North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
Poole College of Management
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

E1b: Faculty respect for minority students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.5	48.8%	48.0%	3.3%	123

E1b: Faculty respect for minority students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	Total (N)
Gender					
.....Male	3.5	49.0%	49.0%	2.0%	49
.....Female	3.4	47.9%	47.9%	4.2%	71

E1b: Faculty respect for minority students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
.....White/Caucasian	3.5	51.5%	46.4%	2.1%	97
.....Students of Color	3.3	38.5%	53.8%	7.7%	26

E1b: Faculty respect for minority students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
.....Poor/Working class	3.3	35.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.4	44.6%	50.0%	5.4%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.6	57.5%	42.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 1

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
Poole College of Management
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

E1c: Faculty respect for female students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.4	50.4%	41.5%	6.5%	1.6%	123

E1c: Faculty respect for female students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.4	46.9%	49.0%	4.1%	.	49
.....Female	3.4	53.5%	36.6%	7.0%	2.8%	71

E1c: Faculty respect for female students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.5	53.6%	41.2%	4.1%	1.0%	97
.....Students of Color	3.2	38.5%	42.3%	15.4%	3.8%	26

E1c: Faculty respect for female students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.4	50.0%	45.0%	.	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.4	46.4%	44.6%	7.1%	1.8%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.4	52.5%	37.5%	10.0%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 2

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
Poole College of Management
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

E1e: Student respect for minority faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.2	34.1%	51.2%	10.6%	4.1%	123

E1e: Student respect for minority faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	3.2	36.7%	46.9%	16.3%	.	49
.....Female	3.2	32.4%	56.3%	7.0%	4.2%	71

E1e: Student respect for minority faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.2	35.1%	54.6%	7.2%	3.1%	97
.....Students of Color	2.9	30.8%	38.5%	23.1%	7.7%	26

E1e: Student respect for minority faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.9	15.0%	65.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.1	32.1%	53.6%	8.9%	5.4%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.3	42.5%	42.5%	12.5%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 3

E1f: Student respect for female faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.3	41.5%	44.7%	13.8%	123

E1f: Student respect for female faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	Total (N)
Gender*					
.....Male	3.3	42.9%	46.9%	10.2%	49
.....Female	3.3	42.3%	45.1%	12.7%	71

E1f: Student respect for female faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
.....White/Caucasian	3.3	45.4%	43.3%	11.3%	97
.....Students of Color	3.0	26.9%	50.0%	23.1%	26

E1f: Student respect for female faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
.....Poor/Working class	3.2	30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.3	39.3%	48.2%	12.5%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.3	45.0%	35.0%	20.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 4

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
Poole College of Management
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

E1g: Student respect for students of different race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.0	23.0%	53.3%	19.7%	4.1%	122

E1g: Student respect for students of different race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	3.1	26.5%	57.1%	16.3%	.	49
.....Female	2.9	21.4%	52.9%	20.0%	5.7%	70

E1g: Student respect for students of different race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*						
.....White/Caucasian	3.1	26.0%	56.3%	15.6%	2.1%	96
.....Students of Color	2.5	11.5%	42.3%	34.6%	11.5%	26

E1g: Student respect for students of different race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.9	20.0%	55.0%	15.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.0	21.8%	58.2%	18.2%	1.8%	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.9	22.5%	47.5%	25.0%	5.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 5

E1h: Student respect for students of different sexual orientation	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.6	12.2%	48.8%	28.5%	10.6%	123

E1h: Student respect for students of different sexual orientation	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.7	14.3%	53.1%	22.4%	10.2%	49
.....Female	2.6	11.3%	47.9%	32.4%	8.5%	71

E1h: Student respect for students of different sexual orientation	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.7	14.4%	47.4%	29.9%	8.2%	97
.....Students of Color	2.4	3.8%	53.8%	23.1%	19.2%	26

E1h: Student respect for students of different sexual orientation	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.4	5.0%	50.0%	25.0%	20.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.7	8.9%	58.9%	21.4%	10.7%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.7	22.5%	35.0%	35.0%	7.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 6

E1i: Friendships between students of different racial/ethnic groups	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.1	28.5%	52.8%	16.3%	2.4%	123

E1i: Friendships between students of different racial/ethnic groups	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.2	32.7%	51.0%	16.3%	.	49
.....Female	3.0	26.8%	54.9%	14.1%	4.2%	71

E1i: Friendships between students of different racial/ethnic groups	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.1	26.8%	55.7%	16.5%	1.0%	97
.....Students of Color	3.0	34.6%	42.3%	15.4%	7.7%	26

E1i: Friendships between students of different racial/ethnic groups	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.9	25.0%	45.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.2	33.9%	53.6%	8.9%	3.6%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.1	25.0%	57.5%	17.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 7

E1j: Friendships between heterosexual and GLBT students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.8	15.6%	50.8%	27.0%	6.6%	122

E1j: Friendships between heterosexual and GLBT students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.7	14.6%	47.9%	31.3%	6.3%	48
.....Female	2.8	16.9%	54.9%	22.5%	5.6%	71

E1j: Friendships between heterosexual and GLBT students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.7	14.6%	52.1%	26.0%	7.3%	96
.....Students of Color	2.8	19.2%	46.2%	30.8%	3.8%	26

E1j: Friendships between heterosexual and GLBT students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.5	5.0%	50.0%	35.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.8	16.4%	56.4%	21.8%	5.5%	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.8	20.0%	47.5%	25.0%	7.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 8

E1k: Student respect for transgender students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.4	10.6%	37.4%	33.3%	18.7%	123

E1k: Student respect for transgender students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	2.5	14.3%	34.7%	34.7%	16.3%	49
.....Female	2.4	8.5%	40.8%	33.8%	16.9%	71

E1k: Student respect for transgender students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.4	11.3%	36.1%	36.1%	16.5%	97
.....Students of Color	2.3	7.7%	42.3%	23.1%	26.9%	26

E1k: Student respect for transgender students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.4	10.0%	45.0%	20.0%	25.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.4	5.4%	44.6%	33.9%	16.1%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.4	20.0%	25.0%	32.5%	22.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 9

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
Poole College of Management
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

E2a: NCSU Supportiveness: African American students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.2	33.9%	55.4%	9.1%	1.7%	121

E2a: NCSU Supportiveness: African American students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	4.3	36.7%	59.2%	2.0%	2.0%	49
.....Female	4.2	33.3%	53.6%	11.6%	1.4%	69

E2a: NCSU Supportiveness: African American students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	4.3	34.7%	57.9%	6.3%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	4.0	30.8%	46.2%	19.2%	3.8%	26

E2a: NCSU Supportiveness: African American students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.3	40.0%	50.0%	10.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	4.1	28.6%	60.7%	7.1%	3.6%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	42.5%	45.0%	12.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 10

E2b: NCSU Supportiveness: Asian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.1	28.9%	58.7%	9.9%	1.7%	0.8%	121

E2b: NCSU Supportiveness: Asian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	4.2	30.6%	61.2%	6.1%	2.0%	.	49
.....Female	4.1	29.0%	59.4%	10.1%	.	1.4%	69

E2b: NCSU Supportiveness: Asian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	4.2	31.6%	58.9%	8.4%	1.1%	.	95
.....Students of Color	3.8	19.2%	57.7%	15.4%	3.8%	3.8%	26

E2b: NCSU Supportiveness: Asian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*							
.....Poor/Working class	4.0	30.0%	55.0%	.	10.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	4.1	25.0%	64.3%	10.7%	.	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	30.0%	55.0%	15.0%	.	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 11

E2c: NCSU Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.0	20.7%	58.7%	19.0%	1.7%	121

E2c: NCSU Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	4.0	16.3%	71.4%	12.2%	.	49
.....Female	4.0	23.2%	52.2%	23.2%	1.4%	69

E2c: NCSU Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	4.0	22.1%	62.1%	14.7%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	3.7	15.4%	46.2%	34.6%	3.8%	26

E2c: NCSU Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*						
.....Poor/Working class	3.7	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	4.1	23.2%	60.7%	16.1%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	25.0%	47.5%	27.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 12

E2d: NCSU Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.0	24.8%	49.6%	24.8%	0.8%	121

E2d: NCSU Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	4.0	22.4%	59.2%	18.4%	.	49
.....Female	4.0	27.5%	44.9%	27.5%	.	69

E2d: NCSU Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	4.0	24.2%	54.7%	20.0%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	3.8	26.9%	30.8%	42.3%	.	26

E2d: NCSU Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.0	30.0%	50.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	4.0	21.4%	53.6%	25.0%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	27.5%	42.5%	30.0%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 13

E2e: NCSU Supportiveness: White students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.4	54.5%	38.0%	5.0%	0.8%	1.7%	121

E2e: NCSU Supportiveness: White students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	4.4	44.9%	49.0%	4.1%	2.0%	.	49
.....Female	4.5	62.3%	30.4%	4.3%	.	2.9%	69

E2e: NCSU Supportiveness: White students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	4.3	49.5%	41.1%	6.3%	1.1%	2.1%	95
.....Students of Color	4.7	73.1%	26.9%	.	.	.	26

E2e: NCSU Supportiveness: White students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.6	60.0%	35.0%	5.0%	.	.	20
.....Middle class	4.4	51.8%	42.9%	3.6%	1.8%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	55.0%	32.5%	7.5%	.	5.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 14

E2f: NCSU Supportiveness: International students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.4	41.3%	55.4%	2.5%	0.8%	121

E2f: NCSU Supportiveness: International students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	4.4	38.8%	59.2%	2.0%	.	49
.....Female	4.4	44.9%	52.2%	1.4%	1.4%	69

E2f: NCSU Supportiveness: International students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	4.4	42.1%	55.8%	2.1%	.	95
.....Students of Color	4.3	38.5%	53.8%	3.8%	3.8%	26

E2f: NCSU Supportiveness: International students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.2	30.0%	65.0%	.	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	4.4	44.6%	51.8%	3.6%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.4	45.0%	52.5%	2.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 15

E2g: NCSU Supportiveness: Female students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.3	36.4%	55.4%	6.6%	0.8%	0.8%	121

E2g: NCSU Supportiveness: Female students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	4.3	34.7%	65.3%	.	.	.	49
.....Female	4.3	39.1%	50.7%	8.7%	.	1.4%	69

E2g: NCSU Supportiveness: Female students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	4.3	38.9%	52.6%	7.4%	1.1%	.	95
.....Students of Color	4.1	26.9%	65.4%	3.8%	.	3.8%	26

E2g: NCSU Supportiveness: Female students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.1	30.0%	60.0%	.	5.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	4.3	33.9%	60.7%	5.4%	.	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	42.5%	45.0%	12.5%	.	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 16

E2h: NCSU Supportiveness: Male students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.3	46.3%	44.6%	7.4%	0.8%	0.8%	121

E2h: NCSU Supportiveness: Male students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	4.3	36.7%	55.1%	6.1%	2.0%	.	49
.....Female	4.4	53.6%	39.1%	5.8%	.	1.4%	69

E2h: NCSU Supportiveness: Male students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	4.3	46.3%	43.2%	8.4%	1.1%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	4.4	46.2%	50.0%	3.8%	.	.	26

E2h: NCSU Supportiveness: Male students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.4	45.0%	45.0%	10.0%	.	.	20
.....Middle class	4.4	44.6%	48.2%	5.4%	1.8%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	50.0%	37.5%	10.0%	.	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 17

E2i: NCSU Supportiveness: Transgender students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.7	19.0%	41.3%	30.6%	8.3%	0.8%	121

E2i: NCSU Supportiveness: Transgender students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	3.7	16.3%	46.9%	28.6%	8.2%	.	49
.....Female	3.7	20.3%	39.1%	33.3%	7.2%	.	69

E2i: NCSU Supportiveness: Transgender students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	3.7	17.9%	43.2%	31.6%	6.3%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	3.7	23.1%	34.6%	26.9%	15.4%	.	26

E2i: NCSU Supportiveness: Transgender students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.6	10.0%	45.0%	35.0%	10.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	3.7	16.1%	46.4%	26.8%	10.7%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.8	27.5%	30.0%	35.0%	5.0%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 18

E2j: NCSU Supportiveness: GLB students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.9	21.5%	51.2%	23.1%	4.1%	121

E2j: NCSU Supportiveness: GLB students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	4.0	24.5%	49.0%	26.5%	.	49
.....Female	3.9	20.3%	55.1%	20.3%	4.3%	69

E2j: NCSU Supportiveness: GLB students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.9	21.1%	52.6%	23.2%	3.2%	95
.....Students of Color	3.8	23.1%	46.2%	23.1%	7.7%	26

E2j: NCSU Supportiveness: GLB students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*						
.....Poor/Working class	3.7	15.0%	55.0%	15.0%	15.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.9	16.1%	53.6%	30.4%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	32.5%	45.0%	17.5%	5.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 19

E2k: NCSU Supportiveness: Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.2	38.8%	47.9%	9.9%	1.7%	1.7%	121

E2k: NCSU Supportiveness: Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	4.3	40.8%	49.0%	8.2%	.	2.0%	49
.....Female	4.2	36.2%	49.3%	10.1%	2.9%	1.4%	69

E2k: NCSU Supportiveness: Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	4.2	36.8%	49.5%	10.5%	1.1%	2.1%	95
.....Students of Color	4.3	46.2%	42.3%	7.7%	3.8%	.	26

E2k: NCSU Supportiveness: Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.1	25.0%	65.0%	5.0%	5.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	4.3	42.9%	46.4%	8.9%	.	1.8%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	40.0%	42.5%	12.5%	2.5%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 20

E2I: NCSU Supportiveness: Jewish students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.9	21.7%	52.5%	24.2%	0.8%	0.8%	120

E2I: NCSU Supportiveness: Jewish students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	3.9	14.3%	65.3%	20.4%	.	.	49
.....Female	4.0	27.9%	45.6%	25.0%	.	1.5%	68

E2I: NCSU Supportiveness: Jewish students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	3.9	20.2%	54.3%	23.4%	1.1%	1.1%	94
.....Students of Color	4.0	26.9%	46.2%	26.9%	.	.	26

E2I: NCSU Supportiveness: Jewish students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.8	10.0%	60.0%	25.0%	5.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	4.0	21.4%	60.7%	17.9%	.	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.8	25.6%	38.5%	33.3%	.	2.6%	39

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 21

E2m: NCSU Supportiveness: Muslim students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.0	25.6%	54.5%	16.5%	2.5%	0.8%	121

E2m: NCSU Supportiveness: Muslim students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	4.1	26.5%	55.1%	16.3%	2.0%	.	49
.....Female	4.1	26.1%	56.5%	15.9%	.	1.4%	69

E2m: NCSU Supportiveness: Muslim students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	4.1	25.3%	57.9%	13.7%	3.2%	.	95
.....Students of Color	3.9	26.9%	42.3%	26.9%	.	3.8%	26

E2m: NCSU Supportiveness: Muslim students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.8	20.0%	55.0%	15.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	4.0	17.9%	66.1%	14.3%	1.8%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	40.0%	37.5%	20.0%	2.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 22

E2n: NCSU Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.9	22.3%	47.9%	25.6%	3.3%	0.8%	121

E2n: NCSU Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	3.9	18.4%	55.1%	26.5%	.	.	49
.....Female	3.9	26.1%	44.9%	23.2%	4.3%	1.4%	69

E2n: NCSU Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	3.9	21.1%	52.6%	24.2%	2.1%	.	95
.....Students of Color	3.7	26.9%	30.8%	30.8%	7.7%	3.8%	26

E2n: NCSU Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.7	15.0%	45.0%	35.0%	.	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.9	19.6%	55.4%	21.4%	3.6%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.9	27.5%	40.0%	27.5%	5.0%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 23

E2o: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with disabilities	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.2	32.2%	54.5%	12.4%	0.8%	121

E2o: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with disabilities	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	4.2	26.5%	65.3%	8.2%	.	49
.....Female	4.2	37.7%	49.3%	11.6%	1.4%	69

E2o: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with disabilities	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	4.2	32.6%	53.7%	12.6%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	4.2	30.8%	57.7%	11.5%	.	26

E2o: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with disabilities	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.2	25.0%	70.0%	5.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	4.1	28.6%	57.1%	12.5%	1.8%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	40.0%	42.5%	17.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 24

E2p: NCSU Supportiveness: Poor/working class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.1	32.2%	45.5%	19.0%	3.3%	121

E2p: NCSU Supportiveness: Poor/working class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	4.2	30.6%	57.1%	12.2%	.	49
.....Female	4.0	34.8%	39.1%	20.3%	5.8%	69

E2p: NCSU Supportiveness: Poor/working class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*						
.....White/Caucasian	4.2	33.7%	49.5%	15.8%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	3.7	26.9%	30.8%	30.8%	11.5%	26

E2p: NCSU Supportiveness: Poor/working class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	4.0	25.0%	55.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	3.9	23.2%	51.8%	19.6%	5.4%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	45.0%	35.0%	20.0%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 25

E2q: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.1	36.4%	45.5%	14.9%	2.5%	0.8%	121

E2q: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	4.2	36.7%	44.9%	16.3%	2.0%	.	49
.....Female	4.2	37.7%	47.8%	11.6%	2.9%	.	69

E2q: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	4.1	35.8%	48.4%	11.6%	3.2%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	4.1	38.5%	34.6%	26.9%	.	.	26

E2q: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle class students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.9	30.0%	40.0%	25.0%	.	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	4.3	37.5%	51.8%	10.7%	.	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	35.0%	40.0%	17.5%	7.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 26

E2r: NCSU Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.3	43.8%	44.6%	7.4%	1.7%	2.5%	121

E2r: NCSU Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	4.1	32.7%	53.1%	8.2%	4.1%	2.0%	49
.....Female	4.4	52.2%	39.1%	5.8%	.	2.9%	69

E2r: NCSU Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	4.2	37.9%	48.4%	8.4%	2.1%	3.2%	95
.....Students of Color	4.6	65.4%	30.8%	3.8%	.	.	26

E2r: NCSU Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	4.4	50.0%	40.0%	10.0%	.	.	20
.....Middle class	4.4	46.4%	46.4%	5.4%	1.8%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	35.0%	45.0%	10.0%	2.5%	7.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 27

E2s: NCSU Supportiveness: Nontraditional students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.9	24.8%	52.1%	14.9%	6.6%	1.7%	121

E2s: NCSU Supportiveness: Nontraditional students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	3.9	18.4%	59.2%	16.3%	6.1%	.	49
.....Female	3.9	30.4%	46.4%	13.0%	7.2%	2.9%	69

E2s: NCSU Supportiveness: Nontraditional students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	3.9	26.3%	50.5%	14.7%	6.3%	2.1%	95
.....Students of Color	3.9	19.2%	57.7%	15.4%	7.7%	.	26

E2s: NCSU Supportiveness: Nontraditional students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.6	10.0%	55.0%	15.0%	20.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	3.9	21.4%	55.4%	16.1%	5.4%	1.8%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	35.0%	45.0%	15.0%	2.5%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 28

E2t: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with children	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.8	19.0%	49.6%	24.8%	5.0%	1.7%	121

E2t: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with children	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	3.9	14.3%	61.2%	20.4%	4.1%	.	49
.....Female	3.8	23.2%	43.5%	24.6%	5.8%	2.9%	69

E2t: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with children	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	3.8	20.0%	51.6%	22.1%	4.2%	2.1%	95
.....Students of Color	3.7	15.4%	42.3%	34.6%	7.7%	.	26

E2t: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with children	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*							
.....Poor/Working class	3.4	5.0%	45.0%	30.0%	20.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	3.8	16.1%	55.4%	23.2%	3.6%	1.8%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.9	27.5%	42.5%	27.5%	.	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 29

E2u: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are veterans	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.1	29.8%	54.5%	14.0%	1.7%	121

E2u: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are veterans	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	4.2	28.6%	61.2%	10.2%	.	49
.....Female	4.1	31.9%	50.7%	14.5%	2.9%	69

E2u: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are veterans	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	4.2	31.6%	53.7%	13.7%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	4.0	23.1%	57.7%	15.4%	3.8%	26

E2u: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are veterans	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.9	20.0%	50.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	4.1	26.8%	58.9%	12.5%	1.8%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 30

E2v: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.1	27.3%	57.9%	12.4%	0.8%	1.7%	121

E2v: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	4.2	28.6%	63.3%	6.1%	2.0%	.	49
.....Female	4.1	27.5%	56.5%	14.5%	.	1.4%	69

E2v: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	4.1	30.5%	56.8%	10.5%	1.1%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	3.8	15.4%	61.5%	19.2%	.	3.8%	26

E2v: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students	Mean Rating	5: Strongly supportive	4: Supportive	3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	3.8	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	.	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	4.1	21.4%	64.3%	12.5%	1.8%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	37.5%	47.5%	15.0%	.	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 31

E3a: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	85.1%	11.6%	2.5%	0.8%	121

E3a: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	1.1	87.8%	10.2%	2.0%	.	49
.....Female	1.2	84.1%	13.0%	1.4%	1.4%	69

E3a: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	1.2	84.2%	12.6%	2.1%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	1.2	88.5%	7.7%	3.8%	.	26

E3a: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	1.2	90.0%	5.0%	5.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	1.2	83.9%	12.5%	3.6%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.2	85.0%	12.5%	.	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 32

E3b: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.3	77.7%	15.7%	5.8%	0.8%	121

E3b: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	1.3	79.6%	12.2%	8.2%	.	49
.....Female	1.3	78.3%	18.8%	1.4%	1.4%	69

E3b: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	1.3	77.9%	16.8%	4.2%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	1.3	76.9%	11.5%	11.5%	.	26

E3b: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	1.3	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	1.3	78.6%	10.7%	10.7%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.3	75.0%	22.5%	.	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 33

E3c: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.4	76.0%	15.7%	5.8%	1.7%	0.8%	121

E3c: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	1.2	79.6%	18.4%	2.0%	.	.	49
.....Female	1.4	73.9%	14.5%	7.2%	2.9%	1.4%	69

E3c: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	1.3	78.9%	14.7%	4.2%	1.1%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	1.5	65.4%	19.2%	11.5%	3.8%	.	26

E3c: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	1.4	80.0%	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	1.3	75.0%	16.1%	8.9%	.	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.4	75.0%	17.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 34

E3d: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.4	69.4%	21.5%	7.4%	0.8%	0.8%	121

E3d: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	1.3	77.6%	18.4%	2.0%	2.0%	.	49
.....Female	1.5	65.2%	23.2%	10.1%	.	1.4%	69

E3d: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	1.4	73.7%	18.9%	5.3%	1.1%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	1.6	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%	.	.	26

E3d: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	1.5	75.0%	10.0%	10.0%	5.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	1.4	69.6%	23.2%	7.1%	.	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.5	65.0%	25.0%	7.5%	.	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 35

E3e: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.5	69.4%	18.2%	10.7%	0.8%	0.8%	121

E3e: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	1.4	71.4%	16.3%	12.2%	.	.	49
.....Female	1.5	69.6%	18.8%	8.7%	1.4%	1.4%	69

E3e: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	1.4	71.6%	17.9%	9.5%	.	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	1.6	61.5%	19.2%	15.4%	3.8%	.	26

E3e: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	1.6	65.0%	20.0%	10.0%	5.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	1.5	64.3%	23.2%	12.5%	.	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.4	77.5%	10.0%	10.0%	.	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 36

E3f: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.3	76.9%	18.2%	4.1%	0.8%	121

E3f: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	1.3	77.6%	18.4%	4.1%	.	49
.....Female	1.3	78.3%	18.8%	1.4%	1.4%	69

E3f: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	1.3	76.8%	20.0%	2.1%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	1.3	76.9%	11.5%	11.5%	.	26

E3f: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	1.2	85.0%	10.0%	5.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	1.3	76.8%	16.1%	7.1%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.3	75.0%	22.5%	.	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 37

E3g: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.4	71.1%	19.0%	8.3%	0.8%	0.8%	121

E3g: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	1.3	71.4%	24.5%	4.1%	.	.	49
.....Female	1.4	72.5%	14.5%	10.1%	1.4%	1.4%	69

E3g: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	1.4	71.6%	21.1%	5.3%	1.1%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	1.5	69.2%	11.5%	19.2%	.	.	26

E3g: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	1.5	65.0%	20.0%	15.0%	.	.	20
.....Middle class	1.4	71.4%	19.6%	8.9%	.	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.5	72.5%	17.5%	5.0%	2.5%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 38

E3h: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.6	58.7%	26.4%	9.9%	4.1%	0.8%	121

E3h: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	1.5	63.3%	28.6%	4.1%	4.1%	.	49
.....Female	1.7	58.0%	26.1%	10.1%	4.3%	1.4%	69

E3h: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	1.6	58.9%	29.5%	8.4%	2.1%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	1.8	57.7%	15.4%	15.4%	11.5%	.	26

E3h: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	1.6	70.0%	15.0%	5.0%	10.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	1.7	53.6%	28.6%	14.3%	3.6%	.	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.6	60.0%	27.5%	7.5%	2.5%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 39

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
Poole College of Management
Section E: Campus Climate
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

E4a: Students make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.8	44.6%	37.2%	14.0%	2.5%	1.7%	121

E4a: Students make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	1.7	53.1%	30.6%	10.2%	4.1%	2.0%	49
.....Female	1.8	40.6%	42.0%	15.9%	1.4%	.	69

E4a: Students make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	1.8	42.1%	37.9%	15.8%	2.1%	2.1%	95
.....Students of Color	1.6	53.8%	34.6%	7.7%	3.8%	.	26

E4a: Students make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.1	45.0%	20.0%	25.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	1.8	39.3%	48.2%	10.7%	.	1.8%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.6	57.5%	27.5%	12.5%	2.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 40

E4b: Students make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.7	17.4%	24.8%	37.2%	15.7%	5.0%	121

E4b: Students make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	2.6	22.4%	24.5%	26.5%	20.4%	6.1%	49
.....Female	2.6	14.5%	26.1%	44.9%	13.0%	1.4%	69

E4b: Students make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	2.7	14.7%	26.3%	41.1%	13.7%	4.2%	95
.....Students of Color	2.7	26.9%	19.2%	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	26

E4b: Students make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.7	10.0%	35.0%	35.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.6	21.4%	23.2%	39.3%	10.7%	5.4%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.7	17.5%	25.0%	32.5%	20.0%	5.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 41

E4c: Students make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.3	28.3%	29.2%	33.3%	5.0%	4.2%	120

E4c: Students make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	2.1	28.6%	34.7%	32.7%	2.0%	2.0%	49
.....Female	2.3	29.4%	26.5%	32.4%	7.4%	4.4%	68

E4c: Students make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*							
.....White/Caucasian	2.2	26.6%	31.9%	36.2%	4.3%	1.1%	94
.....Students of Color	2.5	34.6%	19.2%	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%	26

E4c: Students make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.6	25.0%	20.0%	40.0%	5.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.2	30.9%	30.9%	30.9%	1.8%	5.5%	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.2	27.5%	35.0%	30.0%	7.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 42

E4d: Students make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.8	12.5%	24.2%	45.0%	12.5%	5.8%	120

E4d: Students make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	2.6	14.6%	27.1%	43.8%	12.5%	2.1%	48
.....Female	2.8	11.6%	23.2%	44.9%	13.0%	7.2%	69

E4d: Students make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*							
.....White/Caucasian	2.6	11.7%	25.5%	50.0%	11.7%	1.1%	94
.....Students of Color	3.1	15.4%	19.2%	26.9%	15.4%	23.1%	26

E4d: Students make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.9	10.0%	30.0%	35.0%	15.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.6	14.5%	25.5%	47.3%	7.3%	5.5%	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.8	12.5%	22.5%	42.5%	17.5%	5.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 43

E4e: Students make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.4	22.3%	32.2%	29.8%	11.6%	4.1%	121

E4e: Students make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	2.4	24.5%	32.7%	24.5%	16.3%	2.0%	49
.....Female	2.4	21.7%	31.9%	33.3%	8.7%	4.3%	69

E4e: Students make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	2.4	22.1%	34.7%	30.5%	10.5%	2.1%	95
.....Students of Color	2.7	23.1%	23.1%	26.9%	15.4%	11.5%	26

E4e: Students make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.8	10.0%	35.0%	35.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.3	26.8%	33.9%	30.4%	3.6%	5.4%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.5	25.0%	27.5%	25.0%	22.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 44

E4f: Students make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.7	14.0%	24.0%	41.3%	14.9%	5.8%	121

E4f: Students make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	2.7	16.3%	24.5%	36.7%	14.3%	8.2%	49
.....Female	2.7	13.0%	24.6%	44.9%	14.5%	2.9%	69

E4f: Students make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*							
.....White/Caucasian	2.7	10.5%	26.3%	47.4%	11.6%	4.2%	95
.....Students of Color	2.8	26.9%	15.4%	19.2%	26.9%	11.5%	26

E4f: Students make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.9	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.6	17.9%	25.0%	44.6%	5.4%	7.1%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.8	12.5%	22.5%	40.0%	20.0%	5.0%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 45

E4g: Students make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.3	26.4%	34.7%	24.8%	12.4%	1.7%	121

E4g: Students make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	2.3	30.6%	30.6%	20.4%	16.3%	2.0%	49
.....Female	2.2	24.6%	37.7%	27.5%	10.1%	.	69

E4g: Students make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	2.3	23.2%	38.9%	26.3%	10.5%	1.1%	95
.....Students of Color	2.3	38.5%	19.2%	19.2%	19.2%	3.8%	26

E4g: Students make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.9	15.0%	25.0%	25.0%	30.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.1	30.4%	39.3%	23.2%	5.4%	1.8%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.2	30.0%	32.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 46

E4h: Students make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.5	20.7%	28.9%	33.9%	11.6%	5.0%	121

E4h: Students make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	2.4	22.4%	32.7%	32.7%	8.2%	4.1%	49
.....Female	2.5	20.3%	27.5%	34.8%	13.0%	4.3%	69

E4h: Students make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*							
.....White/Caucasian	2.4	20.0%	31.6%	37.9%	7.4%	3.2%	95
.....Students of Color	2.8	23.1%	19.2%	19.2%	26.9%	11.5%	26

E4h: Students make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.7	25.0%	15.0%	35.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	2.4	23.2%	33.9%	28.6%	7.1%	7.1%	56
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.6	15.0%	30.0%	37.5%	15.0%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 47

E5a: Staff make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	84.0%	13.4%	2.5%	119

E5a: Staff make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Gender*					
.....Male	1.2	85.4%	12.5%	2.1%	48
.....Female	1.2	83.8%	14.7%	1.5%	68

E5a: Staff make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
.....White/Caucasian	1.2	86.0%	10.8%	3.2%	93
.....Students of Color	1.2	76.9%	23.1%	.	26

E5a: Staff make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
.....Poor/Working class	1.3	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	1.2	83.6%	14.5%	1.8%	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.2	87.5%	10.0%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 48

E5b: Staff make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.3	79.8%	14.3%	5.0%	0.8%	119

E5b: Staff make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	1.3	79.2%	10.4%	8.3%	2.1%	48
.....Female	1.2	80.9%	17.6%	1.5%	.	68

E5b: Staff make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	1.2	82.8%	11.8%	4.3%	1.1%	93
.....Students of Color	1.4	69.2%	23.1%	7.7%	.	26

E5b: Staff make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	1.2	80.0%	20.0%	.	.	20
.....Middle class	1.3	80.0%	12.7%	7.3%	.	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.3	82.5%	12.5%	2.5%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 49

E5c: Staff make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	80.7%	15.1%	3.4%	0.8%	119

E5c: Staff make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	1.2	81.3%	16.7%	2.1%	.	48
.....Female	1.3	80.9%	14.7%	2.9%	1.5%	68

E5c: Staff make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*						
.....White/Caucasian	1.2	84.9%	10.8%	4.3%	.	93
.....Students of Color	1.4	65.4%	30.8%	.	3.8%	26

E5c: Staff make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	1.3	80.0%	15.0%	.	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	1.2	78.2%	20.0%	1.8%	.	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.2	85.0%	10.0%	5.0%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 50

E5d: Staff make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.3	76.5%	15.1%	5.9%	2.5%	119

E5d: Staff make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	1.4	75.0%	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	48
.....Female	1.3	77.9%	17.6%	4.4%	.	68

E5d: Staff make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*						
.....White/Caucasian	1.3	80.6%	12.9%	3.2%	3.2%	93
.....Students of Color	1.5	61.5%	23.1%	15.4%	.	26

E5d: Staff make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	1.4	80.0%	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	1.3	78.2%	14.5%	7.3%	.	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.3	75.0%	20.0%	2.5%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 51

E5e: Staff make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.3	82.4%	12.6%	2.5%	2.5%	119

E5e: Staff make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	1.3	81.3%	12.5%	2.1%	4.2%	48
.....Female	1.2	83.8%	13.2%	1.5%	1.5%	68

E5e: Staff make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	1.2	84.9%	10.8%	2.2%	2.2%	93
.....Students of Color	1.4	73.1%	19.2%	3.8%	3.8%	26

E5e: Staff make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	1.4	80.0%	10.0%	.	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	1.2	81.8%	14.5%	3.6%	.	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.2	85.0%	10.0%	2.5%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 52

E5f: Staff make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.3	78.2%	13.4%	7.6%	0.8%	119

E5f: Staff make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	1.4	77.1%	10.4%	10.4%	2.1%	48
.....Female	1.3	79.4%	16.2%	4.4%	.	68

E5f: Staff make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	1.3	82.8%	9.7%	6.5%	1.1%	93
.....Students of Color	1.5	61.5%	26.9%	11.5%	.	26

E5f: Staff make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	1.3	75.0%	20.0%	5.0%	.	20
.....Middle class	1.3	78.2%	14.5%	7.3%	.	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.3	82.5%	10.0%	5.0%	2.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 53

E5g: Staff make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	83.2%	11.8%	4.2%	0.8%	119

E5g: Staff make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	1.3	81.3%	12.5%	6.3%	.	48
.....Female	1.2	85.3%	11.8%	1.5%	1.5%	68

E5g: Staff make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	1.2	84.9%	10.8%	4.3%	.	93
.....Students of Color	1.3	76.9%	15.4%	3.8%	3.8%	26

E5g: Staff make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	1.4	80.0%	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20
.....Middle class	1.2	81.8%	12.7%	5.5%	.	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.2	87.5%	10.0%	2.5%	.	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 54

E5h: Staff make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.3	75.6%	17.6%	6.7%	119

E5h: Staff make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Gender					
.....Male	1.3	81.3%	12.5%	6.3%	48
.....Female	1.3	73.5%	20.6%	5.9%	68

E5h: Staff make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
.....White/Caucasian	1.3	78.5%	16.1%	5.4%	93
.....Students of Color	1.5	65.4%	23.1%	11.5%	26

E5h: Staff make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
.....Poor/Working class	1.3	80.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20
.....Middle class	1.3	74.5%	20.0%	5.5%	55
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.3	75.0%	17.5%	7.5%	40

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 55