North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Graduate Students)
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Academic College

E1b: Faculty respect for minority grad students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	3.4	45.6%	46.1%	6.8%	1.4%	789
College/School						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.3	41.8%	47.3%	8.8%	2.2%	91
.....Design	3.5	47.1%	52.9%	.	.	17
.....Education	3.4	47.6%	43.8%	6.7%	1.9%	105
.....Engineering	3.4	44.9%	48.7%	5.7%	0.8%	265
.....Natural Resources	3.5	52.0%	48.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.2	38.4%	43.4%	15.2%	3.0%	99
.....Sciences	3.5	51.1%	43.6%	5.3%	.	94
.....Textiles	3.3	41.2%	52.9%	2.9%	2.9%	34
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.4	55.6%	37.0%	3.7%	3.7%	27
.....Management	3.4	48.1%	48.1%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	3.6	80.0%	.	20.0%	.	5

E1c: Faculty respect for female students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	3.4	48.4%	42.0%	7.6%	2.0%	792
College/School*						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.3	45.1%	40.7%	8.8%	5.5%	91
.....Design	3.5	52.9%	47.1%	.	.	17
.....Education	3.5	53.8%	39.6%	5.7%	0.9%	106
.....Engineering	3.4	50.0%	41.7%	6.4%	1.9%	264
.....Natural Resources	3.2	44.0%	44.0%	4.0%	8.0%	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.2	39.0%	41.0%	19.0%	1.0%	100
.....Sciences	3.4	47.9%	43.8%	7.3%	1.0%	96
.....Textiles	3.4	50.0%	47.1%	.	2.9%	34
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.4	44.4%	55.6%	.	.	27
.....Management	3.5	55.6%	40.7%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	3.6	80.0%	.	20.0%	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 1

E1e: Graduate student respect for minority faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	3.3	43.7%	47.0%	8.1%	1.1%	789
College/School						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.3	41.8%	49.5%	7.7%	1.1%	91
.....Design	3.4	41.2%	52.9%	5.9%	.	17
.....Education	3.3	40.0%	50.5%	7.6%	1.9%	105
.....Engineering	3.4	45.8%	47.0%	6.4%	0.8%	264
.....Natural Resources	3.4	48.0%	48.0%	4.0%	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.2	40.4%	43.4%	14.1%	2.0%	99
.....Sciences	3.3	45.3%	44.2%	10.5%	.	95
.....Textiles	3.3	44.1%	47.1%	2.9%	5.9%	34
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.5	59.3%	29.6%	11.1%	.	27
.....Management	3.3	33.3%	63.0%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	3.2	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.	5

E1f: Graduate student respect for female faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	3.4	48.2%	43.3%	7.7%	0.8%	792
College/School						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.4	46.2%	46.2%	5.5%	2.2%	91
.....Design	3.4	47.1%	47.1%	5.9%	.	17
.....Education	3.4	48.1%	41.5%	9.4%	0.9%	106
.....Engineering	3.4	49.1%	44.2%	6.4%	0.4%	265
.....Natural Resources	3.3	36.0%	60.0%	4.0%	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.3	48.0%	35.0%	16.0%	1.0%	100
.....Sciences	3.4	51.6%	38.9%	9.5%	.	95
.....Textiles	3.4	44.1%	50.0%	2.9%	2.9%	34
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.6	55.6%	44.4%	.	.	27
.....Management	3.4	44.4%	51.9%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	3.6	60.0%	40.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 2

E1g: Undergrad respect for minority TAs	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	2.9	22.2%	51.3%	21.5%	5.0%	744
College/School						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	2.9	22.2%	54.4%	16.7%	6.7%	90
.....Design	3.1	23.5%	64.7%	11.8%	.	17
.....Education	2.8	15.9%	53.4%	27.3%	3.4%	88
.....Engineering	3.0	27.1%	51.8%	18.0%	3.1%	255
.....Natural Resources	2.8	16.0%	52.0%	28.0%	4.0%	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	2.6	9.3%	52.3%	30.2%	8.1%	86
.....Sciences	2.8	21.5%	46.2%	25.8%	6.5%	93
.....Textiles	2.8	23.5%	44.1%	17.6%	14.7%	34
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.2	40.0%	40.0%	16.0%	4.0%	25
.....Management	3.1	25.9%	59.3%	14.8%	.	27
.....Provost	2.8	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.	4

E1h: Undergrad respect for female TAs	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	3.0	27.7%	50.9%	17.5%	3.9%	747
College/School*						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.0	31.1%	48.9%	13.3%	6.7%	90
.....Design	3.3	35.3%	58.8%	5.9%	.	17
.....Education	2.9	20.5%	54.5%	23.9%	1.1%	88
.....Engineering	3.2	31.0%	54.9%	12.9%	1.2%	255
.....Natural Resources	2.9	20.0%	52.0%	24.0%	4.0%	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	2.5	11.4%	42.0%	31.8%	14.8%	88
.....Sciences	3.1	33.0%	44.7%	20.2%	2.1%	94
.....Textiles	3.0	29.4%	50.0%	14.7%	5.9%	34
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.3	44.0%	44.0%	8.0%	4.0%	25
.....Management	3.1	25.9%	63.0%	11.1%	.	27
.....Provost	3.3	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.	4

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 3

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Graduate Students)
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Academic College

E1i: Graduate student respect for grad students of different race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	3.3	40.0%	50.1%	8.3%	1.7%	773
College/School						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.3	40.0%	54.4%	4.4%	1.1%	90
.....Design	3.3	35.3%	58.8%	5.9%	.	17
.....Education	3.2	33.3%	53.5%	11.1%	2.0%	99
.....Engineering	3.3	39.7%	49.0%	9.7%	1.6%	257
.....Natural Resources	3.4	44.0%	48.0%	8.0%	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.3	39.8%	49.0%	8.2%	3.1%	98
.....Sciences	3.3	43.6%	45.7%	7.4%	3.2%	94
.....Textiles	3.3	35.3%	61.8%	2.9%	.	34
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.4	48.1%	44.4%	7.4%	.	27
.....Management	3.4	48.1%	44.4%	7.4%	.	27
.....Provost	3.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	5

E1j: Graduate student respect for grad students of different sexual orientation	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	3.2	37.6%	50.7%	10.2%	1.4%	773
College/School						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.3	37.8%	53.3%	6.7%	2.2%	90
.....Design	3.3	35.3%	58.8%	5.9%	.	17
.....Education	3.1	31.3%	52.5%	15.2%	1.0%	99
.....Engineering	3.2	35.3%	51.9%	11.6%	1.2%	258
.....Natural Resources	3.2	32.0%	56.0%	12.0%	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.2	38.1%	48.5%	9.3%	4.1%	97
.....Sciences	3.4	48.4%	45.3%	6.3%	.	95
.....Textiles	3.2	32.4%	58.8%	8.8%	.	34
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.3	48.1%	40.7%	7.4%	3.7%	27
.....Management	3.4	44.4%	48.1%	7.4%	.	27
.....Provost	3.0	50.0%	.	50.0%	.	4

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 4

E1k: Friendships between grad students of different racial/ethnic groups	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	3.2	35.5%	48.7%	13.3%	2.6%	781
College/School						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.2	30.8%	54.9%	13.2%	1.1%	91
.....Design	3.4	41.2%	52.9%	5.9%	.	17
.....Education	3.1	28.7%	57.4%	11.9%	2.0%	101
.....Engineering	3.1	33.8%	46.8%	15.2%	4.2%	263
.....Natural Resources	3.3	36.0%	60.0%	4.0%	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.1	35.4%	41.7%	19.8%	3.1%	96
.....Sciences	3.3	43.2%	43.2%	12.6%	1.1%	95
.....Textiles	3.1	32.4%	50.0%	11.8%	5.9%	34
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.5	51.9%	48.1%	.	.	27
.....Management	3.4	44.4%	48.1%	7.4%	.	27
.....Provost	3.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	5

E1l: Friendships between heterosexual and GLBT grad students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	3.1	32.8%	50.5%	14.7%	2.0%	762
College/School*						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.1	27.8%	56.7%	12.2%	3.3%	90
.....Design	3.2	35.3%	52.9%	11.8%	.	17
.....Education	3.1	27.0%	57.0%	14.0%	2.0%	100
.....Engineering	3.0	28.0%	49.2%	19.7%	3.1%	254
.....Natural Resources	3.1	20.0%	68.0%	12.0%	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.2	40.9%	41.9%	17.2%	.	93
.....Sciences	3.4	48.4%	46.2%	5.4%	.	93
.....Textiles	3.2	33.3%	54.5%	6.1%	6.1%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.5	55.6%	40.7%	3.7%	.	27
.....Management	3.0	23.1%	53.8%	23.1%	.	26
.....Provost	2.8	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.	4

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 5

E1m: Graduate student respect for transgender students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
All	3.0	27.8%	51.0%	18.3%	2.9%	755
College/School						
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.0	23.3%	57.8%	17.8%	1.1%	90
.....Design	3.1	17.6%	70.6%	11.8%	.	17
.....Education	2.9	19.8%	52.1%	22.9%	5.2%	96
.....Engineering	3.1	29.0%	50.8%	18.3%	2.0%	252
.....Natural Resources	3.1	28.0%	56.0%	16.0%	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	2.9	27.8%	43.3%	22.2%	6.7%	90
.....Sciences	3.2	34.0%	51.1%	12.8%	2.1%	94
.....Textiles	3.1	30.3%	54.5%	9.1%	6.1%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.2	48.1%	29.6%	18.5%	3.7%	27
.....Management	3.0	22.2%	59.3%	18.5%	.	27
.....Provost	2.5	25.0%	.	75.0%	.	4

E2a: NCSU Supportiveness: African American students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	29.8%	48.8%	16.6%	4.3%	0.5%	763
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.0	31.5%	47.2%	16.9%	3.4%	1.1%	89
.....Design	4.0	18.8%	62.5%	18.8%	.	.	16
.....Education	3.8	19.4%	52.4%	17.5%	10.7%	.	103
.....Engineering	4.1	35.5%	46.1%	15.2%	2.7%	0.4%	256
.....Natural Resources	4.0	20.8%	62.5%	12.5%	.	4.2%	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.8	21.9%	49.0%	20.8%	8.3%	.	96
.....Sciences	4.1	30.7%	51.1%	17.0%	1.1%	.	88
.....Textiles	4.0	33.3%	45.5%	12.1%	6.1%	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.1	38.5%	38.5%	19.2%	3.8%	.	26
.....Management	4.2	37.0%	48.1%	14.8%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.0	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 6

E2b: NCSU Supportiveness: Asian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	26.8%	48.0%	20.2%	4.5%	0.5%	758
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.0	30.7%	46.6%	17.0%	5.7%	.	88
.....Design	3.9	12.5%	68.8%	18.8%	.	.	16
.....Education	3.6	12.6%	50.5%	22.3%	12.6%	1.9%	103
.....Engineering	4.0	30.2%	47.1%	20.0%	2.4%	0.4%	255
.....Natural Resources	3.8	20.8%	50.0%	20.8%	4.2%	4.2%	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.9	24.2%	45.3%	25.3%	5.3%	.	95
.....Sciences	4.1	35.2%	43.2%	19.3%	2.3%	.	88
.....Textiles	3.9	21.9%	53.1%	18.8%	6.3%	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.1	26.9%	57.7%	15.4%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.2	34.6%	50.0%	15.4%	.	.	26
.....Provost	4.2	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E2c: NCSU Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	24.7%	47.8%	22.8%	3.8%	0.9%	758
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.8	22.5%	44.9%	27.0%	4.5%	1.1%	89
.....Design	4.1	18.8%	75.0%	6.3%	.	.	16
.....Education	3.6	15.5%	46.6%	24.3%	11.7%	1.9%	103
.....Engineering	4.1	29.5%	49.2%	18.9%	2.0%	0.4%	254
.....Natural Resources	3.7	20.8%	37.5%	37.5%	.	4.2%	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.7	20.0%	41.1%	32.6%	5.3%	1.1%	95
.....Sciences	4.0	25.0%	50.0%	22.7%	2.3%	.	88
.....Textiles	3.8	21.9%	50.0%	21.9%	3.1%	3.1%	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.2	30.8%	53.8%	15.4%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.3	38.5%	50.0%	11.5%	.	.	26
.....Provost	4.2	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 7

E2d: NCSU Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	24.4%	43.5%	26.7%	4.3%	1.1%	759
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.8	21.3%	39.3%	33.7%	5.6%	.	89
.....Design	4.1	18.8%	68.8%	12.5%	.	.	16
.....Education	3.5	11.7%	45.6%	28.2%	11.7%	2.9%	103
.....Engineering	4.0	30.2%	44.3%	22.7%	1.2%	1.6%	255
.....Natural Resources	3.7	25.0%	33.3%	29.2%	8.3%	4.2%	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.7	20.0%	38.9%	33.7%	7.4%	.	95
.....Sciences	4.0	26.1%	46.6%	26.1%	1.1%	.	88
.....Textiles	3.8	28.1%	37.5%	25.0%	9.4%	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.9	19.2%	50.0%	30.8%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.2	37.0%	44.4%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.3	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.	.	4

E2e: NCSU Supportiveness: White students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	46.5%	38.5%	12.2%	2.1%	0.8%	762
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.2	43.8%	40.4%	13.5%	.	2.2%	89
.....Design	4.3	31.3%	62.5%	6.3%	.	.	16
.....Education	4.5	55.8%	37.5%	5.8%	1.0%	.	104
.....Engineering	4.2	42.0%	40.0%	15.3%	1.6%	1.2%	255
.....Natural Resources	4.4	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.3	52.6%	33.7%	8.4%	4.2%	1.1%	95
.....Sciences	4.2	40.4%	40.4%	15.7%	3.4%	.	89
.....Textiles	4.3	56.3%	28.1%	9.4%	6.3%	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.3	53.8%	30.8%	11.5%	3.8%	.	26
.....Management	4.3	51.9%	29.6%	14.8%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	4.2	20.0%	80.0%	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 8

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Graduate Students)
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Academic College

E2f: NCSU Supportiveness: International students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	31.8%	49.8%	15.3%	2.5%	0.7%	759
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.1	27.3%	53.4%	17.0%	2.3%	.	88
.....Design	4.1	21.4%	64.3%	14.3%	.	.	14
.....Education	4.0	26.2%	54.4%	14.6%	4.9%	.	103
.....Engineering	4.1	34.1%	49.8%	12.9%	2.0%	1.2%	255
.....Natural Resources	4.2	29.2%	62.5%	8.3%	.	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.0	31.6%	45.3%	17.9%	5.3%	.	95
.....Sciences	4.1	32.6%	47.2%	16.9%	1.1%	2.2%	89
.....Textiles	4.0	30.3%	45.5%	21.2%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.2	34.6%	46.2%	19.2%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.3	48.1%	37.0%	14.8%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.2	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E2g: NCSU Supportiveness: Female students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	31.5%	52.3%	13.9%	2.0%	0.3%	761
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.1	28.4%	54.5%	14.8%	2.3%	.	88
.....Design	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	15
.....Education	3.9	20.4%	59.2%	14.6%	5.8%	.	103
.....Engineering	4.2	38.0%	48.2%	12.9%	0.4%	0.4%	255
.....Natural Resources	4.0	20.8%	66.7%	8.3%	.	4.2%	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.0	27.1%	49.0%	19.8%	4.2%	.	96
.....Sciences	4.1	33.3%	48.9%	16.7%	1.1%	.	90
.....Textiles	4.2	28.1%	62.5%	9.4%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.3	34.6%	61.5%	3.8%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.2	40.7%	40.7%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.0	40.0%	40.0%	.	20.0%	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 9

E2h: NCSU Supportiveness: Male students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.2	38.8%	45.6%	13.4%	1.5%	0.8%	756
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.1	37.5%	44.3%	14.8%	1.1%	2.3%	88
.....Design	4.2	26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.3	44.7%	44.7%	9.7%	1.0%	.	103
.....Engineering	4.2	37.0%	47.6%	13.0%	1.2%	1.2%	254
.....Natural Resources	4.2	41.7%	37.5%	20.8%	.	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.2	43.2%	36.8%	15.8%	3.2%	1.1%	95
.....Sciences	4.1	35.2%	45.5%	17.0%	2.3%	.	88
.....Textiles	4.2	34.4%	50.0%	15.6%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.4	46.2%	50.0%	.	3.8%	.	26
.....Management	4.2	38.5%	46.2%	15.4%	.	.	26
.....Provost	4.2	20.0%	80.0%	.	.	.	5

E2i: NCSU Supportiveness: Transgender students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.7	20.8%	39.3%	29.6%	9.1%	1.2%	746
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.7	16.1%	48.3%	26.4%	8.0%	1.1%	87
.....Design	4.1	13.3%	80.0%	6.7%	.	.	15
.....Education	3.3	9.8%	33.3%	35.3%	16.7%	4.9%	102
.....Engineering	3.9	26.4%	40.0%	28.0%	5.6%	.	250
.....Natural Resources	3.9	26.1%	39.1%	34.8%	.	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.3	15.1%	22.6%	39.8%	19.4%	3.2%	93
.....Sciences	3.8	23.6%	39.3%	28.1%	9.0%	.	89
.....Textiles	4.0	29.0%	45.2%	22.6%	3.2%	.	31
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.8	23.1%	50.0%	15.4%	11.5%	.	26
.....Management	4.0	26.9%	50.0%	23.1%	.	.	26
.....Provost	3.0	.	.	100.0%	.	.	4

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 10

E2j: NCSU Supportiveness: GLB students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.8	23.1%	44.2%	27.4%	4.7%	0.7%	749
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	20.7%	54.0%	19.5%	4.6%	1.1%	87
.....Design	4.1	20.0%	73.3%	6.7%	.	.	15
.....Education	3.6	10.7%	47.6%	33.0%	6.8%	1.9%	103
.....Engineering	3.9	28.4%	38.8%	28.8%	3.6%	0.4%	250
.....Natural Resources	3.9	25.0%	41.7%	29.2%	4.2%	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.6	19.1%	36.2%	33.0%	11.7%	.	94
.....Sciences	4.0	24.7%	49.4%	23.6%	2.2%	.	89
.....Textiles	3.9	29.0%	41.9%	25.8%	.	3.2%	31
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.1	30.8%	50.0%	15.4%	3.8%	.	26
.....Management	4.0	26.9%	50.0%	23.1%	.	.	26
.....Provost	3.0	.	.	100.0%	.	.	4

E2k: NCSU Supportiveness: Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.2	39.3%	40.5%	17.7%	2.0%	0.5%	751
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.1	39.1%	37.9%	19.5%	1.1%	2.3%	87
.....Design	4.1	20.0%	66.7%	13.3%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.2	42.2%	41.2%	14.7%	1.0%	1.0%	102
.....Engineering	4.2	39.4%	41.8%	16.9%	1.6%	0.4%	249
.....Natural Resources	4.1	37.5%	37.5%	20.8%	4.2%	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.1	42.6%	26.6%	25.5%	5.3%	.	94
.....Sciences	4.2	40.0%	42.2%	14.4%	3.3%	.	90
.....Textiles	4.1	33.3%	45.5%	21.2%	.	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.4	46.2%	46.2%	7.7%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.2	34.6%	46.2%	19.2%	.	.	26
.....Provost	3.8	.	80.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 11

E2l: NCSU Supportiveness: Jewish students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	22.5%	44.5%	29.8%	3.0%	0.1%	741
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.8	18.6%	50.0%	27.9%	3.5%	.	86
.....Design	4.1	20.0%	66.7%	13.3%		.	15
.....Education	3.7	13.9%	46.5%	32.7%	6.9%	.	101
.....Engineering	4.0	29.3%	42.7%	27.6%	0.4%	.	246
.....Natural Resources	3.7	17.4%	39.1%	43.5%	.	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.6	14.3%	35.2%	44.0%	5.5%	1.1%	91
.....Sciences	3.9	23.6%	47.2%	25.8%	3.4%	.	89
.....Textiles	3.9	25.0%	43.8%	28.1%	3.1%	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.0	26.9%	50.0%	19.2%	3.8%	.	26
.....Management	4.0	29.6%	44.4%	22.2%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	4.0	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E2m: NCSU Supportiveness: Muslim students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.8	22.6%	44.3%	26.8%	5.7%	0.7%	743
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.8	22.1%	46.5%	23.3%	8.1%	.	86
.....Design	3.9	13.3%	66.7%	20.0%		.	15
.....Education	3.5	12.9%	41.6%	31.7%	11.9%	2.0%	101
.....Engineering	4.0	27.8%	45.2%	23.0%	3.6%	0.4%	248
.....Natural Resources	3.9	21.7%	47.8%	26.1%	4.3%	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.6	17.4%	37.0%	35.9%	8.7%	1.1%	92
.....Sciences	3.9	23.6%	44.9%	27.0%	4.5%	.	89
.....Textiles	3.8	28.1%	34.4%	31.3%	3.1%	3.1%	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.9	19.2%	53.8%	26.9%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.1	30.8%	46.2%	23.1%	.	.	26
.....Provost	4.0	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 12

E2n: NCSU Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.8	21.3%	42.9%	30.3%	5.2%	0.3%	743
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.8	20.7%	46.0%	26.4%	6.9%	.	87
.....Design	3.8	13.3%	53.3%	33.3%	.	.	15
.....Education	3.5	12.9%	38.6%	38.6%	9.9%	.	101
.....Engineering	3.9	26.3%	44.5%	26.3%	2.8%	.	247
.....Natural Resources	3.8	17.4%	43.5%	39.1%	.	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.5	15.2%	33.7%	39.1%	10.9%	1.1%	92
.....Sciences	3.8	21.3%	43.8%	30.3%	4.5%	.	89
.....Textiles	3.9	25.0%	46.9%	25.0%	3.1%	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	3.9	26.9%	46.2%	19.2%	3.8%	3.8%	26
.....Management	4.0	29.6%	44.4%	25.9%	.	.	27
.....Provost	3.8	.	75.0%	25.0%	.	.	4

E2o: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with disabilities	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	31.7%	47.0%	17.7%	2.8%	0.7%	744
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.0	29.9%	46.0%	19.5%	3.4%	1.1%	87
.....Design	4.1	26.7%	60.0%	13.3%	.	.	15
.....Education	3.7	19.8%	45.5%	20.8%	10.9%	3.0%	101
.....Engineering	4.2	39.2%	46.4%	13.6%	0.4%	0.4%	250
.....Natural Resources	3.9	21.7%	43.5%	34.8%	.	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.9	28.3%	40.2%	27.2%	4.3%	.	92
.....Sciences	4.1	29.5%	52.3%	17.0%	1.1%	.	88
.....Textiles	4.2	31.3%	59.4%	9.4%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.2	32.0%	60.0%	4.0%	4.0%	.	25
.....Management	4.2	40.7%	40.7%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.3	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.	.	4

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 13

E2p: NCSU Supportiveness: Poor/working class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.8	22.0%	42.6%	26.5%	8.1%	0.8%	744
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.7	17.4%	41.9%	31.4%	8.1%	1.2%	86
.....Design	3.9	13.3%	60.0%	26.7%	.	.	15
.....Education	3.6	13.0%	47.0%	23.0%	16.0%	1.0%	100
.....Engineering	3.9	26.5%	41.4%	25.3%	5.6%	1.2%	249
.....Natural Resources	3.7	20.8%	33.3%	37.5%	8.3%	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.5	18.5%	30.4%	35.9%	15.2%	.	92
.....Sciences	3.9	22.7%	45.5%	27.3%	4.5%	.	88
.....Textiles	4.0	21.2%	57.6%	18.2%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.0	34.6%	50.0%	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	26
.....Management	4.1	33.3%	44.4%	22.2%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.0	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.	.	4

E2q: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	28.7%	46.1%	22.9%	1.7%	0.5%	746
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.0	31.4%	39.5%	25.6%	2.3%	1.2%	86
.....Design	4.0	13.3%	73.3%	13.3%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.0	25.5%	53.9%	17.6%	2.9%	.	102
.....Engineering	4.0	28.4%	45.6%	23.6%	1.6%	0.8%	250
.....Natural Resources	3.8	25.0%	33.3%	37.5%	4.2%	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.1	37.0%	40.2%	19.6%	3.3%	.	92
.....Sciences	3.9	24.1%	48.3%	26.4%	.	1.1%	87
.....Textiles	4.1	24.2%	57.6%	18.2%	.	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.2	34.6%	46.2%	19.2%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.0	29.6%	40.7%	29.6%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.3	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.	.	4

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 14

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Graduate Students)
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Academic College

E2r: NCSU Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.2	37.0%	44.0%	16.9%	2.0%	0.1%	746
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.0	31.4%	40.7%	25.6%	2.3%	.	86
.....Design	4.2	26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.3	41.2%	47.1%	10.8%	1.0%	.	102
.....Engineering	4.2	36.5%	43.8%	18.5%	0.8%	0.4%	249
.....Natural Resources	3.8	26.1%	30.4%	39.1%	4.3%	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.2	48.4%	33.3%	12.9%	5.4%	.	93
.....Sciences	4.1	29.5%	51.1%	17.0%	2.3%	.	88
.....Textiles	4.2	36.4%	51.5%	12.1%	.	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.2	38.5%	50.0%	7.7%	3.8%	.	26
.....Management	4.2	44.4%	37.0%	14.8%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	4.3	25.0%	75.0%	.	.	.	4

E2s: NCSU Supportiveness: Nontraditional students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.8	22.5%	44.1%	24.6%	7.5%	1.2%	755
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.7	17.2%	41.4%	32.2%	9.2%	.	87
.....Design	4.0	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.	.	15
.....Education	3.3	10.7%	36.9%	29.1%	19.4%	3.9%	103
.....Engineering	4.0	28.2%	48.4%	19.4%	4.0%	.	252
.....Natural Resources	3.8	24.0%	40.0%	28.0%	4.0%	4.0%	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.5	14.9%	39.4%	29.8%	14.9%	1.1%	94
.....Sciences	3.8	21.6%	44.3%	27.3%	3.4%	3.4%	88
.....Textiles	4.0	30.3%	42.4%	27.3%	.	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.2	30.8%	57.7%	11.5%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.2	37.0%	44.4%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.2	60.0%	20.0%	.	20.0%	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 15

E2t: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with children	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.7	20.8%	39.3%	29.7%	8.8%	1.3%	753
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.6	16.1%	36.8%	34.5%	11.5%	1.1%	87
.....Design	3.9	20.0%	53.3%	26.7%	.	.	15
.....Education	3.2	8.7%	31.1%	35.9%	22.3%	1.9%	103
.....Engineering	3.9	27.1%	41.4%	25.5%	5.2%	0.8%	251
.....Natural Resources	3.5	8.7%	47.8%	30.4%	8.7%	4.3%	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.5	17.0%	30.9%	40.4%	9.6%	2.1%	94
.....Sciences	3.7	16.7%	44.4%	30.0%	7.8%	1.1%	90
.....Textiles	4.0	25.0%	46.9%	28.1%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.1	34.6%	50.0%	11.5%	.	3.8%	26
.....Management	4.1	37.0%	40.7%	18.5%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	4.2	60.0%	20.0%	.	20.0%	.	5

E2u: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are veterans	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	30.4%	45.1%	22.1%	2.1%	0.3%	751
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	24.4%	45.3%	27.9%	2.3%	.	86
.....Design	4.2	26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	.	.	15
.....Education	3.6	16.8%	39.6%	34.7%	8.9%	.	101
.....Engineering	4.2	39.9%	41.9%	17.4%	0.4%	0.4%	253
.....Natural Resources	4.0	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.9	24.5%	46.8%	24.5%	4.3%	.	94
.....Sciences	4.0	25.0%	51.1%	23.9%	.	.	88
.....Textiles	4.1	28.1%	59.4%	9.4%	.	3.1%	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.3	46.2%	42.3%	11.5%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.2	37.0%	44.4%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 16

E2v: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	23.3%	46.8%	23.9%	5.4%	0.7%	761
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	27.0%	41.6%	23.6%	6.7%	1.1%	89
.....Design	4.0	12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	.	.	16
.....Education	3.6	12.6%	47.6%	27.2%	11.7%	1.0%	103
.....Engineering	4.0	26.6%	47.3%	22.3%	3.9%	.	256
.....Natural Resources	3.8	20.8%	50.0%	20.8%	4.2%	4.2%	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.8	21.1%	44.2%	27.4%	7.4%	.	95
.....Sciences	3.9	21.6%	46.6%	27.3%	4.5%	.	88
.....Textiles	3.8	31.3%	34.4%	25.0%	3.1%	6.3%	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.0	19.2%	57.7%	23.1%	.	.	26
.....Management	4.2	37.0%	48.1%	14.8%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.0	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E3a: Grad Prog Supportiveness: African American students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	35.7%	45.5%	15.7%	1.7%	1.4%	760
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	28.1%	41.6%	24.7%	3.4%	2.2%	89
.....Design	4.1	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	.	.	16
.....Education	4.3	43.7%	44.7%	10.7%	.	1.0%	103
.....Engineering	4.1	33.1%	47.8%	15.9%	2.0%	1.2%	251
.....Natural Resources	4.0	21.7%	60.9%	13.0%	.	4.3%	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.1	39.2%	38.1%	15.5%	4.1%	3.1%	97
.....Sciences	4.2	38.2%	47.2%	14.6%	.	.	89
.....Textiles	4.1	33.3%	45.5%	18.2%	.	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.3	48.1%	37.0%	11.1%	3.7%	.	27
.....Management	4.3	40.7%	48.1%	11.1%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.2	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 17

E3b: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Asian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	35.4%	45.5%	16.0%	2.2%	0.8%	762
College/School							
.....**Agriculture and Life Sciences**	4.1	32.6%	46.1%	18.0%	3.4%	.	89
.....**Design**	4.0	25.0%	56.3%	12.5%	6.3%	.	16
.....**Education**	4.1	33.0%	45.6%	16.5%	3.9%	1.0%	103
.....**Engineering**	4.1	33.2%	50.2%	14.6%	1.2%	0.8%	253
.....**Natural Resources**	4.1	37.5%	45.8%	12.5%	.	4.2%	24
.....**Humanities and Social Sciences**	4.0	34.4%	35.4%	24.0%	5.2%	1.0%	96
.....**Sciences**	4.3	42.7%	44.9%	11.2%	.	1.1%	89
.....**Textiles**	4.1	33.3%	48.5%	15.2%	3.0%	.	33
.....**Veterinary Medicine**	4.3	44.4%	40.7%	14.8%	.	.	27
.....**Management**	4.3	48.1%	37.0%	14.8%	.	.	27
.....**Provost**	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E3c: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	32.0%	44.9%	19.7%	2.3%	1.2%	753
College/School							
.....**Agriculture and Life Sciences**	4.0	28.1%	46.1%	22.5%	3.4%	.	89
.....**Design**	4.3	33.3%	60.0%	6.7%	.	.	15
.....**Education**	4.1	33.7%	46.2%	15.4%	3.8%	1.0%	104
.....**Engineering**	4.0	28.0%	47.6%	20.7%	2.4%	1.2%	246
.....**Natural Resources**	4.0	30.4%	43.5%	21.7%	.	4.3%	23
.....**Humanities and Social Sciences**	3.9	33.7%	34.7%	24.2%	4.2%	3.2%	95
.....**Sciences**	4.2	34.8%	46.1%	19.1%	.	.	89
.....**Textiles**	4.0	30.3%	45.5%	21.2%	.	3.0%	33
.....**Veterinary Medicine**	4.3	48.1%	37.0%	14.8%	.	.	27
.....**Management**	4.3	40.7%	48.1%	11.1%	.	.	27
.....**Provost**	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 18

E3d: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	31.7%	42.8%	22.5%	1.9%	1.1%	754
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	25.3%	43.7%	27.6%	3.4%	.	87
.....Design	4.2	25.0%	68.8%	6.3%	.	.	16
.....Education	4.0	28.2%	47.6%	19.4%	3.9%	1.0%	103
.....Engineering	4.0	31.7%	43.8%	22.1%	1.2%	1.2%	249
.....Natural Resources	3.9	26.1%	43.5%	26.1%	.	4.3%	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.9	34.4%	32.3%	27.1%	3.1%	3.1%	96
.....Sciences	4.1	32.6%	46.1%	21.3%	.	.	89
.....Textiles	4.0	33.3%	39.4%	24.2%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.3	48.1%	33.3%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Management	4.2	40.7%	40.7%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.3	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.	.	4

E3e: Grad Prog Supportiveness: White students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.4	46.2%	44.3%	8.6%	0.5%	0.4%	759
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.4	47.1%	43.7%	8.0%	1.1%	.	87
.....Design	4.3	25.0%	75.0%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	4.5	53.9%	41.2%	3.9%	1.0%	.	102
.....Engineering	4.3	40.0%	46.8%	12.0%	0.8%	0.4%	250
.....Natural Resources	4.3	48.0%	36.0%	16.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.4	51.0%	39.8%	8.2%	.	1.0%	98
.....Sciences	4.4	44.9%	48.3%	5.6%	.	1.1%	89
.....Textiles	4.5	54.5%	36.4%	9.1%	.	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.5	51.9%	48.1%	.	.	.	27
.....Management	4.4	51.9%	37.0%	11.1%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 19

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Graduate Students)
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Academic College

E3f: Grad Prog Supportiveness: International students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.2	39.1%	44.0%	13.4%	2.7%	0.8%	752
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.2	37.5%	47.7%	12.5%	2.3%	.	88
.....Design	4.0	33.3%	40.0%	20.0%	6.7%	.	15
.....Education	4.2	37.6%	41.6%	20.8%	.	.	101
.....Engineering	4.2	37.8%	48.2%	11.2%	2.0%	0.8%	249
.....Natural Resources	4.4	45.8%	45.8%	8.3%	.	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.9	37.9%	32.6%	16.8%	10.5%	2.1%	95
.....Sciences	4.3	38.6%	48.9%	12.5%	.	.	88
.....Textiles	4.2	36.4%	51.5%	9.1%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.1	48.1%	33.3%	7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	27
.....Management	4.4	55.6%	33.3%	11.1%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E3g: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Female students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	41.0%	46.2%	10.6%	1.5%	0.8%	754
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.1	31.4%	54.7%	9.3%	3.5%	1.2%	86
.....Design	4.3	33.3%	66.7%	.	.	.	15
.....Education	4.4	49.5%	42.6%	6.9%	.	1.0%	101
.....Engineering	4.2	38.5%	44.5%	14.6%	1.2%	1.2%	247
.....Natural Resources	4.1	36.0%	48.0%	12.0%	.	4.0%	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.2	41.2%	43.3%	13.4%	2.1%	.	97
.....Sciences	4.3	45.1%	46.2%	6.6%	2.2%	.	91
.....Textiles	4.3	39.4%	51.5%	9.1%	.	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.5	55.6%	40.7%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Management	4.3	40.7%	48.1%	11.1%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.2	60.0%	20.0%	.	20.0%	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 20

E3h: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Male students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.3	42.8%	46.4%	9.6%	0.8%	0.4%	752
College/School							
.....**Agriculture and Life Sciences**	4.3	42.5%	48.3%	9.2%	.	.	87
.....**Design**	4.3	26.7%	73.3%	.	.	.	15
.....**Education**	4.3	45.1%	46.1%	6.9%	1.0%	1.0%	102
.....**Engineering**	4.2	36.8%	48.2%	13.8%	0.8%	0.4%	247
.....**Natural Resources**	4.4	56.5%	30.4%	13.0%	.	.	23
.....**Humanities and Social Sciences**	4.3	46.9%	41.7%	7.3%	3.1%	1.0%	96
.....**Sciences**	4.4	47.8%	45.6%	6.7%	.	.	90
.....**Textiles**	4.3	42.4%	45.5%	12.1%	.	.	33
.....**Veterinary Medicine**	4.6	59.3%	37.0%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....**Management**	4.3	40.7%	51.9%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....**Provost**	4.4	40.0%	60.0%	.	.	.	5

E3i: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Transgender students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	3.9	29.5%	38.9%	27.6%	3.2%	0.7%	742
College/School							
.....**Agriculture and Life Sciences**	3.8	24.4%	37.2%	32.6%	4.7%	1.2%	86
.....**Design**	4.1	26.7%	60.0%	13.3%	.	.	15
.....**Education**	4.0	29.0%	39.0%	31.0%	.	1.0%	100
.....**Engineering**	3.9	28.9%	39.4%	27.2%	3.3%	1.2%	246
.....**Natural Resources**	3.8	21.7%	39.1%	34.8%	4.3%	.	23
.....**Humanities and Social Sciences**	3.9	29.8%	36.2%	24.5%	9.6%	.	94
.....**Sciences**	4.0	31.5%	40.4%	28.1%	.	.	89
.....**Textiles**	4.1	34.4%	40.6%	25.0%	.	.	32
.....**Veterinary Medicine**	4.1	40.7%	29.6%	25.9%	3.7%	.	27
.....**Management**	4.2	38.5%	42.3%	15.4%	3.8%	.	26
.....**Provost**	3.8	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.	.	4

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 21

E3j: Grad Prog Supportiveness: GLB students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	31.7%	43.9%	21.6%	2.0%	0.8%	742
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	29.1%	41.9%	23.3%	4.7%	1.2%	86
.....Design	4.1	26.7%	60.0%	13.3%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.1	34.7%	47.5%	15.8%	1.0%	1.0%	101
.....Engineering	3.9	27.8%	42.9%	25.3%	2.4%	1.6%	245
.....Natural Resources	3.9	25.0%	41.7%	29.2%	4.2%	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.1	34.0%	40.4%	23.4%	2.1%	.	94
.....Sciences	4.2	35.2%	47.7%	17.0%	.	.	88
.....Textiles	4.1	34.4%	43.8%	18.8%	3.1%	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.3	44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	.	.	27
.....Management	4.2	38.5%	42.3%	19.2%	.	.	26
.....Provost	3.8	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.	.	4

E3k: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	34.4%	43.9%	19.2%	1.9%	0.7%	741
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.1	37.9%	40.2%	18.4%	3.4%	.	87
.....Design	4.2	33.3%	53.3%	13.3%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.1	35.6%	45.5%	16.8%	1.0%	1.0%	101
.....Engineering	4.1	33.7%	44.0%	20.6%	0.8%	0.8%	243
.....Natural Resources	4.0	29.2%	45.8%	25.0%	.	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.9	29.8%	36.2%	26.6%	6.4%	1.1%	94
.....Sciences	4.1	30.7%	50.0%	17.0%	1.1%	1.1%	88
.....Textiles	4.3	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.4	48.1%	48.1%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Management	4.1	36.0%	40.0%	20.0%	4.0%	.	25
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 22

E3l: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Jewish students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	31.0%	43.7%	23.8%	1.2%	0.3%	743
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	25.9%	41.2%	29.4%	3.5%	.	85
.....Design	4.1	26.7%	53.3%	20.0%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.0	29.7%	45.5%	22.8%	2.0%	.	101
.....Engineering	4.1	30.8%	46.2%	22.7%	.	0.4%	247
.....Natural Resources	4.0	30.4%	43.5%	26.1%	.	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.0	30.1%	37.6%	30.1%	2.2%	.	93
.....Sciences	4.1	30.3%	49.4%	19.1%	.	1.1%	89
.....Textiles	4.1	34.4%	43.8%	21.9%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.2	44.4%	37.0%	14.8%	3.7%	.	27
.....Management	4.0	38.5%	30.8%	26.9%	3.8%	.	26
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E3m: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Muslim students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	32.1%	43.4%	22.4%	1.5%	0.7%	745
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	27.1%	42.4%	28.2%	2.4%	.	85
.....Design	3.9	26.7%	46.7%	20.0%	6.7%	.	15
.....Education	4.0	30.4%	43.1%	23.5%	1.0%	2.0%	102
.....Engineering	4.1	32.4%	47.0%	18.2%	1.2%	1.2%	247
.....Natural Resources	4.0	30.4%	43.5%	26.1%	.	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.9	31.9%	33.0%	33.0%	2.1%	.	94
.....Sciences	4.1	30.3%	49.4%	20.2%	.	.	89
.....Textiles	4.2	40.6%	40.6%	15.6%	3.1%	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.3	44.4%	37.0%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Management	4.1	38.5%	38.5%	19.2%	3.8%	.	26
.....Provost	4.2	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 23

E3n: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	32.0%	44.2%	22.2%	1.2%	0.4%	747
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	26.7%	43.0%	25.6%	4.7%	.	86
.....Design	4.1	26.7%	53.3%	20.0%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.0	31.7%	43.6%	21.8%	2.0%	1.0%	101
.....Engineering	4.1	31.0%	46.8%	21.4%	0.4%	0.4%	248
.....Natural Resources	4.1	30.4%	47.8%	21.7%	.	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.0	32.6%	35.8%	30.5%	1.1%	.	95
.....Sciences	4.1	32.6%	49.4%	18.0%	.	.	89
.....Textiles	4.2	37.5%	43.8%	15.6%	3.1%	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.2	44.4%	37.0%	14.8%	.	3.7%	27
.....Management	4.2	38.5%	38.5%	23.1%	.	.	26
.....Provost	4.2	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E3o: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Students with disabilities	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	33.8%	45.3%	18.6%	1.9%	0.4%	746
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	28.4%	40.9%	25.0%	4.5%	1.1%	88
.....Design	4.2	33.3%	53.3%	13.3%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.0	29.7%	47.5%	18.8%	4.0%	.	101
.....Engineering	4.1	33.6%	47.4%	17.8%	0.8%	0.4%	247
.....Natural Resources	4.0	34.8%	30.4%	34.8%	.	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.1	36.6%	40.9%	18.3%	4.3%	.	93
.....Sciences	4.2	32.6%	51.7%	15.7%	.	.	89
.....Textiles	4.2	37.5%	46.9%	15.6%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.3	48.1%	40.7%	7.4%	.	3.7%	27
.....Management	4.2	38.5%	42.3%	19.2%	.	.	26
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 24

E3p: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Poor/working class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	31.4%	43.2%	20.3%	4.0%	1.1%	748
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	3.9	28.7%	41.4%	21.8%	8.0%	.	87
.....Design	4.1	26.7%	60.0%	13.3%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.0	33.7%	39.6%	18.8%	7.9%	.	101
.....Engineering	4.0	30.0%	43.7%	23.5%	2.0%	0.8%	247
.....Natural Resources	3.9	25.0%	45.8%	25.0%	4.2%	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.9	31.6%	37.9%	20.0%	7.4%	3.2%	95
.....Sciences	4.1	30.3%	53.9%	11.2%	1.1%	3.4%	89
.....Textiles	4.1	31.3%	50.0%	18.8%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.2	44.4%	33.3%	18.5%	3.7%	.	27
.....Management	4.1	38.5%	34.6%	26.9%	.	.	26
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E3q: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Middle class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	34.0%	46.2%	17.8%	1.5%	0.5%	747
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.1	33.3%	46.0%	18.4%	2.3%	.	87
.....Design	4.2	26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.2	37.6%	47.5%	12.9%	2.0%	.	101
.....Engineering	4.0	30.4%	45.3%	21.9%	1.6%	0.8%	247
.....Natural Resources	4.0	30.4%	39.1%	26.1%	4.3%	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.2	40.0%	42.1%	15.8%	2.1%	.	95
.....Sciences	4.1	31.5%	53.9%	12.4%	.	2.2%	89
.....Textiles	4.2	34.4%	46.9%	18.8%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.4	44.4%	48.1%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Management	4.0	34.6%	34.6%	30.8%	.	.	26
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 25

E3r: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.2	36.3%	44.9%	17.5%	0.8%	0.5%	742
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.2	36.0%	45.3%	18.6%	.	.	86
.....Design	4.3	33.3%	60.0%	6.7%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.2	38.6%	46.5%	13.9%	.	1.0%	101
.....Engineering	4.1	33.5%	44.5%	21.2%	0.4%	0.4%	245
.....Natural Resources	4.0	30.4%	39.1%	26.1%	4.3%	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.1	36.2%	40.4%	19.1%	3.2%	1.1%	94
.....Sciences	4.2	35.2%	50.0%	13.6%	.	1.1%	88
.....Textiles	4.3	40.6%	43.8%	15.6%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.5	55.6%	40.7%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Management	4.1	34.6%	46.2%	15.4%	3.8%	.	26
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E3s: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Nontraditional students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	31.9%	48.8%	14.2%	4.1%	0.9%	752
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.0	25.3%	54.0%	12.6%	6.9%	1.1%	87
.....Design	4.1	26.7%	60.0%	13.3%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.0	32.4%	46.1%	14.7%	4.9%	2.0%	102
.....Engineering	4.1	30.5%	51.0%	16.1%	1.6%	0.8%	249
.....Natural Resources	4.1	36.0%	44.0%	16.0%	4.0%	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.9	31.3%	40.6%	14.6%	12.5%	1.0%	96
.....Sciences	4.1	29.5%	53.4%	14.8%	1.1%	1.1%	88
.....Textiles	4.2	37.5%	46.9%	9.4%	6.3%	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.5	51.9%	44.4%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Management	4.3	42.3%	46.2%	11.5%	.	.	26
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 26

E3t: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Students with children	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.0	31.5%	45.5%	17.6%	4.4%	1.1%	752
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.0	29.9%	46.0%	17.2%	6.9%	.	87
.....Design	4.1	26.7%	60.0%	13.3%	.	.	15
.....Education	4.0	31.7%	42.6%	16.8%	7.9%	1.0%	101
.....Engineering	4.0	30.1%	46.6%	20.1%	1.6%	1.6%	249
.....Natural Resources	3.9	26.1%	43.5%	21.7%	8.7%	.	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	3.9	33.7%	37.9%	15.8%	10.5%	2.1%	95
.....Sciences	4.0	25.6%	54.4%	17.8%	1.1%	1.1%	90
.....Textiles	4.2	36.4%	45.5%	18.2%	.	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.3	48.1%	37.0%	11.1%	3.7%	.	27
.....Management	4.3	40.7%	48.1%	7.4%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E3u: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Students who are veterans	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	34.0%	45.3%	19.2%	0.9%	0.5%	750
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.0	28.7%	48.3%	20.7%	2.3%	.	87
.....Design	4.3	26.7%	73.3%	.	.	.	15
.....Education	4.0	30.7%	42.6%	24.8%	2.0%	.	101
.....Engineering	4.1	35.3%	44.6%	18.9%	0.4%	0.8%	249
.....Natural Resources	4.0	37.5%	33.3%	25.0%	4.2%	.	24
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.0	33.7%	38.9%	25.3%	1.1%	1.1%	95
.....Sciences	4.1	29.2%	55.1%	14.6%	.	1.1%	89
.....Textiles	4.3	37.5%	53.1%	9.4%	.	.	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.4	51.9%	37.0%	11.1%	.	.	27
.....Management	4.3	42.3%	42.3%	15.4%	.	.	26
.....Provost	4.4	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 27

E3v: Grad Prog Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
All	4.1	33.6%	45.7%	17.7%	1.7%	1.2%	755
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	4.0	29.9%	46.0%	21.8%	2.3%	.	87
.....Design	4.1	25.0%	62.5%	6.3%	6.3%	.	16
.....Education	3.9	28.4%	46.1%	17.6%	6.9%	1.0%	102
.....Engineering	4.1	32.4%	50.0%	16.4%	0.4%	0.8%	250
.....Natural Resources	4.0	26.1%	56.5%	13.0%	.	4.3%	23
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	4.0	37.5%	31.3%	26.0%	2.1%	3.1%	96
.....Sciences	4.2	36.0%	48.3%	14.6%	.	1.1%	89
.....Textiles	4.2	39.4%	42.4%	15.2%	.	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	4.3	48.1%	33.3%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Management	4.3	44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	.	.	27
.....Provost	4.2	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E4a: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	87.7%	9.6%	2.1%	0.4%	0.3%	762
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.2	88.5%	5.7%	3.4%	2.3%	.	87
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.1	89.1%	9.9%	1.0%	.	.	101
.....Engineering	1.2	86.7%	9.8%	2.3%	0.4%	0.8%	256
.....Natural Resources	1.1	92.0%	8.0%	.	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.2	87.4%	9.5%	3.2%	.	.	95
.....Sciences	1.1	91.1%	8.9%	.	.	.	90
.....Textiles	1.2	81.8%	15.2%	3.0%	.	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.3	74.1%	25.9%	.	.	.	27
.....Management	1.2	88.9%	3.7%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 28

E4b: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	84.3%	11.2%	3.4%	0.8%	0.3%	766
College/School							
.....**Agriculture and Life Sciences**	1.3	79.5%	15.9%	2.3%	2.3%	.	88
.....**Design**	1.1	87.5%	12.5%	.	.	.	16
.....**Education**	1.2	83.7%	11.5%	4.8%	.	.	104
.....**Engineering**	1.2	87.5%	8.2%	2.7%	0.8%	0.8%	256
.....**Natural Resources**	1.1	92.0%	8.0%	.	.	.	25
.....**Humanities and Social Sciences**	1.3	82.3%	9.4%	6.3%	2.1%	.	96
.....**Sciences**	1.1	87.6%	10.1%	2.2%	.	.	89
.....**Textiles**	1.3	75.8%	21.2%	3.0%	.	.	33
.....**Veterinary Medicine**	1.3	70.4%	25.9%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....**Management**	1.3	81.5%	11.1%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....**Provost**	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

E4c: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.3	79.3%	13.8%	5.9%	0.7%	0.4%	762
College/School							
.....**Agriculture and Life Sciences**	1.3	74.7%	18.4%	4.6%	2.3%	.	87
.....**Design**	1.2	87.5%	6.3%	6.3%	.	.	16
.....**Education**	1.2	85.1%	7.9%	6.9%	.	.	101
.....**Engineering**	1.4	73.0%	16.4%	9.0%	0.4%	1.2%	256
.....**Natural Resources**	1.1	88.0%	12.0%	.	.	.	25
.....**Humanities and Social Sciences**	1.2	86.3%	10.5%	3.2%	.	.	95
.....**Sciences**	1.2	83.3%	12.2%	4.4%	.	.	90
.....**Textiles**	1.5	66.7%	24.2%	3.0%	6.1%	.	33
.....**Veterinary Medicine**	1.2	85.2%	11.1%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....**Management**	1.2	85.2%	11.1%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....**Provost**	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 29

E4d: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.4	70.8%	21.0%	7.4%	0.7%	0.1%	768
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.4	68.2%	23.9%	6.8%	1.1%	.	88
.....Design	1.3	75.0%	25.0%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.3	81.7%	11.5%	5.8%	1.0%	.	104
.....Engineering	1.4	66.8%	23.4%	9.0%	0.4%	0.4%	256
.....Natural Resources	1.2	80.0%	16.0%	4.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.3	74.0%	17.7%	8.3%	.	.	96
.....Sciences	1.4	71.4%	19.8%	7.7%	1.1%	.	91
.....Textiles	1.6	54.5%	33.3%	9.1%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.5	55.6%	40.7%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.3	81.5%	11.1%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

E4e: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.3	76.5%	16.1%	6.0%	0.8%	0.5%	762
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.4	70.1%	19.5%	9.2%	1.1%	.	87
.....Design	1.2	81.3%	18.8%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.2	81.2%	15.8%	3.0%	.	.	101
.....Engineering	1.3	79.7%	14.5%	4.3%	0.8%	0.8%	256
.....Natural Resources	1.3	80.0%	12.0%	8.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.4	72.6%	14.7%	11.6%	.	1.1%	95
.....Sciences	1.4	75.6%	15.6%	6.7%	1.1%	1.1%	90
.....Textiles	1.4	72.7%	21.2%	3.0%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.6	59.3%	25.9%	11.1%	3.7%	.	27
.....Management	1.3	77.8%	18.5%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 30

E4f: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	83.1%	12.0%	3.9%	0.7%	0.3%	765
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.3	75.0%	19.3%	3.4%	2.3%	.	88
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.2	87.4%	7.8%	4.9%	.	.	103
.....Engineering	1.2	85.5%	8.6%	4.7%	0.4%	0.8%	256
.....Natural Resources	1.1	88.0%	12.0%	.	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.3	82.3%	11.5%	4.2%	2.1%	.	96
.....Sciences	1.2	86.5%	11.2%	2.2%	.	.	89
.....Textiles	1.3	72.7%	24.2%	3.0%	.	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.4	63.0%	33.3%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.3	81.5%	11.1%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

E4g: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.3	81.5%	13.8%	3.1%	0.9%	0.7%	762
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.3	78.2%	12.6%	6.9%	2.3%	.	87
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.2	82.4%	12.7%	3.9%	.	1.0%	102
.....Engineering	1.2	83.5%	12.2%	2.4%	0.8%	1.2%	255
.....Natural Resources	1.2	80.0%	16.0%	4.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.3	73.7%	20.0%	4.2%	2.1%	.	95
.....Sciences	1.2	85.6%	13.3%	.	1.1%	.	90
.....Textiles	1.4	72.7%	21.2%	3.0%	.	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.2	81.5%	18.5%	.	.	.	27
.....Management	1.2	85.2%	7.4%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 31

E4h: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.5	65.5%	23.2%	9.2%	1.6%	0.5%	768
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.6	59.1%	25.0%	11.4%	4.5%	.	88
.....Design	1.2	81.3%	18.8%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.5	67.3%	22.1%	8.7%	1.9%	.	104
.....Engineering	1.4	70.3%	22.3%	5.9%	0.4%	1.2%	256
.....Natural Resources	1.7	52.0%	36.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.6	61.5%	17.7%	18.8%	2.1%	.	96
.....Sciences	1.5	63.7%	24.2%	11.0%	1.1%	.	91
.....Textiles	1.5	66.7%	21.2%	9.1%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.6	44.4%	48.1%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.3	74.1%	18.5%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.4	80.0%	.	20.0%	.	.	5

E5a: Grad students make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.3	77.2%	16.8%	4.0%	1.3%	0.7%	751
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.4	73.6%	16.1%	4.6%	3.4%	2.3%	87
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.4	73.5%	20.4%	4.1%	1.0%	1.0%	98
.....Engineering	1.3	79.5%	14.1%	4.0%	1.6%	0.8%	249
.....Natural Resources	1.2	84.0%	16.0%	.	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.3	75.8%	17.9%	6.3%	.	.	95
.....Sciences	1.2	84.3%	12.4%	2.2%	1.1%	.	89
.....Textiles	1.4	69.7%	24.2%	3.0%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.4	59.3%	37.0%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.3	74.1%	18.5%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.2	80.0%	20.0%	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 32

E5b: Grad students make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.5	66.2%	18.8%	10.9%	2.7%	1.5%	754
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.6	65.1%	16.3%	14.0%	3.5%	1.2%	86
.....Design	1.3	68.8%	31.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.6	60.6%	22.2%	13.1%	3.0%	1.0%	99
.....Engineering	1.5	68.8%	16.0%	10.4%	2.4%	2.4%	250
.....Natural Resources	1.2	80.0%	20.0%	.	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.7	58.8%	20.6%	12.4%	5.2%	3.1%	97
.....Sciences	1.4	74.2%	15.7%	7.9%	2.2%	.	89
.....Textiles	1.5	63.6%	21.2%	12.1%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.7	48.1%	37.0%	14.8%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.4	74.1%	14.8%	11.1%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.6	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E5c: Grad students make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.6	61.0%	20.8%	14.5%	2.5%	1.2%	751
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.7	58.6%	19.5%	17.2%	3.4%	1.1%	87
.....Design	1.4	68.8%	18.8%	12.5%	.	.	16
.....Education	1.6	64.3%	19.4%	13.3%	2.0%	1.0%	98
.....Engineering	1.7	57.0%	20.1%	16.5%	4.0%	2.4%	249
.....Natural Resources	1.4	68.0%	24.0%	8.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.5	68.4%	18.9%	11.6%	1.1%	.	95
.....Sciences	1.5	65.2%	23.6%	9.0%	2.2%	.	89
.....Textiles	1.7	54.5%	24.2%	18.2%	.	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.6	55.6%	25.9%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.7	55.6%	18.5%	22.2%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	1.4	60.0%	40.0%	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 33

E5d: Grad students make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.9	45.6%	28.2%	20.3%	4.8%	1.2%	755
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	2.0	42.5%	28.7%	20.7%	4.6%	3.4%	87
.....Design	1.6	56.3%	31.3%	12.5%	.	.	16
.....Education	1.8	50.0%	28.0%	18.0%	3.0%	1.0%	100
.....Engineering	2.0	45.6%	23.2%	22.0%	7.6%	1.6%	250
.....Natural Resources	1.5	60.0%	28.0%	12.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.8	45.4%	34.0%	17.5%	3.1%	.	97
.....Sciences	1.8	47.2%	32.6%	16.9%	3.4%	.	89
.....Textiles	2.1	37.5%	25.0%	28.1%	6.3%	3.1%	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	2.2	14.8%	48.1%	37.0%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.9	48.1%	22.2%	22.2%	7.4%	.	27
.....Provost	1.2	80.0%	20.0%	.	.	.	5

E5e: Grad students make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.7	57.4%	23.8%	12.9%	4.3%	1.6%	751
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.7	58.6%	23.0%	10.3%	6.9%	1.1%	87
.....Design	1.4	68.8%	25.0%	6.3%	.	.	16
.....Education	1.6	56.1%	29.6%	10.2%	2.0%	2.0%	98
.....Engineering	1.6	60.8%	22.8%	10.4%	4.4%	1.6%	250
.....Natural Resources	1.6	56.0%	28.0%	16.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.8	54.3%	22.3%	17.0%	4.3%	2.1%	94
.....Sciences	1.8	56.2%	19.1%	15.7%	6.7%	2.2%	89
.....Textiles	1.8	51.5%	27.3%	15.2%	3.0%	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.9	48.1%	25.9%	18.5%	7.4%	.	27
.....Management	1.6	59.3%	18.5%	22.2%	.	.	27
.....Provost	2.0	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.	.	5

E5f: Grad students make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.6	62.1%	22.0%	12.1%	2.3%	1.6%	754
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.7	58.6%	20.7%	16.1%	2.3%	2.3%	87
.....Design	1.4	56.3%	43.8%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.6	59.6%	26.3%	12.1%	1.0%	1.0%	99
.....Engineering	1.6	65.6%	16.8%	12.0%	2.8%	2.8%	250
.....Natural Resources	1.4	64.0%	32.0%	4.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.7	58.8%	23.7%	10.3%	5.2%	2.1%	97
.....Sciences	1.4	70.8%	19.1%	9.0%	1.1%	.	89
.....Textiles	1.7	54.5%	27.3%	15.2%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.9	37.0%	40.7%	22.2%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.5	65.4%	15.4%	19.2%	.	.	26
.....Provost	1.2	80.0%	20.0%	.	.	.	5

E5g: Grad students make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.5	68.2%	20.4%	9.1%	1.5%	0.9%	751
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.5	69.0%	13.8%	12.6%	3.4%	1.1%	87
.....Design	1.1	87.5%	12.5%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.6	62.2%	21.4%	15.3%	1.0%	.	98
.....Engineering	1.4	71.9%	16.9%	8.0%	2.0%	1.2%	249
.....Natural Resources	1.4	72.0%	20.0%	8.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.6	60.0%	25.3%	12.6%	.	2.1%	95
.....Sciences	1.3	71.9%	25.8%	1.1%	1.1%	.	89
.....Textiles	1.6	57.6%	33.3%	6.1%	.	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.4	66.7%	25.9%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.5	70.4%	14.8%	11.1%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	1.4	60.0%	40.0%	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 35

E4h: Grad students make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.7	52.6%	26.5%	16.2%	3.0%	1.7%	755
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.9	47.1%	23.0%	24.1%	3.4%	2.3%	87
.....Design	1.3	68.8%	31.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.7	52.0%	28.0%	18.0%	1.0%	1.0%	100
.....Engineering	1.7	55.8%	24.9%	12.4%	3.2%	3.6%	249
.....Natural Resources	1.8	52.0%	24.0%	16.0%	8.0%	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.9	47.4%	26.8%	19.6%	5.2%	1.0%	97
.....Sciences	1.7	56.2%	24.7%	16.9%	2.2%	.	89
.....Textiles	1.6	54.5%	30.3%	12.1%	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.8	40.7%	40.7%	18.5%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.6	59.3%	25.9%	11.1%	3.7%	.	27
.....Provost	2.4	.	60.0%	40.0%	.	.	5

E6a: Staff make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	87.5%	10.0%	2.1%	0.3%	0.1%	751
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.1	91.9%	4.7%	2.3%	1.2%	.	86
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.2	81.6%	17.3%	1.0%	.	.	98
.....Engineering	1.2	87.7%	9.1%	2.8%	.	0.4%	253
.....Natural Resources	1.2	88.0%	8.0%	4.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.1	89.4%	7.4%	3.2%	.	.	94
.....Sciences	1.1	94.3%	4.5%	1.1%	.	.	88
.....Textiles	1.3	75.8%	21.2%	.	3.0%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.3	70.4%	25.9%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.1	88.5%	11.5%	.	.	.	26
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 36

E6b: Staff make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	87.5%	9.1%	2.6%	0.3%	0.5%	758
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.1	92.0%	3.4%	3.4%	1.1%	.	87
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.2	81.2%	14.9%	3.0%	1.0%	.	101
.....Engineering	1.2	87.8%	7.9%	3.1%	.	1.2%	254
.....Natural Resources	1.2	84.0%	8.0%	8.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.1	89.5%	7.4%	3.2%	.	.	95
.....Sciences	1.0	95.5%	4.5%	.	.	.	88
.....Textiles	1.4	72.7%	21.2%	3.0%	.	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.2	77.8%	22.2%	.	.	.	27
.....Management	1.1	85.2%	14.8%	.	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

E6c: Staff make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	84.2%	11.2%	3.3%	0.9%	0.4%	753
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.2	87.4%	5.7%	4.6%	2.3%	.	87
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.2	81.8%	15.2%	2.0%	1.0%	.	99
.....Engineering	1.3	81.0%	13.8%	3.6%	0.8%	0.8%	253
.....Natural Resources	1.2	84.0%	8.0%	8.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.1	90.4%	7.4%	2.1%	.	.	94
.....Sciences	1.1	92.0%	6.8%	1.1%	.	.	88
.....Textiles	1.6	68.8%	15.6%	6.3%	6.3%	3.1%	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.3	74.1%	18.5%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.2	85.2%	11.1%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 37

E6d: Staff make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	81.7%	13.4%	3.8%	0.5%	0.5%	759
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.2	84.1%	9.1%	5.7%	1.1%	.	88
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.3	77.2%	17.8%	4.0%	1.0%	.	101
.....Engineering	1.3	79.9%	14.2%	4.3%	0.4%	1.2%	254
.....Natural Resources	1.3	80.0%	12.0%	8.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.2	85.3%	12.6%	2.1%	.	.	95
.....Sciences	1.1	89.8%	10.2%	.	.	.	88
.....Textiles	1.5	66.7%	21.2%	6.1%	3.0%	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.3	74.1%	18.5%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.2	85.2%	11.1%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

E6e: Staff make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	84.9%	10.7%	2.8%	0.9%	0.7%	754
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.2	87.4%	4.6%	5.7%	2.3%	.	87
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.2	82.8%	13.1%	2.0%	2.0%	.	99
.....Engineering	1.2	83.4%	12.3%	2.0%	0.8%	1.6%	253
.....Natural Resources	1.2	84.0%	8.0%	8.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.1	88.3%	8.5%	3.2%	.	.	94
.....Sciences	1.1	93.2%	5.7%	1.1%	.	.	88
.....Textiles	1.5	69.7%	18.2%	6.1%	3.0%	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.3	70.4%	25.9%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.1	85.2%	14.8%	.	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 38

E6f: Staff make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	86.1%	9.8%	3.3%	0.4%	0.4%	755
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.2	87.4%	6.9%	4.6%	1.1%	.	87
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.3	80.2%	13.9%	5.0%	1.0%	.	101
.....Engineering	1.2	87.3%	8.3%	3.2%	0.4%	0.8%	252
.....Natural Resources	1.2	84.0%	8.0%	8.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.1	88.4%	8.4%	3.2%	.	.	95
.....Sciences	1.1	94.3%	5.7%	.	.	.	88
.....Textiles	1.4	71.9%	21.9%	3.1%	.	3.1%	32
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.3	70.4%	25.9%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.2	85.2%	11.1%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

E6g: Staff make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	87.1%	9.4%	2.1%	0.7%	0.7%	752
College/School*							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.1	92.0%	4.6%	1.1%	2.3%	.	87
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.3	78.8%	17.2%	3.0%	1.0%	.	99
.....Engineering	1.2	87.7%	7.5%	2.8%	.	2.0%	252
.....Natural Resources	1.2	84.0%	12.0%	4.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.1	90.4%	7.4%	2.1%	.	.	94
.....Sciences	1.1	94.3%	5.7%	.	.	.	87
.....Textiles	1.5	69.7%	21.2%	3.0%	6.1%	.	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.2	81.5%	18.5%	.	.	.	27
.....Management	1.2	85.2%	11.1%	3.7%	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.0	100.0%	.	.	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 39

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Graduate Students)
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Academic College

E6h: Staff make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
All	1.2	83.8%	11.2%	3.7%	0.5%	0.8%	759
College/School							
.....Agriculture and Life Sciences	1.2	87.5%	5.7%	5.7%	1.1%	.	88
.....Design	1.1	93.8%	6.3%	.	.	.	16
.....Education	1.3	78.2%	14.9%	5.9%	.	1.0%	101
.....Engineering	1.3	84.3%	9.8%	3.1%	1.2%	1.6%	254
.....Natural Resources	1.2	88.0%	4.0%	8.0%	.	.	25
.....Humanities and Social Sciences	1.2	86.3%	10.5%	3.2%	.	.	95
.....Sciences	1.1	87.5%	12.5%	.	.	.	88
.....Textiles	1.4	72.7%	21.2%	3.0%	.	3.0%	33
.....Veterinary Medicine	1.4	70.4%	22.2%	7.4%	.	.	27
.....Management	1.1	85.2%	14.8%	.	.	.	27
.....Provost	1.4	80.0%	.	20.0%	.	.	5

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 40