D1a: NCSU Supportiveness: African American/Black students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	31.9%	47.3%	7.7%	8.8%	4.4%	91
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	23.5%	52.9%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.DVM	4.0	32.9%	46.6%	8.2%	8.2%	4.1%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.1	45.5%	36.4%	.	18.2%	.	11
.....Female	3.9	31.2%	48.1%	9.1%	6.5%	5.2%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.5	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	23.8%	4.8%	21
...Asian	4.0	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.0	31.4%	52.9%	5.9%	3.9%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.4	36.4%	63.6%	.	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.9	31.8%	46.6%	8.0%	9.1%	4.5%	88
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.6	35.3%	29.4%	5.9%	17.6%	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	4.0	27.9%	53.5%	11.6%	7.0%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	38.1%	47.6%	4.8%	9.5%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.7	30.8%	38.5%	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.0	33.3%	48.5%	9.1%	7.6%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 1

D1b: NCSU Supportiveness: Asian/Pacific Islander students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.8	27.8%	41.1%	21.1%	7.8%	2.2%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	29.4%	35.3%	29.4%	.	5.9%	17
.DVM	3.8	26.4%	43.1%	19.4%	9.7%	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.2	54.5%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	3.8	25.0%	43.4%	22.4%	6.6%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity*							
....URM	3.4	19.0%	28.6%	23.8%	28.6%	.	21
...Asian	3.4	.	62.5%	25.0%	.	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	4.1	36.0%	42.0%	20.0%	.	2.0%	50
.Multiple races/unknown	3.9	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.8	27.6%	41.4%	20.7%	8.0%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.5	23.5%	29.4%	29.4%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.0	26.2%	50.0%	16.7%	7.1%	.	42
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	38.1%	33.3%	23.8%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student*							
.....First generation student	3.5	7.7%	61.5%	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.0	33.8%	36.9%	24.6%	3.1%	1.5%	65

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 2

D1c: NCSU Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino/Latinx students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	29.7%	44.0%	15.4%	7.7%	3.3%	91
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	29.4%	29.4%	35.3%	.	5.9%	17
.DVM	3.9	28.8%	47.9%	11.0%	9.6%	2.7%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.2	45.5%	36.4%	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	3.9	28.6%	44.2%	16.9%	6.5%	3.9%	77
Race/Ethnicity*							
....URM	3.5	23.8%	38.1%	9.5%	23.8%	4.8%	21
...Asian	3.1	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	.	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	4.1	31.4%	52.9%	11.8%	2.0%	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.2	45.5%	36.4%	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.9	29.5%	44.3%	14.8%	8.0%	3.4%	88
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.6	29.4%	35.3%	11.8%	17.6%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	3.9	27.9%	46.5%	16.3%	9.3%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	33.3%	47.6%	14.3%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.5	15.4%	46.2%	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.0	33.3%	43.9%	15.2%	6.1%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 3

D1d: NCSU Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.6	24.2%	31.9%	29.7%	11.0%	3.3%	91
Class							
...Masters	4.0	.	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.6	23.5%	29.4%	35.3%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.DVM	3.6	24.7%	31.5%	28.8%	12.3%	2.7%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	3.9	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	.	11
.....Female	3.6	23.4%	29.9%	33.8%	9.1%	3.9%	77
Race/Ethnicity*							
....URM	3.4	19.0%	23.8%	33.3%	23.8%	.	21
...Asian	3.0	.	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	3.9	29.4%	35.3%	31.4%	.	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.5	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%	36.4%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.6	25.0%	30.7%	29.5%	11.4%	3.4%	88
.....International student	3.7	.	66.7%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.3	17.6%	23.5%	35.3%	17.6%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	3.8	25.6%	32.6%	34.9%	7.0%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.8	28.6%	38.1%	23.8%	4.8%	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.3	7.7%	46.2%	23.1%	15.4%	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	3.8	28.8%	28.8%	33.3%	7.6%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 4

D1e: NCSU Supportiveness: White students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.6	72.5%	16.5%	6.6%	4.4%	91
Class						
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.6	64.7%	29.4%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	4.6	74.0%	13.7%	6.8%	5.5%	73
Gender ID						
.....Male	4.5	72.7%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	11
.....Female	4.6	74.0%	15.6%	6.5%	3.9%	77
Race/Ethnicity						
....URM	4.8	76.2%	23.8%	.	.	21
...Asian	4.9	87.5%	12.5%	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.5	68.6%	15.7%	9.8%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.5	72.7%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	11
Residency						
.....U.S. resident	4.6	73.9%	14.8%	6.8%	4.5%	88
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.4	58.8%	23.5%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.6	74.4%	11.6%	9.3%	4.7%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.7	76.2%	19.0%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student						
.....First generation student	4.7	69.2%	30.8%	.	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.5	72.7%	12.1%	9.1%	6.1%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 5

D1f: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.6	24.2%	34.1%	25.3%	13.2%	3.3%	91
Class							
...Masters	4.0	.	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.5	23.5%	35.3%	17.6%	11.8%	11.8%	17
.DVM	3.7	24.7%	32.9%	27.4%	13.7%	1.4%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	3.7	36.4%	27.3%	9.1%	27.3%	.	11
.....Female	3.6	23.4%	33.8%	28.6%	10.4%	3.9%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.2	14.3%	23.8%	28.6%	33.3%	.	21
...Asian	3.0	.	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	3.9	31.4%	39.2%	19.6%	5.9%	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.7	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.6	25.0%	33.0%	25.0%	13.6%	3.4%	88
.....International student	3.7	.	66.7%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.2	23.5%	23.5%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	3.7	20.9%	37.2%	27.9%	14.0%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.9	33.3%	33.3%	23.8%	4.8%	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.0	7.7%	23.1%	38.5%	23.1%	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	3.8	28.8%	34.8%	22.7%	10.6%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 6

D1g: NCSU Supportiveness: International students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	37.1%	42.7%	12.4%	4.5%	3.4%	89
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.6	29.4%	35.3%	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%	17
.DVM	4.2	38.0%	45.1%	12.7%	2.8%	1.4%	71
Gender ID							
.....Male	3.9	45.5%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	11
.....Female	4.1	36.8%	43.4%	13.2%	3.9%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.9	31.6%	31.6%	31.6%	5.3%	.	19
...Asian	4.0	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	4.2	39.2%	49.0%	5.9%	2.0%	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.8	27.3%	45.5%	9.1%	18.2%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.0	36.0%	43.0%	12.8%	4.7%	3.5%	86
.....International student	4.7	66.7%	33.3%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.8	37.5%	25.0%	18.8%	12.5%	6.3%	16
.....Middle class	4.2	37.2%	48.8%	11.6%	2.3%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	38.1%	42.9%	9.5%	.	9.5%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.9	33.3%	41.7%	16.7%	.	8.3%	12
.....Not first generation	4.1	39.4%	40.9%	12.1%	4.5%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 7

D1h: NCSU Supportiveness: Female students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	45.6%	45.6%	5.6%	1.1%	2.2%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.0	41.2%	35.3%	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.DVM	4.4	45.8%	48.6%	4.2%	.	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.5	54.5%	45.5%	.	.	.	11
.....Female	4.3	46.1%	43.4%	6.6%	1.3%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.4	45.0%	50.0%	5.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	4.0	37.5%	50.0%	.	.	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	4.3	47.1%	41.2%	7.8%	2.0%	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.5	45.5%	54.5%	.	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.3	44.8%	46.0%	5.7%	1.1%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.7	66.7%	33.3%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.1	41.2%	41.2%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.4	53.5%	37.2%	9.3%	.	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	38.1%	57.1%	.	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.2	38.5%	53.8%	.	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.3	50.0%	39.4%	7.6%	1.5%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 8

D1i: NCSU Supportiveness: Male students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.5	71.1%	15.6%	8.9%	4.4%	90
Class						
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.5	64.7%	23.5%	11.8%	.	17
.DVM	4.5	72.2%	13.9%	8.3%	5.6%	72
Gender ID						
.....Male	4.5	72.7%	18.2%	.	9.1%	11
.....Female	4.5	72.4%	13.2%	10.5%	3.9%	76
Race/Ethnicity						
....URM	4.7	70.0%	25.0%	5.0%	.	20
...Asian	4.5	75.0%	.	25.0%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.5	72.5%	13.7%	7.8%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.4	63.6%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	11
Residency						
.....U.S. resident	4.6	72.4%	14.9%	8.0%	4.6%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	3
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.4	64.7%	17.6%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.5	72.1%	9.3%	14.0%	4.7%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.6	66.7%	28.6%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student						
.....First generation student	4.3	53.8%	23.1%	23.1%	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.5	72.7%	13.6%	7.6%	6.1%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 9

D1j: NCSU Supportiveness: Transgender students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.7	27.8%	33.3%	25.6%	6.7%	6.7%	90
Class							
...Masters	4.0	.	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.5	11.8%	52.9%	23.5%	.	11.8%	17
.DVM	3.7	31.9%	27.8%	26.4%	8.3%	5.6%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.1	36.4%	36.4%	27.3%	.	.	11
.....Female	3.7	27.6%	31.6%	26.3%	7.9%	6.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.5	20.0%	35.0%	25.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20
...Asian	3.5	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	3.9	31.4%	37.3%	23.5%	3.9%	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.4	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	9.1%	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.7	28.7%	31.0%	26.4%	6.9%	6.9%	87
.....International student	4.0	.	100.0%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.4	35.3%	17.6%	17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	17
.....Middle class	3.7	23.3%	37.2%	32.6%	4.7%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.9	28.6%	42.9%	23.8%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.5	38.5%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	13
.....Not first generation	3.8	25.8%	37.9%	28.8%	3.0%	4.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 10

D1k: NCSU Supportiveness: Gay, lesbian, bisexual students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	37.8%	44.4%	8.9%	4.4%	4.4%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.7	29.4%	41.2%	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	17
.DVM	4.1	38.9%	45.8%	8.3%	4.2%	2.8%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.6	72.7%	18.2%	9.1%	.	.	11
.....Female	4.0	34.2%	47.4%	9.2%	5.3%	3.9%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.8	35.0%	35.0%	10.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
...Asian	3.6	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	4.2	39.2%	49.0%	7.8%	.	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.3	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.1	37.9%	43.7%	9.2%	4.6%	4.6%	87
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background*							
.....Poor/Working class	3.5	35.3%	29.4%	.	17.6%	17.6%	17
.....Middle class	4.2	37.2%	46.5%	14.0%	2.3%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	38.1%	52.4%	4.8%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.6	38.5%	30.8%	.	15.4%	15.4%	13
.....Not first generation	4.1	37.9%	45.5%	10.6%	3.0%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 11

D1I: NCSU Supportiveness: Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	56.7%	26.7%	13.3%	1.1%	2.2%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.4	52.9%	35.3%	11.8%	.	.	17
.DVM	4.3	56.9%	25.0%	13.9%	1.4%	2.8%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.5	63.6%	27.3%	.	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.3	56.6%	25.0%	15.8%	.	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.3	45.0%	40.0%	15.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	4.4	62.5%	12.5%	25.0%	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.3	56.9%	27.5%	11.8%	.	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.5	72.7%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.4	57.5%	26.4%	12.6%	1.1%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.1	41.2%	35.3%	17.6%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.4	60.5%	23.3%	14.0%	2.3%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	57.1%	28.6%	9.5%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.4	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%	.	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.3	56.1%	25.8%	13.6%	1.5%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 12

D1m: NCSU Supportiveness: Jewish students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.8	26.7%	33.3%	32.2%	6.7%	1.1%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.7	23.5%	35.3%	29.4%	11.8%	.	17
.DVM	3.8	26.4%	33.3%	33.3%	5.6%	1.4%	72
Gender ID*							
.....Male	4.2	54.5%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	3.8	23.7%	34.2%	35.5%	6.6%	.	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.6	20.0%	30.0%	45.0%	.	5.0%	20
...Asian	3.1	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	3.9	31.4%	35.3%	29.4%	3.9%	.	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.8	27.3%	45.5%	9.1%	18.2%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.8	26.4%	33.3%	32.2%	6.9%	1.1%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.6	29.4%	17.6%	47.1%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	3.9	25.6%	39.5%	30.2%	4.7%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.8	28.6%	33.3%	28.6%	9.5%	.	21
First Generation College Student*							
.....First generation student	3.5	30.8%	7.7%	53.8%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	3.8	27.3%	36.4%	30.3%	6.1%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 13

D1n: NCSU Supportiveness: Muslim students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.7	26.7%	34.4%	25.6%	6.7%	6.7%	90
Class							
...Masters	4.0	.	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.5	23.5%	41.2%	11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	17
.DVM	3.7	27.8%	31.9%	29.2%	6.9%	4.2%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	3.9	36.4%	36.4%	18.2%	.	9.1%	11
.....Female	3.7	26.3%	32.9%	27.6%	7.9%	5.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.3	15.0%	25.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20
...Asian	3.4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	3.8	31.4%	37.3%	21.6%	3.9%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.9	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.7	27.6%	32.2%	26.4%	6.9%	6.9%	87
.....International student	4.0	.	100.0%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.3	29.4%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%	17.6%	17
.....Middle class	3.8	20.9%	44.2%	27.9%	4.7%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.8	33.3%	28.6%	28.6%	.	9.5%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.1	15.4%	15.4%	46.2%	7.7%	15.4%	13
.....Not first generation	3.8	28.8%	37.9%	24.2%	4.5%	4.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 14

D1o: NCSU Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.8	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	5.6%	4.4%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	23.5%	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	3.7	30.6%	29.2%	29.2%	5.6%	5.6%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.1	45.5%	18.2%	36.4%	.	.	11
.....Female	3.7	28.9%	30.3%	30.3%	6.6%	3.9%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.6	25.0%	15.0%	55.0%	.	5.0%	20
...Asian	3.6	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	3.8	31.4%	35.3%	23.5%	3.9%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.9	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.7	29.9%	29.9%	29.9%	5.7%	4.6%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.5	29.4%	17.6%	41.2%	.	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	3.8	27.9%	30.2%	34.9%	4.7%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.9	33.3%	33.3%	23.8%	4.8%	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.2	23.1%	7.7%	46.2%	7.7%	15.4%	13
.....Not first generation	3.9	31.8%	31.8%	30.3%	3.0%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 15

D1p: NCSU Supportiveness: Atheist, agnostic or nonreligious students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.8	30.0%	27.8%	35.6%	3.3%	3.3%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.6	23.5%	23.5%	47.1%	.	5.9%	17
.DVM	3.8	30.6%	29.2%	33.3%	4.2%	2.8%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.2	54.5%	9.1%	36.4%	.	.	11
.....Female	3.7	27.6%	28.9%	35.5%	3.9%	3.9%	76
Race/Ethnicity*							
....URM	3.7	25.0%	15.0%	60.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	3.1	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	.	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	4.0	35.3%	35.3%	23.5%	2.0%	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.6	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.8	29.9%	27.6%	35.6%	3.4%	3.4%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.6	29.4%	17.6%	41.2%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	3.8	27.9%	27.9%	39.5%	2.3%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	33.3%	38.1%	23.8%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.3	23.1%	7.7%	53.8%	7.7%	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	3.9	31.8%	31.8%	31.8%	1.5%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 16

D1q: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with disabilities	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.2	45.6%	40.0%	6.7%	5.6%	2.2%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	29.4%	47.1%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.DVM	4.3	48.6%	38.9%	6.9%	4.2%	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.2	63.6%	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	.	11
.....Female	4.3	44.7%	43.4%	6.6%	2.6%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.2	40.0%	40.0%	15.0%	5.0%	.	20
...Asian	4.1	37.5%	50.0%	.	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.2	49.0%	37.3%	5.9%	3.9%	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.3	45.5%	45.5%	.	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.2	46.0%	39.1%	6.9%	5.7%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background*							
.....Poor/Working class	3.8	41.2%	23.5%	17.6%	5.9%	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	4.3	41.9%	48.8%	4.7%	4.7%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.4	61.9%	28.6%	.	9.5%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.8	30.8%	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.3	51.5%	36.4%	4.5%	6.1%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 17

D1r: NCSU Supportiveness: Poor/working class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.8	36.7%	30.0%	20.0%	6.7%	6.7%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.3	17.6%	29.4%	29.4%	11.8%	11.8%	17
.DVM	3.9	40.3%	30.6%	18.1%	5.6%	5.6%	72
Gender ID*							
.....Male	3.9	63.6%	9.1%	.	9.1%	18.2%	11
.....Female	3.9	34.2%	32.9%	23.7%	5.3%	3.9%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.6	35.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
...Asian	3.8	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	3.9	39.2%	35.3%	13.7%	3.9%	7.8%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.8	36.4%	27.3%	27.3%	.	9.1%	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.8	36.8%	29.9%	19.5%	6.9%	6.9%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background*							
.....Poor/Working class	3.1	35.3%	.	23.5%	23.5%	17.6%	17
.....Middle class	3.9	32.6%	37.2%	23.3%	2.3%	4.7%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	47.6%	33.3%	14.3%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.7	46.2%	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	15.4%	13
.....Not first generation	3.8	36.4%	30.3%	21.2%	6.1%	6.1%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 18

D1s: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.2	41.6%	38.2%	16.9%	2.2%	1.1%	89
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.1	29.4%	47.1%	23.5%	.	.	17
.DVM	4.2	43.7%	36.6%	15.5%	2.8%	1.4%	71
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.4	54.5%	36.4%	.	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.2	41.3%	37.3%	18.7%	1.3%	1.3%	75
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.2	35.0%	45.0%	20.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	4.0	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.3	45.1%	39.2%	13.7%	.	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.9	40.0%	30.0%	10.0%	20.0%	.	10
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.2	41.9%	38.4%	16.3%	2.3%	1.2%	86
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.1	41.2%	35.3%	17.6%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.2	42.9%	35.7%	19.0%	2.4%	.	42
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	33.3%	47.6%	19.0%	.	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.2	46.2%	30.8%	23.1%	.	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.2	40.0%	40.0%	16.9%	1.5%	1.5%	65

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 19

D1t: NCSU Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	55.6%	23.3%	16.7%	2.2%	2.2%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.2	41.2%	41.2%	17.6%	.	.	17
.DVM	4.3	58.3%	19.4%	16.7%	2.8%	2.8%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.5	63.6%	27.3%	.	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.3	55.3%	21.1%	19.7%	1.3%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.4	65.0%	10.0%	20.0%	5.0%	.	20
...Asian	4.4	62.5%	12.5%	25.0%	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.2	51.0%	29.4%	13.7%	2.0%	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.4	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.3	56.3%	23.0%	16.1%	2.3%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.3	70.6%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.4	55.8%	25.6%	18.6%	.	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	38.1%	38.1%	14.3%	4.8%	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.2	61.5%	7.7%	23.1%	7.7%	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.3	54.5%	27.3%	13.6%	1.5%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 20

D1u: NCSU Supportiveness: Nontraditional students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	35.6%	41.1%	12.2%	8.9%	2.2%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	23.5%	47.1%	17.6%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.DVM	4.0	37.5%	40.3%	11.1%	9.7%	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.4	54.5%	36.4%	.	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.0	34.2%	40.8%	14.5%	7.9%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.0	25.0%	55.0%	15.0%	5.0%	.	20
...Asian	3.8	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.1	41.2%	39.2%	9.8%	5.9%	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.7	36.4%	27.3%	9.1%	27.3%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.0	35.6%	41.4%	11.5%	9.2%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.7	35.3%	29.4%	17.6%	5.9%	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	4.0	32.6%	44.2%	16.3%	7.0%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	38.1%	47.6%	4.8%	9.5%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.7	23.1%	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.0	37.9%	39.4%	13.6%	7.6%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 21

D1v: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are pregnant/parenting	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	34.4%	41.1%	12.2%	6.7%	5.6%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.2	11.8%	41.2%	17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	17
.DVM	4.1	38.9%	41.7%	11.1%	5.6%	2.8%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.3	54.5%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	3.9	32.9%	40.8%	13.2%	6.6%	6.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.1	35.0%	45.0%	10.0%	10.0%	.	20
...Asian	3.8	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	.	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	4.0	37.3%	41.2%	9.8%	5.9%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.5	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.9	34.5%	40.2%	12.6%	6.9%	5.7%	87
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.8	47.1%	23.5%	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	4.0	27.9%	48.8%	16.3%	7.0%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	38.1%	42.9%	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.8	23.1%	53.8%	15.4%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.0	37.9%	39.4%	10.6%	9.1%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 22

D1w: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are in the military/veterans	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.4	54.4%	34.4%	8.9%	1.1%	1.1%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.1	41.2%	35.3%	17.6%	.	5.9%	17
.DVM	4.5	56.9%	34.7%	6.9%	1.4%	.	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.7	72.7%	27.3%	.	.	.	11
.....Female	4.4	53.9%	32.9%	10.5%	1.3%	1.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.3	50.0%	35.0%	10.0%	5.0%	.	20
...Asian	4.4	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.4	52.9%	35.3%	9.8%	.	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.7	72.7%	27.3%	.	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.4	55.2%	34.5%	8.0%	1.1%	1.1%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.1	52.9%	23.5%	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.4	48.8%	39.5%	11.6%	.	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.6	61.9%	33.3%	4.8%	.	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.3	38.5%	53.8%	7.7%	.	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.4	56.1%	30.3%	10.6%	1.5%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 23

D2a: Grad program Supportiveness: African American/Black students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	44.0%	35.2%	11.0%	3.3%	6.6%	91
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.1	35.3%	41.2%	17.6%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	4.1	45.2%	34.2%	9.6%	2.7%	8.2%	73
Gender ID*							
.....Male	4.4	81.8%	.	.	9.1%	9.1%	11
.....Female	4.0	40.3%	37.7%	13.0%	2.6%	6.5%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.6	28.6%	33.3%	19.0%	4.8%	14.3%	21
...Asian	4.0	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.2	51.0%	33.3%	7.8%	2.0%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.4	45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.1	44.3%	35.2%	10.2%	3.4%	6.8%	88
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.9	35.3%	35.3%	17.6%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.1	44.2%	34.9%	11.6%	2.3%	7.0%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.4	52.4%	38.1%	4.8%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.1	38.5%	46.2%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.2	47.0%	33.3%	12.1%	4.5%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 24

D2b: Grad program Supportiveness: Asian/Pacific Islander students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	40.7%	36.3%	16.5%	3.3%	3.3%	91
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.1	41.2%	35.3%	17.6%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	4.1	39.7%	37.0%	16.4%	2.7%	4.1%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.5	72.7%	18.2%	.	.	9.1%	11
.....Female	4.0	37.7%	36.4%	19.5%	3.9%	2.6%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.6	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	4.8%	9.5%	21
...Asian	3.8	37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.3	47.1%	43.1%	7.8%	.	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.0	36.4%	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.1	40.9%	36.4%	15.9%	3.4%	3.4%	88
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.8	29.4%	35.3%	29.4%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.1	39.5%	41.9%	14.0%	2.3%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.4	52.4%	38.1%	4.8%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.9	30.8%	46.2%	15.4%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.2	43.9%	37.9%	13.6%	3.0%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 25

D2c: Grad program Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino/Latinx students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.2	41.8%	42.9%	7.7%	4.4%	3.3%	91
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.0	29.4%	47.1%	17.6%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	4.2	43.8%	42.5%	5.5%	4.1%	4.1%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.3	54.5%	36.4%	.	.	9.1%	11
.....Female	4.1	41.6%	41.6%	9.1%	5.2%	2.6%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.8	33.3%	38.1%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	21
...Asian	3.8	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.4	47.1%	45.1%	5.9%	.	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.3	45.5%	45.5%	.	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.2	42.0%	43.2%	6.8%	4.5%	3.4%	88
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.9	35.3%	41.2%	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.1	41.9%	39.5%	11.6%	4.7%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	42.9%	52.4%	.	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.9	30.8%	46.2%	15.4%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.2	43.9%	42.4%	7.6%	4.5%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 26

D2d: Grad program Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	35.6%	33.3%	22.2%	3.3%	5.6%	90
Class							
...Masters	4.0	.	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.9	29.4%	35.3%	29.4%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	3.9	37.5%	31.9%	20.8%	2.8%	6.9%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.2	54.5%	27.3%	9.1%		9.1%	11
.....Female	3.9	34.2%	31.6%	25.0%	3.9%	5.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity*							
....URM	3.6	23.8%	33.3%	33.3%		9.5%	21
...Asian	3.3	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	.	7
..White/Caucasian	4.2	43.1%	37.3%	15.7%		3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.6	36.4%	27.3%	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.9	36.8%	32.2%	21.8%	3.4%	5.7%	87
.....International student	3.7	.	66.7%	33.3%		.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.8	29.4%	35.3%	29.4%		5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.0	37.2%	32.6%	23.3%	4.7%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	38.1%	42.9%	14.3%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.9	30.8%	46.2%	15.4%		7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.0	37.9%	33.3%	22.7%	4.5%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 27

D2e: Grad program Supportiveness: White students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.6	74.7%	14.3%	7.7%	3.3%	91
Class						
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.4	52.9%	35.3%	11.8%	.	17
.DVM	4.6	79.5%	9.6%	6.8%	4.1%	73
Gender ID						
.....Male	4.8	90.9%	.	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.6	74.0%	14.3%	7.8%	3.9%	77
Race/Ethnicity						
....URM	4.8	85.7%	9.5%	4.8%	.	21
...Asian	4.5	75.0%	.	25.0%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.5	68.6%	19.6%	5.9%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.7	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
Residency						
.....U.S. resident	4.6	76.1%	13.6%	6.8%	3.4%	88
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	3
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.4	64.7%	17.6%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.6	74.4%	11.6%	11.6%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.7	76.2%	19.0%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student						
.....First generation student	4.5	69.2%	15.4%	15.4%	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.6	74.2%	13.6%	7.6%	4.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 28

D2f: Grad program Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	35.6%	34.4%	20.0%	7.8%	2.2%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.9	29.4%	41.2%	23.5%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	3.9	36.1%	33.3%	19.4%	8.3%	2.8%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.1	54.5%	18.2%	18.2%	.	9.1%	11
.....Female	3.9	34.2%	34.2%	21.1%	9.2%	1.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.6	23.8%	33.3%	23.8%	14.3%	4.8%	21
...Asian	3.4	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	.	7
..White/Caucasian	4.2	43.1%	39.2%	11.8%	3.9%	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.8	36.4%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.9	35.6%	33.3%	20.7%	8.0%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.9	35.3%	35.3%	17.6%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.0	34.9%	34.9%	23.3%	4.7%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	38.1%	42.9%	14.3%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.9	30.8%	46.2%	15.4%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.0	37.9%	34.8%	21.2%	4.5%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 29

D2g: Grad program Supportiveness: International students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	51.1%	27.8%	18.9%	1.1%	1.1%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.2	41.2%	41.2%	11.8%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	4.3	52.8%	25.0%	20.8%	.	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.6	81.8%	.	18.2%	.	.	11
.....Female	4.2	48.7%	30.3%	18.4%	1.3%	1.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.1	40.0%	25.0%	35.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	3.9	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.4	58.8%	25.5%	13.7%	.	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.4	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.3	51.7%	26.4%	19.5%	1.1%	1.1%	87
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.9	41.2%	23.5%	29.4%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.3	51.2%	27.9%	20.9%	.	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.4	52.4%	38.1%	4.8%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.8	30.8%	38.5%	23.1%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.3	54.5%	25.8%	18.2%	1.5%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 30

D2h: Grad program Supportiveness: Female students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.5	62.2%	28.9%	6.7%	1.1%	1.1%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.2	41.2%	41.2%	11.8%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	4.6	66.7%	26.4%	5.6%	.	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.7	72.7%	27.3%	.	.	.	11
.....Female	4.5	61.8%	27.6%	7.9%	1.3%	1.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.5	55.0%	35.0%	10.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	4.5	75.0%	12.5%	.	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.5	64.7%	25.5%	7.8%	.	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.5	54.5%	45.5%	.	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.5	63.2%	27.6%	6.9%	1.1%	1.1%	87
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.1	47.1%	29.4%	17.6%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.6	65.1%	30.2%	4.7%	.	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.5	61.9%	28.6%	4.8%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.4	69.2%	15.4%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.5	60.6%	30.3%	7.6%	1.5%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 31

D2i: Grad program Supportiveness: Male students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.5	67.8%	22.2%	5.6%	3.3%	1.1%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.5	64.7%	23.5%	11.8%	.	.	17
.DVM	4.5	68.1%	22.2%	4.2%	4.2%	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.6	81.8%	9.1%	.	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.5	67.1%	22.4%	6.6%	2.6%	1.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.7	70.0%	25.0%	5.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	4.3	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.5	70.6%	19.6%	3.9%	3.9%	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.5	63.6%	27.3%	.	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.5	69.0%	21.8%	4.6%	3.4%	1.1%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.5	76.5%	11.8%	5.9%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.4	62.8%	23.3%	9.3%	4.7%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.6	66.7%	28.6%	.	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.4	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%	.	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.5	69.7%	19.7%	4.5%	4.5%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 32

D2j: Grad program Supportiveness: Transgender students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	41.1%	31.1%	16.7%	8.9%	2.2%	90
Class							
...Masters	4.0	.	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.6	17.6%	41.2%	23.5%	17.6%	.	17
.DVM	4.1	47.2%	27.8%	15.3%	6.9%	2.8%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.2	54.5%	9.1%	36.4%	.	.	11
.....Female	4.0	39.5%	32.9%	14.5%	10.5%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.9	35.0%	35.0%	20.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20
...Asian	3.9	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.2	47.1%	31.4%	13.7%	5.9%	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.5	27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.0	42.5%	28.7%	17.2%	9.2%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.0	.	100.0%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.0	52.9%	17.6%	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.0	34.9%	39.5%	16.3%	7.0%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	42.9%	28.6%	23.8%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.2	46.2%	38.5%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.0	40.9%	30.3%	18.2%	9.1%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 33

D2k: Grad program Supportiveness: Gay, lesbian, bisexual students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	53.3%	33.3%	7.8%	4.4%	1.1%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.9	29.4%	47.1%	11.8%	11.8%	.	17
.DVM	4.4	58.3%	30.6%	6.9%	2.8%	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.8	90.9%	.	9.1%	.	.	11
.....Female	4.3	48.7%	36.8%	7.9%	5.3%	1.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.2	45.0%	30.0%	20.0%	5.0%	.	20
...Asian	4.1	37.5%	50.0%	.	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.5	58.8%	33.3%	3.9%	2.0%	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.3	54.5%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.3	54.0%	32.2%	8.0%	4.6%	1.1%	87
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.0	52.9%	17.6%	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.4	51.2%	37.2%	11.6%	.	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.5	57.1%	38.1%	.	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.2	46.2%	38.5%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.4	56.1%	30.3%	9.1%	4.5%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 34

D2I: Grad program Supportiveness: Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.4	60.0%	25.6%	12.2%	2.2%	90
Class*						
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.2	29.4%	58.8%	11.8%	.	17
.DVM	4.5	66.7%	18.1%	12.5%	2.8%	72
Gender ID						
.....Male	4.6	72.7%	18.2%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.4	59.2%	25.0%	13.2%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity						
....URM	4.5	55.0%	35.0%	10.0%	.	20
...Asian	4.6	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.3	56.9%	27.5%	11.8%	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.5	72.7%	9.1%	18.2%	.	11
Residency						
.....U.S. resident	4.4	60.9%	25.3%	11.5%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	3
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.2	52.9%	23.5%	17.6%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.5	62.8%	20.9%	16.3%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.4	52.4%	42.9%	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student						
.....First generation student	4.5	69.2%	15.4%	15.4%	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.3	56.1%	28.8%	12.1%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 35

D2m: Grad program Supportiveness: Jewish students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	40.4%	27.0%	27.0%	5.6%	89
Class						
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	23.5%	41.2%	29.4%	5.9%	17
.DVM	4.1	43.7%	23.9%	26.8%	5.6%	71
Gender ID						
.....Male	4.5	63.6%	18.2%	18.2%	.	11
.....Female	3.9	37.3%	26.7%	29.3%	6.7%	75
Race/Ethnicity						
....URM	4.2	45.0%	30.0%	25.0%	.	20
...Asian	3.3	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
..White/Caucasian	4.1	45.1%	27.5%	21.6%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.7	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Residency						
.....U.S. resident	4.0	40.7%	26.7%	26.7%	5.8%	86
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	3
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.2	47.1%	23.5%	29.4%	.	17
.....Middle class	4.0	39.5%	25.6%	30.2%	4.7%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	38.1%	42.9%	14.3%	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student						
.....First generation student	4.1	46.2%	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.1	40.9%	28.8%	27.3%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 36

D2n: Grad program Supportiveness: Muslim students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	39.3%	24.7%	25.8%	7.9%	2.2%	89
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	29.4%	35.3%	23.5%	11.8%	.	17
.DVM	3.9	40.8%	22.5%	26.8%	7.0%	2.8%	71
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.4	63.6%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	3.8	36.0%	24.0%	29.3%	8.0%	2.7%	75
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	3.8	35.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	5.0%	20
...Asian	3.4	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	.	7
..White/Caucasian	4.1	47.1%	21.6%	23.5%	5.9%	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.7	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	3.9	39.5%	23.3%	26.7%	8.1%	2.3%	86
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.9	47.1%	17.6%	17.6%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	3.9	37.2%	25.6%	30.2%	4.7%	2.3%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	38.1%	38.1%	19.0%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.8	38.5%	23.1%	30.8%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.0	40.9%	27.3%	24.2%	7.6%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 37

D2o: Grad program Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	40.0%	27.8%	26.7%	4.4%	1.1%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	23.5%	41.2%	29.4%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	4.0	43.1%	25.0%	26.4%	4.2%	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.5	63.6%	18.2%	18.2%	.	.	11
.....Female	3.9	36.8%	27.6%	28.9%	5.3%	1.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.1	40.0%	25.0%	35.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	3.6	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.1	45.1%	25.5%	23.5%	3.9%	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.9	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.0	40.2%	27.6%	26.4%	4.6%	1.1%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.0	47.1%	17.6%	29.4%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.0	34.9%	27.9%	34.9%	2.3%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	42.9%	42.9%	9.5%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.8	38.5%	23.1%	30.8%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.1	40.9%	30.3%	25.8%	3.0%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 38

D2p: Grad program Supportiveness: Atheist, agnostic or nonreligious students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	40.0%	30.0%	25.6%	3.3%	1.1%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	23.5%	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	4.1	43.1%	29.2%	23.6%	2.8%	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.4	63.6%	9.1%	27.3%	.	.	11
.....Female	4.0	36.8%	31.6%	26.3%	3.9%	1.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.1	40.0%	30.0%	30.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	3.5	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.2	45.1%	31.4%	21.6%	.	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.7	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.0	40.2%	29.9%	25.3%	3.4%	1.1%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.1	47.1%	23.5%	23.5%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.0	32.6%	34.9%	30.2%	2.3%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	42.9%	33.3%	19.0%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	3.9	38.5%	30.8%	23.1%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.1	39.4%	31.8%	25.8%	3.0%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 39

D2q: Grad program Supportiveness: Students with disabilities	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.2	51.1%	26.7%	13.3%	6.7%	2.2%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.8	29.4%	41.2%	17.6%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.DVM	4.3	55.6%	23.6%	12.5%	6.9%	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.2	63.6%	.	27.3%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.2	50.0%	28.9%	11.8%	6.6%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.2	45.0%	30.0%	20.0%	5.0%	.	20
...Asian	4.1	37.5%	50.0%	.	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.3	56.9%	23.5%	11.8%	3.9%	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.9	45.5%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.2	51.7%	25.3%	13.8%	6.9%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.9	52.9%	17.6%	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	4.3	53.5%	30.2%	11.6%	4.7%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	47.6%	28.6%	14.3%	9.5%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.2	53.8%	30.8%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.2	51.5%	25.8%	13.6%	7.6%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 40

D2r: Grad program Supportiveness: Poor/working class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	47.8%	25.6%	15.6%	6.7%	4.4%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.4	23.5%	23.5%	29.4%	17.6%	5.9%	17
.DVM	4.2	52.8%	26.4%	12.5%	4.2%	4.2%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.1	72.7%	.	.	18.2%	9.1%	11
.....Female	4.1	44.7%	28.9%	17.1%	5.3%	3.9%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.0	40.0%	35.0%	10.0%	15.0%	.	20
...Asian	3.9	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.1	52.9%	23.5%	13.7%	3.9%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.9	45.5%	18.2%	27.3%	.	9.1%	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.1	48.3%	25.3%	14.9%	6.9%	4.6%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.6	41.2%	11.8%	23.5%	11.8%	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	4.1	46.5%	32.6%	14.0%	2.3%	4.7%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	52.4%	28.6%	9.5%	9.5%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.2	53.8%	30.8%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.0	47.0%	25.8%	15.2%	7.6%	4.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 41

D2s: Grad program Supportiveness: Middle class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	48.9%	31.1%	17.8%	1.1%	1.1%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.9	29.4%	35.3%	35.3%	.	.	17
.DVM	4.3	52.8%	30.6%	13.9%	1.4%	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.5	63.6%	27.3%	.	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.2	47.4%	31.6%	19.7%	.	1.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.3	45.0%	40.0%	15.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	4.1	50.0%	12.5%	37.5%	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.3	52.9%	29.4%	15.7%	.	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.0	36.4%	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.3	49.4%	31.0%	17.2%	1.1%	1.1%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.0	47.1%	17.6%	29.4%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.3	51.2%	30.2%	16.3%	2.3%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	42.9%	47.6%	9.5%	.	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.3	53.8%	23.1%	23.1%	.	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.3	48.5%	33.3%	15.2%	1.5%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 42

D2t: Grad program Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.4	62.2%	21.1%	14.4%	2.2%	90
Class						
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.4	52.9%	29.4%	17.6%	.	17
.DVM	4.4	63.9%	19.4%	13.9%	2.8%	72
Gender ID						
.....Male	4.7	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.4	60.5%	21.1%	15.8%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity						
....URM	4.6	65.0%	25.0%	10.0%	.	20
...Asian	4.4	62.5%	12.5%	25.0%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.4	62.7%	19.6%	13.7%	3.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.4	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%	.	11
Residency						
.....U.S. resident	4.4	63.2%	20.7%	13.8%	2.3%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	3
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.4	70.6%	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.4	58.1%	25.6%	16.3%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	57.1%	28.6%	9.5%	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student						
.....First generation student	4.4	61.5%	15.4%	23.1%	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.4	62.1%	24.2%	10.6%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 43

D2u: Grad program Supportiveness: Nontraditional students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	55.6%	28.9%	7.8%	4.4%	3.3%	90
Class							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.9	41.2%	29.4%	17.6%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.DVM	4.4	58.3%	29.2%	5.6%	4.2%	2.8%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	3.9	63.6%	.	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	11
.....Female	4.3	55.3%	31.6%	7.9%	2.6%	2.6%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.4	50.0%	35.0%	15.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	4.1	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.4	60.8%	27.5%	3.9%	2.0%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.0	45.5%	27.3%	9.1%	18.2%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.3	56.3%	28.7%	6.9%	4.6%	3.4%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.1	58.8%	17.6%	11.8%	.	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	4.3	55.8%	27.9%	11.6%	4.7%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	52.4%	38.1%	.	4.8%	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.2	53.8%	30.8%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.3	57.6%	25.8%	9.1%	4.5%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 44

D2v: Grad program Supportiveness: Students who are pregnant/parenting	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	2: Somewhat nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	57.8%	24.4%	11.1%	2.2%	4.4%	90
Class*							
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	3.5	23.5%	35.3%	23.5%	.	17.6%	17
.DVM	4.5	65.3%	22.2%	8.3%	2.8%	1.4%	72
Gender ID							
.....Male	4.6	81.8%	.	18.2%	.	.	11
.....Female	4.2	55.3%	26.3%	10.5%	2.6%	5.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	4.4	55.0%	30.0%	15.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	4.0	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.	12.5%	8
..White/Caucasian	4.4	62.7%	25.5%	3.9%	2.0%	5.9%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	3.9	45.5%	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	4.3	58.6%	23.0%	11.5%	2.3%	4.6%	87
.....International student	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.1	58.8%	17.6%	11.8%	.	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	4.4	60.5%	20.9%	14.0%	4.7%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	47.6%	47.6%	.	.	4.8%	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	4.4	69.2%	15.4%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	4.3	54.5%	30.3%	9.1%	3.0%	3.0%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 45

D2w: Grad program Supportiveness: Students who are in the military/veterans	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Somewhat supportive	3: Neither supportive nor nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.5	63.3%	25.6%	10.0%	1.1%	90
Class						
...Masters	5.0	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	4.0	41.2%	29.4%	23.5%	5.9%	17
.DVM	4.6	68.1%	25.0%	6.9%	.	72
Gender ID						
.....Male	4.6	72.7%	18.2%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	4.5	63.2%	25.0%	10.5%	1.3%	76
Race/Ethnicity						
....URM	4.4	55.0%	30.0%	15.0%	.	20
...Asian	4.5	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	4.5	66.7%	25.5%	5.9%	2.0%	51
.Multiple races/unknown	4.5	63.6%	18.2%	18.2%	.	11
Residency						
.....U.S. resident	4.5	64.4%	25.3%	9.2%	1.1%	87
.....International student	4.0	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.	3
Socioeconomic Background*						
.....Poor/Working class	4.4	64.7%	17.6%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	4.5	65.1%	18.6%	16.3%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.5	52.4%	47.6%	.	.	21
First Generation College Student						
.....First generation student	4.7	76.9%	15.4%	7.7%	.	13
.....Not first generation	4.4	59.1%	28.8%	10.6%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 46

D3a: Students make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.5	67.0%	17.6%	13.2%	1.1%	1.1%	91
Class							
...Masters	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	1.2	88.2%	5.9%	5.9%	.	.	17
.DVM	1.6	61.6%	20.5%	15.1%	1.4%	1.4%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	1.7	63.6%	.	36.4%	.	.	11
.....Female	1.5	67.5%	19.5%	10.4%	1.3%	1.3%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	1.5	65.0%	20.0%	15.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	1.3	75.0%	25.0%	.	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	1.6	67.3%	15.4%	13.5%	1.9%	1.9%	52
.Multiple races/unknown	1.5	63.6%	18.2%	18.2%	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	1.5	65.9%	18.2%	13.6%	1.1%	1.1%	88
.....International student	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	1.8	58.8%	17.6%	17.6%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	1.4	69.8%	18.6%	11.6%	.	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.3	76.2%	19.0%	4.8%	.	.	21
First Generation College Student*							
.....First generation student	1.6	61.5%	30.8%	.	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	1.4	69.7%	16.7%	13.6%	.	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 47

D3b: Students make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.7	58.2%	20.9%	17.6%	2.2%	1.1%	91
Class							
...Masters	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	1.4	76.5%	5.9%	17.6%	.	.	17
.DVM	1.7	53.4%	24.7%	17.8%	2.7%	1.4%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	1.6	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%	.	.	11
.....Female	1.7	59.7%	18.2%	18.2%	2.6%	1.3%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	1.5	60.0%	30.0%	10.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	1.5	75.0%	.	25.0%	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	1.8	55.8%	19.2%	19.2%	3.8%	1.9%	52
.Multiple races/unknown	1.6	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	1.7	56.8%	21.6%	18.2%	2.3%	1.1%	88
.....International student	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	1.9	47.1%	23.5%	23.5%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	1.4	69.8%	18.6%	11.6%	.	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.8	52.4%	19.0%	28.6%	.	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	1.6	69.2%	15.4%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	1.6	59.1%	19.7%	21.2%	.	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 48

D3c: Students make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.6	62.6%	24.2%	8.8%	3.3%	1.1%	91
Class							
...Masters	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	1.6	70.6%	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	.	17
.DVM	1.6	60.3%	27.4%	9.6%	1.4%	1.4%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	1.5	63.6%	27.3%	9.1%	.	.	11
.....Female	1.6	62.3%	23.4%	9.1%	3.9%	1.3%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	1.5	55.0%	40.0%	5.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	2.0	62.5%	.	12.5%	25.0%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	1.5	67.3%	21.2%	9.6%	.	1.9%	52
.Multiple races/unknown	1.7	54.5%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	1.6	62.5%	23.9%	9.1%	3.4%	1.1%	88
.....International student	1.3	66.7%	33.3%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	1.9	47.1%	29.4%	17.6%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	1.4	67.4%	23.3%	9.3%	.	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.5	71.4%	14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	1.9	46.2%	30.8%	15.4%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	1.5	68.2%	19.7%	9.1%	3.0%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 49

D3d: Students make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	2.2	31.9%	25.3%	31.9%	8.8%	2.2%	91
Class*							
...Masters	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	1.6	70.6%	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	.	17
.DVM	2.4	21.9%	30.1%	37.0%	8.2%	2.7%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	1.9	54.5%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	2.3	28.6%	26.0%	33.8%	9.1%	2.6%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	2.5	20.0%	30.0%	35.0%	15.0%	.	20
...Asian	2.5	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	2.1	38.5%	21.2%	32.7%	5.8%	1.9%	52
.Multiple races/unknown	2.3	27.3%	36.4%	27.3%	.	9.1%	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	2.3	29.5%	26.1%	33.0%	9.1%	2.3%	88
.....International student	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.4	23.5%	35.3%	23.5%	11.8%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	2.0	39.5%	27.9%	27.9%	4.7%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.3	28.6%	19.0%	42.9%	9.5%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	2.5	15.4%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	2.1	37.9%	24.2%	30.3%	7.6%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 50

D3e: Students make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	2.1	45.1%	18.7%	25.3%	6.6%	4.4%	91
Class							
...Masters	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	1.6	70.6%	.	23.5%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	2.2	38.4%	23.3%	26.0%	6.8%	5.5%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	1.9	54.5%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	2.1	44.2%	18.2%	26.0%	6.5%	5.2%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	1.8	50.0%	20.0%	30.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	2.0	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	2.2	42.3%	19.2%	23.1%	9.6%	5.8%	52
.Multiple races/unknown	2.1	45.5%	18.2%	27.3%	.	9.1%	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	2.1	43.2%	19.3%	26.1%	6.8%	4.5%	88
.....International student	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.4	35.3%	17.6%	29.4%	5.9%	11.8%	17
.....Middle class	2.0	46.5%	18.6%	27.9%	7.0%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.0	47.6%	19.0%	23.8%	9.5%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	1.8	46.2%	38.5%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	2.1	43.9%	13.6%	31.8%	9.1%	1.5%	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 51

D3f: Students make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.8	52.7%	25.3%	16.5%	4.4%	1.1%	91
Class							
...Masters	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	1.5	76.5%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	1.8	46.6%	30.1%	17.8%	4.1%	1.4%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	1.6	63.6%	9.1%	27.3%	.	.	11
.....Female	1.8	51.9%	26.0%	15.6%	5.2%	1.3%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	1.6	55.0%	30.0%	15.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	1.8	62.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	1.8	51.9%	23.1%	19.2%	3.8%	1.9%	52
.Multiple races/unknown	1.8	45.5%	36.4%	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	1.8	51.1%	26.1%	17.0%	4.5%	1.1%	88
.....International student	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.0	41.2%	29.4%	23.5%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	1.6	58.1%	25.6%	16.3%	.	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.8	52.4%	23.8%	19.0%	4.8%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	1.9	46.2%	30.8%	15.4%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	1.7	54.5%	24.2%	19.7%	1.5%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 52

D3g: Students make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.6	60.4%	20.9%	13.2%	4.4%	1.1%	91
Class							
...Masters	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	1.4	76.5%	11.8%	11.8%	.	.	17
.DVM	1.7	56.2%	23.3%	13.7%	5.5%	1.4%	73
Gender ID							
.....Male	1.5	81.8%	.	9.1%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	1.7	57.1%	23.4%	14.3%	3.9%	1.3%	77
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	1.5	65.0%	20.0%	15.0%	.	.	20
...Asian	1.6	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.	.	8
..White/Caucasian	1.8	59.6%	17.3%	13.5%	7.7%	1.9%	52
.Multiple races/unknown	1.5	63.6%	27.3%	9.1%	.	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	1.7	59.1%	21.6%	13.6%	4.5%	1.1%	88
.....International student	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.2	41.2%	17.6%	29.4%	5.9%	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	1.5	65.1%	23.3%	7.0%	4.7%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.4	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	.	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	1.8	53.8%	30.8%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	1.6	62.1%	18.2%	15.2%	4.5%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 53

D3_2: Students make sexist comments	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	2.1	39.1%	23.9%	27.2%	8.7%	1.1%	92
Class							
...Masters	2.0	.	100.0%	.	.	.	1
..Doctoral	1.6	64.7%	11.8%	17.6%	5.9%	.	17
.DVM	2.2	33.8%	25.7%	29.7%	9.5%	1.4%	74
Gender ID							
.....Male	2.2	36.4%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	.	11
.....Female	2.1	39.7%	23.1%	26.9%	9.0%	1.3%	78
Race/Ethnicity							
....URM	2.1	28.6%	33.3%	38.1%	.	.	21
...Asian	2.1	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.	8
..White/Caucasian	2.1	44.2%	17.3%	26.9%	9.6%	1.9%	52
.Multiple races/unknown	2.1	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	.	11
Residency							
.....U.S. resident	2.1	38.2%	23.6%	28.1%	9.0%	1.1%	89
.....International student	1.3	66.7%	33.3%	.	.	.	3
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.2	35.3%	23.5%	35.3%	.	5.9%	17
.....Middle class	2.0	44.2%	23.3%	25.6%	7.0%	.	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.1	38.1%	23.8%	28.6%	9.5%	.	21
First Generation College Student							
.....First generation student	1.8	53.8%	30.8%	7.7%	.	7.7%	13
.....Not first generation	2.1	39.4%	21.2%	31.8%	7.6%	.	66

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 54