North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
Poole College of Management
Section I: Sex Discrimination, Sexual Harassment, and Sexual Assault
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

I1a: How common at NCSU: Sexual harassment	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.9	6.0%	18.8%	36.8%	31.6%	6.8%	117

I1a: How common at NCSU: Sexual harassment	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	2.6	2.1%	12.5%	35.4%	43.8%	6.3%	48
.....Female	3.0	6.1%	24.2%	37.9%	24.2%	7.6%	66

I1a: How common at NCSU: Sexual harassment	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*							
.....White/Caucasian	2.8	3.3%	19.8%	37.4%	35.2%	4.4%	91
.....Students of Color	3.0	15.4%	15.4%	34.6%	19.2%	15.4%	26

I1a: How common at NCSU: Sexual harassment	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.9	10.0%	5.0%	55.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.8	3.6%	19.6%	33.9%	35.7%	7.1%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.9	7.5%	22.5%	32.5%	30.0%	7.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 1

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
Poole College of Management
Section I: Sex Discrimination, Sexual Harassment, and Sexual Assault
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

I1b: How common at NCSU: Stalking	Mean Rating	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.3	6.8%	29.9%	48.7%	14.5%	117

I1b: How common at NCSU: Stalking	Mean Rating	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.3	4.2%	29.2%	54.2%	12.5%	48
.....Female	2.3	7.6%	30.3%	45.5%	16.7%	66

I1b: How common at NCSU: Stalking	Mean Rating	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*						
.....White/Caucasian	2.3	5.5%	29.7%	56.0%	8.8%	91
.....Students of Color	2.2	11.5%	30.8%	23.1%	34.6%	26

I1b: How common at NCSU: Stalking	Mean Rating	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.3	5.0%	35.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.3	5.4%	30.4%	51.8%	12.5%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.3	10.0%	25.0%	50.0%	15.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 2

I1c: How common at NCSU: Relationship/dating/domestic violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.5	1.7%	12.0%	32.5%	42.7%	11.1%	117

I1c: How common at NCSU: Relationship/dating/domestic violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Gender							
.....**Male**	2.4	.	8.3%	31.3%	47.9%	12.5%	48
.....**Female**	2.6	3.0%	12.1%	33.3%	40.9%	10.6%	66

I1c: How common at NCSU: Relationship/dating/domestic violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*							
.....**White/Caucasian**	2.6	2.2%	12.1%	31.9%	48.4%	5.5%	91
.....**Students of Color**	2.3	.	11.5%	34.6%	23.1%	30.8%	26

I1c: How common at NCSU: Relationship/dating/domestic violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....**Poor/Working class**	2.5	.	15.0%	30.0%	45.0%	10.0%	20
.....**Middle class**	2.5	3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	41.1%	12.5%	56
.....**Upper middle/Upper class**	2.5	.	15.0%	30.0%	45.0%	10.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 3

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
Poole College of Management
Section I: Sex Discrimination, Sexual Harassment, and Sexual Assault
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

I1d: How common at NCSU: Sexual violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.7	6.0%	14.5%	35.0%	35.0%	9.4%	117

I1d: How common at NCSU: Sexual violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	2.3	4.2%	6.3%	20.8%	56.3%	12.5%	48
.....Female	3.0	6.1%	19.7%	45.5%	21.2%	7.6%	66

I1d: How common at NCSU: Sexual violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*							
.....White/Caucasian	2.7	3.3%	12.1%	38.5%	40.7%	5.5%	91
.....Students of Color	2.9	15.4%	23.1%	23.1%	15.4%	23.1%	26

I1d: How common at NCSU: Sexual violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.0	10.0%	20.0%	35.0%	25.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.6	5.4%	10.7%	37.5%	33.9%	12.5%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.7	2.5%	17.5%	32.5%	42.5%	5.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 4

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
Poole College of Management
Section I: Sex Discrimination, Sexual Harassment, and Sexual Assault
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

I2a: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual harrassment	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Total (N)	30.8%	69.2%	117

I2a: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual harrassment	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Gender			
.....Male	22.9%	77.1%	48
.....Female	34.8%	65.2%	66

I2a: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual harrassment	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity			
.....White/Caucasian	30.8%	69.2%	91
.....Students of Color	30.8%	69.2%	26

I2a: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual harrassment	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background			
.....Poor/Working class	20.0%	80.0%	20
.....Middle class	26.8%	73.2%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	42.5%	57.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 5

I2b: Do you know anyone: victim of stalking	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Total (N)	18.8%	81.2%	117

I2b: Do you know anyone: victim of stalking	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Gender			
.....Male	18.8%	81.3%	48
.....Female	18.2%	81.8%	66

I2b: Do you know anyone: victim of stalking	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity			
.....White/Caucasian	20.9%	79.1%	91
.....Students of Color	11.5%	88.5%	26

I2b: Do you know anyone: victim of stalking	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background			
.....Poor/Working class	20.0%	80.0%	20
.....Middle class	14.3%	85.7%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	25.0%	75.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 6

I2c: Do you know anyone: victim of relationship/dating/domestic violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Total (N)	21.4%	78.6%	117

I2c: Do you know anyone: victim of relationship/dating/domestic violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Gender			
.....Male	14.6%	85.4%	48
.....Female	24.2%	75.8%	66

I2c: Do you know anyone: victim of relationship/dating/domestic violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity			
.....White/Caucasian	24.2%	75.8%	91
.....Students of Color	11.5%	88.5%	26

I2c: Do you know anyone: victim of relationship/dating/domestic violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background			
.....Poor/Working class	20.0%	80.0%	20
.....Middle class	21.4%	78.6%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	20.0%	80.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 7

I2d: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Total (N)	17.9%	82.1%	117

I2d: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Gender*			
.....Male	8.3%	91.7%	48
.....Female	22.7%	77.3%	66

I2d: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity			
.....White/Caucasian	18.7%	81.3%	91
.....Students of Color	15.4%	84.6%	26

I2d: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background			
.....Poor/Working class	20.0%	80.0%	20
.....Middle class	19.6%	80.4%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	15.0%	85.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 8

I3a: How likely tell/report situation: to a friend	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.7	73.9%	20.0%	4.3%	1.7%	115

I3a: How likely tell/report situation: to a friend	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.6	68.1%	23.4%	6.4%	2.1%	47
.....Female	3.8	80.0%	16.9%	1.5%	1.5%	65

I3a: How likely tell/report situation: to a friend	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.7	71.9%	22.5%	4.5%	1.1%	89
.....Students of Color	3.7	80.8%	11.5%	3.8%	3.8%	26

I3a: How likely tell/report situation: to a friend	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.6	70.0%	20.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.7	74.1%	22.2%	3.7%	.	54
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.7	75.0%	17.5%	5.0%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 9

I3b: How likely tell/report situation: to an academic advisor/staff/faculty	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.8	23.5%	39.1%	30.4%	7.0%	115

I3b: How likely tell/report situation: to an academic advisor/staff/faculty	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.9	27.7%	34.0%	34.0%	4.3%	47
.....Female	2.8	21.5%	43.1%	26.2%	9.2%	65

I3b: How likely tell/report situation: to an academic advisor/staff/faculty	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.7	19.1%	43.8%	29.2%	7.9%	89
.....Students of Color	3.0	38.5%	23.1%	34.6%	3.8%	26

I3b: How likely tell/report situation: to an academic advisor/staff/faculty	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.8	25.0%	40.0%	25.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.9	27.8%	35.2%	37.0%	.	54
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.6	15.0%	45.0%	25.0%	15.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 10

I3c: How likely tell/report situation: to a Residence Hall Advisor	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.8	32.2%	27.0%	29.6%	11.3%	115

I3c: How likely tell/report situation: to a Residence Hall Advisor	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.0	38.3%	31.9%	21.3%	8.5%	47
.....Female	2.7	29.2%	24.6%	32.3%	13.8%	65

I3c: How likely tell/report situation: to a Residence Hall Advisor	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.8	30.3%	27.0%	31.5%	11.2%	89
.....Students of Color	2.9	38.5%	26.9%	23.1%	11.5%	26

I3c: How likely tell/report situation: to a Residence Hall Advisor	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.7	35.0%	20.0%	25.0%	20.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.0	38.9%	25.9%	31.5%	3.7%	54
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.6	22.5%	30.0%	30.0%	17.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 11

I3d: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Women's Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.8	29.6%	33.9%	26.1%	10.4%	115

I3d: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Women's Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.7	25.5%	34.0%	27.7%	12.8%	47
.....Female	2.9	33.8%	33.8%	24.6%	7.7%	65

I3d: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Women's Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.8	28.1%	36.0%	24.7%	11.2%	89
.....Students of Color	2.9	34.6%	26.9%	30.8%	7.7%	26

I3d: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Women's Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.7	30.0%	20.0%	35.0%	15.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.0	37.0%	31.5%	24.1%	7.4%	54
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.7	20.0%	45.0%	22.5%	12.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 12

I3e: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU OIED/EOO	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.4	16.8%	23.9%	44.2%	15.0%	113

I3e: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU OIED/EOO	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.5	17.4%	30.4%	39.1%	13.0%	46
.....Female	2.4	17.2%	20.3%	46.9%	15.6%	64

I3e: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU OIED/EOO	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.3	13.8%	21.8%	48.3%	16.1%	87
.....Students of Color	2.7	26.9%	30.8%	30.8%	11.5%	26

I3e: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU OIED/EOO	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.4	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.5	18.9%	24.5%	49.1%	7.5%	53
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.3	12.8%	25.6%	38.5%	23.1%	39

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 13

I3f: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Counseling Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.1	39.1%	36.5%	17.4%	7.0%	115

I3f: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Counseling Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.0	38.3%	34.0%	21.3%	6.4%	47
.....Female	3.1	40.0%	40.0%	12.3%	7.7%	65

I3f: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Counseling Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.1	38.2%	37.1%	18.0%	6.7%	89
.....Students of Color	3.1	42.3%	34.6%	15.4%	7.7%	26

I3f: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Counseling Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.1	40.0%	35.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.2	42.6%	37.0%	18.5%	1.9%	54
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.9	35.0%	37.5%	12.5%	15.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 14

I3g: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Student Health Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.9	29.6%	37.4%	22.6%	10.4%	115

I3g: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Student Health Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.8	21.3%	44.7%	25.5%	8.5%	47
.....Female	3.0	36.9%	32.3%	20.0%	10.8%	65

I3g: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Student Health Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.8	28.1%	37.1%	24.7%	10.1%	89
.....Students of Color	3.0	34.6%	38.5%	15.4%	11.5%	26

I3g: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Student Health Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.8	30.0%	35.0%	15.0%	20.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.9	31.5%	33.3%	29.6%	5.6%	54
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.9	27.5%	42.5%	17.5%	12.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 15

I3h: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Office of Student Conduct	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.9	30.7%	37.7%	22.8%	8.8%	114

I3h: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Office of Student Conduct	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.9	31.9%	38.3%	19.1%	10.6%	47
.....Female	2.9	31.3%	37.5%	23.4%	7.8%	64

I3h: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Office of Student Conduct	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.9	31.8%	34.1%	25.0%	9.1%	88
.....Students of Color	3.0	26.9%	50.0%	15.4%	7.7%	26

I3h: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Office of Student Conduct	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.9	30.0%	40.0%	15.0%	15.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.0	28.3%	43.4%	26.4%	1.9%	53
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.8	35.0%	27.5%	22.5%	15.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 16

I3i: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Campus Police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.5	67.0%	19.1%	7.8%	6.1%	115

I3i: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Campus Police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	3.4	59.6%	25.5%	6.4%	8.5%	47
.....Female	3.6	75.4%	15.4%	6.2%	3.1%	65

I3i: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Campus Police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.4	66.3%	18.0%	9.0%	6.7%	89
.....Students of Color	3.6	69.2%	23.1%	3.8%	3.8%	26

I3i: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Campus Police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.3	65.0%	15.0%	5.0%	15.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.5	66.7%	22.2%	9.3%	1.9%	54
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.5	70.0%	17.5%	5.0%	7.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 17

I3j: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU State Ombuds	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.5	15.9%	37.2%	29.2%	17.7%	113

I3j: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU State Ombuds	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.6	14.9%	42.6%	25.5%	17.0%	47
.....Female	2.5	17.5%	34.9%	30.2%	17.5%	63

I3j: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU State Ombuds	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*						
.....White/Caucasian	2.4	14.9%	32.2%	35.6%	17.2%	87
.....Students of Color	2.7	19.2%	53.8%	7.7%	19.2%	26

I3j: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU State Ombuds	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.6	20.0%	40.0%	15.0%	25.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.5	15.1%	37.7%	34.0%	13.2%	53
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.5	15.4%	35.9%	30.8%	17.9%	39

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 18

I3k: How likely tell/report situation: to city/town police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.0	37.4%	32.2%	20.9%	9.6%	115

I3k: How likely tell/report situation: to city/town police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.0	31.9%	42.6%	17.0%	8.5%	47
.....Female	3.0	43.1%	24.6%	21.5%	10.8%	65

I3k: How likely tell/report situation: to city/town police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.9	36.0%	29.2%	25.8%	9.0%	89
.....Students of Color	3.2	42.3%	42.3%	3.8%	11.5%	26

I3k: How likely tell/report situation: to city/town police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.1	30.0%	50.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.0	40.7%	27.8%	25.9%	5.6%	54
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.9	37.5%	30.0%	15.0%	17.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 19

I3l: How likely tell/report situation: to parent(s)/guardian(s)	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.2	48.7%	27.8%	14.8%	8.7%	115

I3l: How likely tell/report situation: to parent(s)/guardian(s)	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.1	44.7%	29.8%	14.9%	10.6%	47
.....Female	3.3	53.8%	26.2%	13.8%	6.2%	65

I3l: How likely tell/report situation: to parent(s)/guardian(s)	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.1	47.2%	29.2%	14.6%	9.0%	89
.....Students of Color	3.2	53.8%	23.1%	15.4%	7.7%	26

I3l: How likely tell/report situation: to parent(s)/guardian(s)	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.1	50.0%	20.0%	15.0%	15.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.3	53.7%	29.6%	13.0%	3.7%	54
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.0	42.5%	30.0%	15.0%	12.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 20

I3m: How likely tell/report situation: to other	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.3	27.8%	16.7%	13.9%	41.7%	36

I3m: How likely tell/report situation: to other	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.2	21.1%	21.1%	15.8%	42.1%	19
.....Female	2.4	37.5%	12.5%	6.3%	43.8%	16

I3m: How likely tell/report situation: to other	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.5	35.7%	14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	28
.....Students of Color	1.6	.	25.0%	12.5%	62.5%	8

I3m: How likely tell/report situation: to other	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.3	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	7
.....Middle class	2.1	13.3%	26.7%	13.3%	46.7%	15
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.7	46.2%	7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	13

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 21

I4: If another student was in danger, knowledge/skills to intervene?	Mean Rating	3: Definitely	2: Maybe	1: No	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.5	54.7%	44.4%	0.9%	117

I4: If another student was in danger, knowledge/skills to intervene?	Mean Rating	3: Definitely	2: Maybe	1: No	Total (N)
Gender					
.....Male	2.6	60.4%	39.6%	.	48
.....Female	2.5	50.0%	48.5%	1.5%	66

I4: If another student was in danger, knowledge/skills to intervene?	Mean Rating	3: Definitely	2: Maybe	1: No	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
.....White/Caucasian	2.6	58.2%	40.7%	1.1%	91
.....Students of Color	2.4	42.3%	57.7%	.	26

I4: If another student was in danger, knowledge/skills to intervene?	Mean Rating	3: Definitely	2: Maybe	1: No	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
.....Poor/Working class	2.5	45.0%	55.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	2.6	57.1%	41.1%	1.8%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.6	57.5%	42.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 22

I5: Familiarity with NCSU's policies on sexual harrassment prevention/resolution?	Mean Rating	4: Very familiar	3: Somewhat familiar	2: Not very familiar	1: Not at all familiar	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.9	23.9%	45.3%	24.8%	6.0%	117

I5: Familiarity with NCSU's policies on sexual harrassment prevention/resolution?	Mean Rating	4: Very familiar	3: Somewhat familiar	2: Not very familiar	1: Not at all familiar	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.0	25.0%	52.1%	16.7%	6.3%	48
.....Female	2.8	22.7%	42.4%	30.3%	4.5%	66

I5: Familiarity with NCSU's policies on sexual harrassment prevention/resolution?	Mean Rating	4: Very familiar	3: Somewhat familiar	2: Not very familiar	1: Not at all familiar	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.9	24.2%	47.3%	23.1%	5.5%	91
.....Students of Color	2.8	23.1%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	26

I5: Familiarity with NCSU's policies on sexual harrassment prevention/resolution?	Mean Rating	4: Very familiar	3: Somewhat familiar	2: Not very familiar	1: Not at all familiar	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.8	25.0%	35.0%	30.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.8	21.4%	46.4%	26.8%	5.4%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.0	27.5%	47.5%	20.0%	5.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 23

I6a: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are available/easy to find	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.1	30.5%	47.4%	18.9%	3.2%	95

I6a: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are available/easy to find	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	3.3	36.8%	55.3%	5.3%	2.6%	38
.....Female	2.9	25.9%	42.6%	29.6%	1.9%	54

I6a: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are available/easy to find	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.1	33.3%	48.6%	16.7%	1.4%	72
.....Students of Color	2.8	21.7%	43.5%	26.1%	8.7%	23

I6a: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are available/easy to find	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.7	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	18
.....Middle class	3.2	34.1%	50.0%	13.6%	2.3%	44
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.1	30.3%	51.5%	18.2%	.	33

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 24

I6b: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are easy to understand	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.2	37.6%	44.7%	14.1%	3.5%	85

I6b: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are easy to understand	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.3	43.2%	48.6%	2.7%	5.4%	37
.....Female	3.1	32.6%	43.5%	21.7%	2.2%	46

I6b: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are easy to understand	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.3	42.2%	43.8%	12.5%	1.6%	64
.....Students of Color	2.9	23.8%	47.6%	19.0%	9.5%	21

I6b: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are easy to understand	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.9	25.0%	50.0%	18.8%	6.3%	16
.....Middle class	3.2	40.0%	42.5%	12.5%	5.0%	40
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.3	41.4%	44.8%	13.8%	.	29

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 25

I6c: NCSU encourages victims to report harassment/stalking/relat and/or sexual violence	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.5	60.6%	28.8%	8.7%	1.9%	104

I6c: NCSU encourages victims to report harassment/stalking/relat and/or sexual violence	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	3.6	61.0%	34.1%	4.9%	.	41
.....Female	3.5	61.7%	26.7%	10.0%	1.7%	60

I6c: NCSU encourages victims to report harassment/stalking/relat and/or sexual violence	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.5	62.0%	25.3%	10.1%	2.5%	79
.....Students of Color	3.5	56.0%	40.0%	4.0%	.	25

I6c: NCSU encourages victims to report harassment/stalking/relat and/or sexual violence	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.2	44.4%	33.3%	16.7%	5.6%	18
.....Middle class	3.6	63.8%	31.9%	4.3%	.	47
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.5	64.1%	23.1%	10.3%	2.6%	39

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 26

I6d: NCSU offers victims adequate support, resources, and services	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.5	57.7%	34.0%	7.2%	1.0%	97

I6d: NCSU offers victims adequate support, resources, and services	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	3.6	58.5%	39.0%	2.4%	.	41
.....Female	3.5	58.5%	32.1%	7.5%	1.9%	53

I6d: NCSU offers victims adequate support, resources, and services	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.5	61.6%	30.1%	6.8%	1.4%	73
.....Students of Color	3.4	45.8%	45.8%	8.3%	.	24

I6d: NCSU offers victims adequate support, resources, and services	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.2	38.9%	44.4%	16.7%	.	18
.....Middle class	3.5	59.1%	36.4%	4.5%	.	44
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.5	65.7%	25.7%	5.7%	2.9%	35

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 27