	3.33	
Benchmark: Nature of Work: Service   3.45   3.35			2.92	2.84	
Time spent on service         3.80         3.47         ↑           Support for faculty in leadership roles         3.23         3.06           Number of committees         3.71         3.55           Attractiveness of committees         3.44         3.51           Service         Equitability of committees         3.44         3.51           Service         Equitability of committees         3.44         3.51           Number of student advisees         3.60         3.72           Equitability of service work compensation         2.97         2.76           Relevance of committees         3.69         3.77           Support for being a good advisor         3.31         2.94           Equity of the distribution of advising responsibilities         3.47         3.02           Benchmark: Nature of Work: Teaching         3.82         3.71           Time spent on teaching         3.84         3.82           Number of courses taught         4.16         3.98           Nature of Work:         1.1         3.84         3.82           Level of courses taught         4.19         4.23           Number of students taught         3.66         3.74           Nature of Work:         7         4.19		·	3 45	3.35	
Support for faculty in leadership roles   3.23   3.06					<u></u>
Nature of Work:         Nature of Work:         3.71         3.55           Service         Equitability of committees         3.44         3.51           Service         Equitability of committees         3.39         3.17           Number of student advisees         3.60         3.72           Equitability of service work compensation         2.97         2.76           Relevance of committees         3.69         3.77           Support for being a good advisor         3.31         2.94           Equity of the distribution of advising responsibilities         3.47         3.02           Benchmark: Nature of Work: Teaching         3.82         3.71           Time spent on teaching         3.93         3.80           Number of courses taught         4.16         3.98           Level of courses taught         4.16         3.98           Discretion over course content         4.19         4.23           Number of students taught         3.66         3.74           Nature of Work:         Teaching         3.63         3.27           Quality of students taught         3.40         3.41           Teaching         5.40         3.41         3.42           Level of courses taught         3.63 <td< td=""><td></td><td>·</td><td></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td></td<>		·			<u> </u>
Attractiveness of committees   3.58   3.53					
Nature of Work:         Discretion to choose committees         3.44         3.51           Service         Equitability of committee assignments         3.39         3.17           Number of student advisees         3.60         3.72           Equitability of service work compensation         2.97         2.76           Relevance of committees         3.69         3.77           Support for being a good advisor         3.31         2.94           Equity of the distribution of advising responsibilities         3.47         3.02           Benchmark: Nature of Work: Teaching         3.82         3.71           Time spent on teaching         3.93         3.80           Number of courses taught         4.16         3.98           Discretion over course content         4.19         4.23           Nature of Work:         Quality of students in classes taught         3.66         3.74           Nature of Work:         Quality of students taught         3.40         3.41           Teaching         3.64         3.43         3.27           Quality of students taught         3.6         3.74           Nature of Work:         Quality of students taught         3.6         3.74           Quality of students taught         3.6         3.74<					
Service   Equitability of committee assignments   3.39   3.17     Number of student advisees   3.60   3.72     Equitability of service work compensation   2.97   2.76     Relevance of committees   3.69   3.77     Support for being a good advisor   3.31   2.94     Equity of the distribution of advising responsibilities   3.47   3.02     Benchmark: Nature of Work: Teaching   3.82   3.71     Time spent on teaching   3.93   3.80     Number of courses taught   4.16   3.98     Level of courses taught   4.16   3.98     Discretion over course content   4.19   4.23     Nature of Work: Teaching   3.66   3.74     Nature of Work: Teaching   3.66   3.74     Nature of Work: Teaching   3.60   3.74     Teaching   Equitability of distribution of teaching load   3.33   3.27     Quality of students to support teaching   3.64   3.48     Teaching schedule   4.12   3.92     Support for teaching diverse learning styles   3.76   3.54     Support for teaching online/hybrid courses   3.75   3.52     Support for developing online/hybrid courses   3.75   3.52     Support for teaching online/hybrid courses   3.61   3.35   ↑	Nature of Work				
Number of student advisees   3.60   3.72					
Equitability of service work compensation   2.97   2.76     Relevance of committees   3.69   3.77     Support for being a good advisor   3.31   2.94     Equity of the distribution of advising responsibilities   3.47   3.02     Benchmark: Nature of Work: Teaching   3.82   3.71     Time spent on teaching   3.93   3.80     Number of courses taught   4.16   3.98     Level of courses taught   4.16   3.98     Discretion over course content   4.19   4.23     Number of students in classes taught   3.66   3.74     Nature of Work:   Quality of students taught   3.40   3.41     Teaching   Equitability of distribution of teaching load   3.33   3.27     Quality of grad students to support teaching   3.64   3.48     Teaching schedule   4.12   3.92     Support for teaching diverse learning styles   3.76   3.54     Support for developing online/hybrid courses   3.75   3.52     Support for teaching online/hybrid courses   3.75   3.52     Support for teaching online/hybrid courses   3.68   3.54     Time spent on outreach   3.73   3.63     Other Work Activities   Time spent on administrative tasks   3.05   3.09     Ability to balance teaching/research/service   3.61   3.35   ↑     Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources   3.59   3.52     Support for improving teaching   3.50   3.36     Office   3.34   3.68     Laboratory, research, studio space   3.13   3.45   ↓     Facilities and Work Resources   3.69   3.40   ↑     Library resources   4.37   3.71   ↑     Computing and technical support   3.63   3.66	0011100				
Relevance of committees   3.69   3.77					
Support for being a good advisor   Equity of the distribution of advising responsibilities   3.47   3.02					
Equity of the distribution of advising responsibilities   3.47   3.02					
Benchmark: Nature of Work: Teaching   3.82   3.71					
Time spent on teaching   3.93   3.80					
Number of courses taught   Level of courses taught   Level of courses taught   Level of courses taught   Level of courses taught   4.16   3.98					
Level of courses taught					
Discretion over course content   4.19   4.23     Number of students in classes taught   3.66   3.74     Nature of Work:   Quality of students taught   3.40   3.41     Teaching   Equitability of distribution of teaching load   3.33   3.27     Quality of grad students to support teaching   3.64   3.48     Teaching schedule   4.12   3.92     Support for teaching diverse learning styles   3.76   3.54     Support for developing online/hybrid courses   3.75   3.52     Support for developing online/hybrid courses   3.68   3.54     Time spent on administrative tasks   3.05   3.09     Ability to balance teaching/research/service   3.61   3.35   ↑     Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources   3.13   3.45   ↓     Facilities and Work Resources   3.61   3.47     Classrooms   3.69   3.40   ↑     Library resources   4.37   3.71   ↑     Computing and technical support   3.63   3.66					
Nature of Work:         Quality of students taught         3.66         3.74           Teaching         Equitability of distribution of teaching load         3.33         3.27           Quality of grad students to support teaching         3.64         3.48           Teaching schedule         4.12         3.92           Support for teaching diverse learning styles         3.76         3.54           Support for developing online/hybrid courses         3.75         3.52           Support for teaching online/hybrid courses         3.68         3.54           Time spent on outreach         3.73         3.63           Other Work Activities         Time spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47         ↓           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Equipment         3.63         3.66					
Nature of Work:         Quality of students taught         3.40         3.41           Teaching         Equitability of distribution of teaching load         3.33         3.27           Quality of grad students to support teaching         3.64         3.48           Teaching schedule         4.12         3.92           Support for teaching diverse learning styles         3.76         3.54           Support for developing online/hybrid courses         3.73         3.68           Support for teaching online/hybrid courses         3.68         3.54           Time spent on outreach         3.73         3.63           Other Work Activities         Time spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         4.37         3.71         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑					
Teaching         Equitability of distribution of teaching load         3.33         3.27           Quality of grad students to support teaching         3.64         3.48           Teaching schedule         4.12         3.92           Support for teaching diverse learning styles         3.76         3.54           Support for assessing student learning         3.74         3.68           Support for developing online/hybrid courses         3.75         3.52           Support for teaching online/hybrid courses         3.68         3.54           Time spent on outreach         3.73         3.63           Other Work Activities         Time spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑      <	Noture of Works				
Quality of grad students to support teaching         3.64         3.48           Teaching schedule         4.12         3.92           Support for teaching diverse learning styles         3.76         3.54           Support for assessing student learning         3.74         3.68           Support for developing online/hybrid courses         3.75         3.52           Support for teaching online/hybrid courses         3.68         3.54           Time spent on outreach         3.73         3.63           Other Work Activities         7 ime spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑           Computing and technical support         3.63         3.66					
Teaching schedule         4.12         3.92           Support for teaching diverse learning styles         3.76         3.54           Support for teaching online/hybrid courses         3.74         3.68           Support for developing online/hybrid courses         3.68         3.54           Time spent on outreach         3.73         3.63           Time spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑           Computing and technical support         3.63         3.66	reacring				
Support for teaching diverse learning styles         3.76         3.54           Support for assessing student learning         3.74         3.68           Support for developing online/hybrid courses         3.75         3.52           Support for teaching online/hybrid courses         3.68         3.54           Time spent on outreach         3.73         3.63           Other Work Activities         Time spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑           Computing and technical support         3.63         3.66					
Support for assessing student learning         3.74         3.68           Support for developing online/hybrid courses         3.75         3.52           Support for teaching online/hybrid courses         3.68         3.54           Time spent on outreach         3.73         3.63           Time spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑           Computing and technical support         3.63         3.66					
Support for developing online/hybrid courses         3.75         3.52           Support for teaching online/hybrid courses         3.68         3.54           Time spent on outreach         3.73         3.63           Time spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑           Computing and technical support         3.63         3.66					
Support for teaching online/hybrid courses         3.68         3.54           Time spent on outreach         3.73         3.63           Other Work Activities         Time spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑           Computing and technical support         3.63         3.66					
Time spent on outreach         3.73         3.63           Other Work Activities         Time spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑           Computing and technical support         3.63         3.66					
Other Work Activities         Time spent on administrative tasks         3.05         3.09           Ability to balance teaching/research/service         3.61         3.35         ↑           Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         3.59         3.52           Support for improving teaching         3.50         3.36           Office         3.48         3.68           Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑           Computing and technical support         3.63         3.66					
Ability to balance teaching/research/service       3.61       3.35       ↑         Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources       3.59       3.52         Support for improving teaching       3.50       3.36         Office       3.48       3.68         Laboratory, research, studio space       3.13       3.45       ↓         Equipment       3.61       3.47         Classrooms       3.69       3.40       ↑         Library resources       4.37       3.71       ↑         Computing and technical support       3.63       3.66	Other Work Activities				
Benchmark: Facilities and Work Resources         Support for improving teaching       3.50       3.36         Office       3.48       3.68         Laboratory, research, studio space       3.13       3.45       ↓         Equipment       3.61       3.47         Classrooms       3.69       3.40       ↑         Library resources       4.37       3.71       ↑         Computing and technical support       3.63       3.66	Other Work Activities				
Support for improving teaching       3.50       3.36         Office       3.48       3.68         Laboratory, research, studio space       3.13       3.45       ↓         Equipment       3.61       3.47         Classrooms       3.69       3.40       ↑         Library resources       4.37       3.71       ↑         Computing and technical support       3.63       3.66		·			
Office       3.48       3.68         Laboratory, research, studio space       3.13       3.45       ↓         Equipment       3.61       3.47         Classrooms       3.69       3.40       ↑         Library resources       4.37       3.71       ↑         Computing and technical support       3.63       3.66					
Laboratory, research, studio space         3.13         3.45         ↓           Resources         Equipment         3.61         3.47           Classrooms         3.69         3.40         ↑           Library resources         4.37         3.71         ↑           Computing and technical support         3.63         3.66					
Equipment   3.61   3.47     Classrooms   3.69   3.40   ↑     Library resources   4.37   3.71   ↑     Computing and technical support   3.63   3.66					
Resources       Classrooms       3.69       3.40       ↑         Library resources       4.37       3.71       ↑         Computing and technical support       3.63       3.66	Facilities and Work				<u> </u>
Classiforms       3.69       3.40                 Library resources       4.37       3.71       ↑         Computing and technical support       3.63       3.66					
Computing and technical support 3.63 3.66	resources				
		•			
Clerical/administrative support 3.13 3.30		·			
		Clerical/administrative support	3.13	3.30	

**Mean Ratings** 

NC State vs. Peers: Asian

		NO Ctata	D	
Theme	Measure	NC State Asian	Peers Asian	Diff <sup>1</sup>
THEITIE	Benchmark: Personal and Family Policies	3.10	3.10	יוווט י
	Right balance between professional/personal	3.33	3.17	
	Inst. supports family/career compatibility	3.40	3.15	<b>↑</b>
	Housing benefits	2.12	2.12	
	Tuition waivers, remission, or exchange	2.50	3.16	ı
Personal and Family				<b>+</b>
Policies	Spousal/partner hiring program	2.65	2.89	
r olicies	Childcare	2.24	2.72	
	Eldercare Family medical/acceptal leave	2.73	2.77	
	Family medical/parental leave Flexible workload/modified duties	3.38 3.74	3.50	
			3.66	
	Stop-the-clock policies (pre-tenured only)	3.83 3.20	3.83 2.71	•
	Parking benefits  Benchmark: Health and Retirement Benefits			
		3.09	3.47	
Health and	Health benefits for yourself	3.22	3.55	
Retirement Benefits	Health benefits for family	2.51	3.49	
	Retirement benefits	3.23	3.49	
0.1.	Phased retirement options	3.60	3.17	T
Salary	Salary	2.48	2.77	
	Benchmark: Interdisciplinary Work	3.22	2.97	<u> </u>
	Budgets encourage interdiscip. work	3.04	2.96	
Later ParkaPara	Facilities conducive to interdiscip. work	3.16	3.06	
Interdisciplinary	Interdiscip. work is rewarded in merit	3.24	2.78	<u> </u>
Work	Interdiscip. work is rewarded in promotion	3.16	2.75	<u> </u>
	Interdiscip. work is rewarded in tenure	3.25	3.06	
	Interdiscip. work is rewarded in reappointment	3.00	2.78	
	Dept. knows how to evaluate interdiscip. work	3.10	3.05	
	Benchmark: Collaboration	3.82	3.67	
Collaboration	Opportunities for collab. within dept	3.88	3.71	
	Opportunities for collab. outside inst	3.84	3.74	
	Opportunities for collab. outside dept	3.73	3.55	
	Benchmark: Mentoring	3.58	3.38	
	Effectiveness of mentoring within dept.	4.26	3.99	T
	Effectiveness of mentoring outside dept.	3.92	3.76	
	Mentoring of pre-tenure faculty in dept (tenure track only)	3.73	3.54	
	Mentoring of tenured associate profs in dept (tenured only)	3.29	2.68	<u> </u>
	Mentoring of PrfTr faculty in dept (PrfTr only)	2.38	2.59	
Mentoring	Support for faculty to be good mentors (tenured and PrfTr only)	2.91	2.64	T
•	Related items (not included in benchmark)	4.40	4.40	
	Being a mentor is fulfilling	4.48	4.16	T
	Importance of mentoring within dept.	4.47	4.45	
	Importance of mentoring outside dept.	3.95	3.93	
	Importance of mentoring outside inst.	3.96	3.94	
	Effectiveness of mentoring outside the inst.	4.11	3.89	
	Interest in interdisciplinary work	3.82	3.72	
	Benchmark: Tenure Policies	3.62	3.88	<b></b>
	Clarity of tenure process	3.86	3.95	
Tanama Dallatas /	Clarity of tenure criteria	3.79	3.92	
	Clarity of tenure standards	3.43	3.65	,
tenured only)	Clarity of body of evidence for deciding tenure	3.69	4.04	<u></u>
	Clarity of whether I will achieve tenure	3.54	3.81	<u></u>
	Consistency of messages about tenure	3.21	3.51	<u></u>
	Tenure decisions are performance-based	3.86	4.30	<b></b>

NC State vs. Peers: Asian

(1 = low, 5 = high)NC State **Peers** Asian Asian Diff <sup>1</sup>

Theme	Measure	Asian	Asian	Diff <sup>1</sup>
Tenure Clarity (pre- tenured only)	Benchmark: Tenure Expectations: Clarity	3.52	3.63	
	Clarity of expectations: Scholar	3.93	4.22	$\downarrow$
	Clarity of expectations: Teacher	4.21	4.07	
	Clarity of expectations: Advisor	3.86	3.75	
terialed offig)	Clarity of expectations: Colleague	3.21	3.32	
	Clarity of expectations: Campus citizen	2.79	3.17	$\downarrow$
	Clarity of expectations: Broader community	3.07	3.26	
	Benchmark: Promotion to Full	4.02	3.78	
	Dept. culture encourages promotion	4.22	3.71	<u> </u>
	Reasonable expectations: Promotion	4.09	3.81	<u> </u>
Promotion (tenured	Clarity of promotion process	4.17	4.07	
only)	Clarity of promotion criteria	4.06	3.94	
O(IIIy)	Clarity of promotion standards	3.94	3.72	
	Clarity of body of evidence for promotion	4.11	3.90	
	Clarity of time frame for promotion	3.83	3.50	<u> </u>
	Clarity of whether I will be promoted	3.20	3.33	
	Clarity of departmental contract renewal process for prf track fac	3.00	3.41	$\downarrow$
Contract Renewal	Clarity of departmental contract renewal criteria for prf track fac	3.33	3.20	
Clarity (professional	Clarity of departmental contract renewal standards for prof track fac	3.11	2.95	
track only)	Clarity of body of evidence for deciding contract renewal of prf track fac	3.11	3.10	
	Sense of contract renewal	3.22	3.41	
Clarity of Dramation	Clarity of promotion process for prf track fac	2.12	3.06	
Clarity of Promotion Process	Clarity of critieria for promotion for prf track fac	2.38	3.11	<u> </u>
(professional track	Clarity of promotion standards for prf track fac	2.62	2.92	Ţ
**	Clarity of body of evidence for promotion decisions for prf track fac	2.50	3.00	$\overline{}$
only)	Sense of promotion	2.38	3.19	$\overline{}$
	Benchmark: Leadership: Senior	3.25	2.94	<u></u>
	Pres/Chancellor: Pace of decision making	3.39	2.89	<u></u>
	Pres/Chancellor: Stated priorities	3.41	2.92	<u></u>
Leadership: Senior	Pres/Chancellor: Communication of priorities	3.22	2.83	<u> </u>
'	CAO: Pace of decision making	3.28	2.98	
	CAO: Stated priorities	3.20	3.03	
	CAO: Communication of priorities	3.13	2.96	
	Benchmark: Leadership: Divisional	3.45	3.27	
	Dean: Pace of decision making	3.44	3.30	
Leadership:	Dean: Stated priorities	3.57	3.29	<b>↑</b>
Divisional	Dean: Communication of priorities	3.50	3.29	
	·	3.30	3.23	
	Dean: Ensuring faculty input  Benchmark: Leadership: Departmental	3.30	3.76	
	Head/Chair: Pace of decision making	3.77	3.74	
Loodorobine	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.77	3.67	
Leadership:	Head/Chair: Stated priorities			
Departmental	Head/Chair: Communication of priorities	3.75	3.76	
	Head/Chair: Ensuring faculty input	3.68	3.78	
	Head/Chair: Fairness in evaluating work  Benchmark: Leadership: Faculty	3.85	3.85	
		3.44	3.22	
Leadership: Faculty	Faculty leaders: Pace of decision making	3.39	3.15	
	Faculty leaders: Stated priorities	3.39	3.24	
	Faculty leaders: Communication of priorities	3.46	3.19	
	Faculty leaders: Ensuring faculty input	3.48	3.31	

**Mean Ratings** 

NC State vs. Peers: Asian

		NC State	Peers	
Theme	Measure	Asian	Asian	Diff <sup>1</sup>
	Priorities are stated consistently	3.52	3.04	<u></u>
	Priorities are acted on consistently	3.19	2.87	
Leadership:	Changed priorities negatively affect my work	3.20	2.72	
Institution-wide	Dean: Support in adapting to change	3.06	2.73	
	Head/Chair: Support in adapting to change	3.88	3.33	
	Visible leadership for support of diversity	3.96	3.93	
	Benchmark: Departmental Collegiality	3.93	3.81	
Departmental Collegiality	Colleagues support work/life balance	3.73	3.79	
	Meeting times compatible with personal needs	3.86	4.04	
	Amount of personal interaction w/Pre-tenure	3.88	3.68	
	How well you fit	3.78	3.56	
Collegiality	Amount of personal interaction w/Tenured	3.86	3.59	
	Amount of personal interaction w/PrfTr	3.73	3.53	
Departmental Engagement	Colleagues pitch in when needed	4.10	3.91	
	Department is collegial Colleagues committed to diversity/inclusion	4.24 4.14	4.05 3.99	
	·			
	Benchmark: Departmental Engagement	3.71	3.50	
	Discussions of undergrad student learning	3.66	3.61	
	Discussions of grad student learning Discussions of effective teaching practices	3.70 3.64	3.49 3.45	
Departmental	Discussions of effective use of technology	3.61	3.32	<b></b>
Engagement	Discussions of current research methods	3.63	3.28	<u> </u>
	Amount of professional interaction w/Pre-tenure	3.91	3.69	
	•	3.88	3.65	
	Amount of professional interaction w/Tenured			
	Amount of professional interaction w/PrfTr	3.77	3.64	
	Benchmark: Departmental Quality	3.86	3.70	
	Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty	4.00	3.81	
	Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty	4.04	4.07	
	Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty	3.91	3.91	
	Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty	3.96	4.09	
Departmental Quality	Intellectual vitality of PrfTr faculty	4.04	3.75	<u> </u>
Departmental Quality	Scholarly productivity of FTTT faculty	3.73	3.75	
	Teaching effectiveness of tenured faculty	3.98	3.81	
	Teaching effectiveness of pre-tenure faculty	4.00	3.99	
	Teaching effectiveness of PrfTr faculty	4.05 3.67	4.02	
	Dept. is successful at faculty recruitment (tenured and PrfTr only)  Dept. is successful at faculty retention (tenured and PrfTr only)	3.58	3.54	
	Dept. addresses sub-standard performance	3.24	2.86	<u> </u>
	Benchmark: Appreciation and Recognition	3.60	3.28	
	Recognition: For teaching	3.51	3.33	ı
	Recognition: For advising	3.70	3.16	
	Recognition: For scholarship	3.61	3.35	<u></u>
	Recognition: For service	3.56	3.15	<u></u>
Appreciation and Recognition	Recognition: For outreach	3.54	3.22	<b>↑</b>
	Recognition: From colleagues	3.90	3.64	<b>↑</b>
	Recognition: From CAO (tenured only)	3.44	2.87	1
	Recognition: From Dean (tenured only)	3.64	3.10	<u> </u>
	Recognition: From Head/Chair	3.68	3.60	
	School/college is valued by Pres/Provost (tenured only)	3.83	3.19	
	Dept. is valued by Pres/Provost (tenured only)	3.63	2.94	<u> </u>
	CAO cares about faculty of my rank	3.36	2.93	$\uparrow$

**Mean Ratings** 

NC State vs. Peers: Asian

Theme	Measure	NC State Asian	Peers Asian	Diff <sup>1</sup>
	Benchmark: Governance: Trust	3.14	3.01	
	I understand how to voice opinions about policies	2.94	2.92	
Governance: Trust	Clear rules about the roles of faculty and administration	3.26	3.10	
Governance: Tract	Faculty and admin follow rules of engagement	3.42	3.07	1
	Faculty and admin have an open system of communication	3.23	2.96	<u> </u>
	Faculty and admin discuss difficult issues in good faith	3.38	3.14	
	Benchmark: Governance: Shared Sense of Purpose	3.08	3.04	
Governance: Shared	Important decisions are not made until there is consensus	2.71	2.70	
Sense of Purpose	Admin ensures sufficient time for faculty input	3.09	2.87	
Selise of Pulpose	Faculty and admin respectfully consider the other's view	3.24	3.11	
	Faculty and admin have a shared sense of responsibility	3.51	3.31	
	Benchmark: Governance: Understanding the Issue at Hand	3.14	2.92	
Governance:	Faculty governance structures offer opportunities for input	3.15	2.99	
Understanding the	Admin communicate rationale for important decisions	3.20	2.84	
Issue at Hand	Faculty and admin have equal say in decisions	3.03	2.74	<u></u>
	Faculty and admin define decision criteria together	3.39	2.98	<u> </u>
	Benchmark: Governance: Adaptability	3.07	2.91	
Governance:	Shared governance holds up in unusual circumstances	3.04	2.93	
Adaptability	Institution regularly reviews effectiveness of governance	2.98	2.74	
	Institution cultivates new faculty leaders	3.23	3.00	
Governance: Productivity	Benchmark: Governance: Productivity	3.12	3.04	
	Overall effectiveness of shared governance	3.14	2.92	
	My committees make measureable progress towards goals	3.38	3.28	
	Public recognition of progress	3.05	2.95	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arrows indicate mean ratings that differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that the mean rating of the group in the first column is notably higher than that of the group in the second column, whereas down arrows indicate that the mean of the group in the first column is lower than that of the group in the second column. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.