I1a: How common at NCSU: Sexual harassment	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.9	3.9%	21.1%	40.8%	29.9%	4.2%	284

I1a: How common at NCSU: Sexual harassment	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	2.8	3.9%	18.4%	35.8%	36.3%	5.6%	179
.....Female	3.2	4.4%	26.7%	53.3%	15.6%	.	90

I1a: How common at NCSU: Sexual harassment	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	2.9	3.9%	19.2%	39.4%	33.0%	4.4%	203
.....Students of Color	3.0	3.7%	25.9%	44.4%	22.2%	3.7%	81

I1a: How common at NCSU: Sexual harassment	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.8	3.9%	17.6%	41.2%	29.4%	7.8%	51
.....Middle class	2.8	1.5%	23.0%	39.3%	30.4%	5.9%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.1	6.5%	20.7%	44.6%	28.3%	.	92

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 1

I1b: How common at NCSU: Stalking	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.3	0.4%	4.2%	29.6%	54.2%	11.6%	284

I1b: How common at NCSU: Stalking	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	2.3	0.6%	5.0%	26.3%	55.9%	12.3%	179
.....Female	2.3	.	3.3%	34.4%	54.4%	7.8%	90

I1b: How common at NCSU: Stalking	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	2.3	.	3.4%	30.0%	55.7%	10.8%	203
.....Students of Color	2.3	1.2%	6.2%	28.4%	50.6%	13.6%	81

I1b: How common at NCSU: Stalking	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.3	2.0%	5.9%	27.5%	45.1%	19.6%	51
.....Middle class	2.2	.	3.7%	29.6%	53.3%	13.3%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.3	.	4.3%	31.5%	58.7%	5.4%	92

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 2

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
College of Engineering
Section I: Sex Discrimination, Sexual Harassment, and Sexual Assault
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

I1c: How common at NCSU: Relationship/dating/domestic violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.4	0.7%	7.4%	33.9%	45.2%	12.7%	283

I1c: How common at NCSU: Relationship/dating/domestic violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Gender*							
.....Male	2.3	1.1%	4.5%	29.2%	52.2%	12.9%	178
.....Female	2.6	.	13.3%	42.2%	34.4%	10.0%	90

I1c: How common at NCSU: Relationship/dating/domestic violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
.....White/Caucasian	2.4	1.0%	7.4%	35.6%	42.6%	13.4%	202
.....Students of Color	2.3	.	7.4%	29.6%	51.9%	11.1%	81

I1c: How common at NCSU: Relationship/dating/domestic violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.3	2.0%	8.0%	26.0%	44.0%	20.0%	50
.....Middle class	2.3	0.7%	5.2%	33.3%	45.2%	15.6%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.6	.	10.9%	40.2%	43.5%	5.4%	92

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 3

North Carolina State University
2015 Campus Climate Survey (Undergraduate Students)
College of Engineering
Section I: Sex Discrimination, Sexual Harassment, and Sexual Assault
Tables of Results by Student Demographic Profile

I1d: How common at NCSU: Sexual violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.6	3.2%	7.4%	38.2%	46.3%	4.9%	283

I1d: How common at NCSU: Sexual violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Gender							
.....Male	2.5	3.4%	6.7%	33.1%	52.2%	4.5%	178
.....Female	2.7	3.3%	7.8%	46.7%	37.8%	4.4%	90

I1d: How common at NCSU: Sexual violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*							
.....White/Caucasian	2.5	4.0%	5.0%	35.6%	50.0%	5.4%	202
.....Students of Color	2.7	1.2%	13.6%	44.4%	37.0%	3.7%	81

I1d: How common at NCSU: Sexual violence	Mean Rating	5: Widespread	4: Fairly common	3: Occasionally happens	2: Rarely happens	1: Never happens	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
.....Poor/Working class	2.6	6.0%	10.0%	26.0%	54.0%	4.0%	50
.....Middle class	2.5	1.5%	7.4%	40.0%	43.7%	7.4%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.7	4.3%	6.5%	42.4%	44.6%	2.2%	92

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 4

I2a: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual harrassment	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Total (N)	29.2%	70.8%	284

I2a: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual harrassment	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Gender*			
.....Male	21.2%	78.8%	179
.....Female	45.6%	54.4%	90

I2a: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual harrassment	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity			
.....White/Caucasian	30.0%	70.0%	203
.....Students of Color	27.2%	72.8%	81

I2a: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual harrassment	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background			
.....Poor/Working class	19.6%	80.4%	51
.....Middle class	27.4%	72.6%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	38.0%	62.0%	92

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 5

I2b: Do you know anyone: victim of stalking	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Total (N)	19.0%	81.0%	284

I2b: Do you know anyone: victim of stalking	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Gender			
.....Male	18.4%	81.6%	179
.....Female	21.1%	78.9%	90

I2b: Do you know anyone: victim of stalking	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity			
.....White/Caucasian	19.7%	80.3%	203
.....Students of Color	17.3%	82.7%	81

I2b: Do you know anyone: victim of stalking	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background			
.....Poor/Working class	21.6%	78.4%	51
.....Middle class	16.3%	83.7%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	21.7%	78.3%	92

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 6

I2c: Do you know anyone: victim of relationship/dating/domestic violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Total (N)	14.1%	85.9%	283

I2c: Do you know anyone: victim of relationship/dating/domestic violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Gender*			
.....Male	9.6%	90.4%	178
.....Female	21.1%	78.9%	90

I2c: Do you know anyone: victim of relationship/dating/domestic violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity			
.....White/Caucasian	15.3%	84.7%	202
.....Students of Color	11.1%	88.9%	81

I2c: Do you know anyone: victim of relationship/dating/domestic violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background			
.....Poor/Working class	10.0%	90.0%	50
.....Middle class	11.9%	88.1%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	19.6%	80.4%	92

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 7

I2d: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Total (N)	15.5%	84.5%	283

I2d: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Gender*			
.....Male	10.1%	89.9%	178
.....Female	24.4%	75.6%	90

I2d: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity			
.....White/Caucasian	15.3%	84.7%	202
.....Students of Color	16.0%	84.0%	81

I2d: Do you know anyone: victim of sexual violence	1: Yes	2: No	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*			
.....Poor/Working class	10.0%	90.0%	50
.....Middle class	12.6%	87.4%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	23.9%	76.1%	92

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 8

I3a: How likely tell/report situation: to a friend	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.5	62.4%	25.1%	8.2%	4.3%	279

I3a: How likely tell/report situation: to a friend	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.4	58.5%	26.7%	9.7%	5.1%	176
.....Female	3.6	73.9%	19.3%	4.5%	2.3%	88

I3a: How likely tell/report situation: to a friend	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.4	61.4%	25.9%	8.1%	4.6%	197
.....Students of Color	3.5	64.6%	23.2%	8.5%	3.7%	82

I3a: How likely tell/report situation: to a friend	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*						
.....Poor/Working class	3.1	49.0%	23.5%	15.7%	11.8%	51
.....Middle class	3.5	64.9%	26.1%	6.0%	3.0%	134
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.5	66.7%	23.3%	7.8%	2.2%	90

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 9

I3b: How likely tell/report situation: to an academic advisor/staff/faculty	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.7	23.7%	34.4%	29.4%	12.5%	279

I3b: How likely tell/report situation: to an academic advisor/staff/faculty	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.7	25.1%	35.4%	26.3%	13.1%	175
.....Female	2.7	24.7%	29.2%	34.8%	11.2%	89

I3b: How likely tell/report situation: to an academic advisor/staff/faculty	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.6	22.3%	34.0%	29.4%	14.2%	197
.....Students of Color	2.8	26.8%	35.4%	29.3%	8.5%	82

I3b: How likely tell/report situation: to an academic advisor/staff/faculty	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.5	19.6%	31.4%	31.4%	17.6%	51
.....Middle class	2.8	26.7%	37.0%	26.7%	9.6%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.6	22.5%	31.5%	31.5%	14.6%	89

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 10

I3c: How likely tell/report situation: to a Residence Hall Advisor	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.8	30.1%	33.0%	22.6%	14.3%	279

I3c: How likely tell/report situation: to a Residence Hall Advisor	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.7	30.3%	29.7%	24.6%	15.4%	175
.....Female	2.9	32.6%	36.0%	19.1%	12.4%	89

I3c: How likely tell/report situation: to a Residence Hall Advisor	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.7	26.4%	35.0%	21.8%	16.8%	197
.....Students of Color	3.0	39.0%	28.0%	24.4%	8.5%	82

I3c: How likely tell/report situation: to a Residence Hall Advisor	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*						
.....Poor/Working class	2.7	23.5%	39.2%	23.5%	13.7%	51
.....Middle class	3.0	39.3%	28.9%	20.7%	11.1%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.6	19.1%	37.1%	24.7%	19.1%	89

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 11

I3d: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Women's Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.6	22.7%	28.8%	29.5%	19.1%	278

I3d: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Women's Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.4	17.8%	27.0%	33.3%	21.8%	174
.....Female	2.9	32.6%	32.6%	22.5%	12.4%	89

I3d: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Women's Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.5	19.4%	29.1%	29.1%	22.4%	196
.....Students of Color	2.8	30.5%	28.0%	30.5%	11.0%	82

I3d: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Women's Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.5	21.6%	25.5%	33.3%	19.6%	51
.....Middle class	2.6	26.7%	27.4%	28.1%	17.8%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.5	18.2%	33.0%	28.4%	20.5%	88

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 12

I3e: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU OIED/EOO	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.2	11.6%	24.9%	38.6%	24.9%	277

I3e: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU OIED/EOO	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.2	11.5%	26.4%	37.4%	24.7%	174
.....Female	2.2	12.4%	20.2%	43.8%	23.6%	89

I3e: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU OIED/EOO	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*						
.....White/Caucasian	2.1	9.2%	23.5%	38.3%	29.1%	196
.....Students of Color	2.5	17.3%	28.4%	39.5%	14.8%	81

I3e: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU OIED/EOO	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.2	10.2%	24.5%	36.7%	28.6%	49
.....Middle class	2.3	14.1%	28.1%	36.3%	21.5%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.1	9.0%	20.2%	42.7%	28.1%	89

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 13

I3f: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Counseling Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.9	29.1%	41.0%	20.9%	9.0%	278

I3f: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Counseling Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	2.8	24.7%	40.2%	27.0%	8.0%	174
.....Female	3.1	38.2%	44.9%	7.9%	9.0%	89

I3f: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Counseling Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.9	28.6%	43.9%	17.9%	9.7%	196
.....Students of Color	2.9	30.5%	34.1%	28.0%	7.3%	82

I3f: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Counseling Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.6	24.0%	28.0%	32.0%	16.0%	50
.....Middle class	3.0	31.1%	42.2%	19.3%	7.4%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.0	29.2%	46.1%	16.9%	7.9%	89

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 14

I3g: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Student Health Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.7	23.7%	36.3%	27.0%	12.9%	278

I3g: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Student Health Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.6	20.1%	33.9%	32.2%	13.8%	174
.....Female	3.0	31.5%	41.6%	18.0%	9.0%	89

I3g: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Student Health Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.7	21.9%	39.8%	24.0%	14.3%	196
.....Students of Color	2.7	28.0%	28.0%	34.1%	9.8%	82

I3g: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Student Health Center	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.5	22.0%	28.0%	30.0%	20.0%	50
.....Middle class	2.8	26.7%	35.6%	26.7%	11.1%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.7	20.2%	41.6%	25.8%	12.4%	89

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 15

I3h: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Office of Student Conduct	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.8	26.6%	36.7%	25.5%	11.2%	278

I3h: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Office of Student Conduct	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.7	24.1%	35.1%	29.9%	10.9%	174
.....Female	2.9	31.5%	40.4%	18.0%	10.1%	89

I3h: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Office of Student Conduct	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.8	27.0%	37.2%	23.5%	12.2%	196
.....Students of Color	2.8	25.6%	35.4%	30.5%	8.5%	82

I3h: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Office of Student Conduct	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.5	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%	20.0%	50
.....Middle class	2.9	27.4%	40.7%	23.7%	8.1%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.8	29.2%	34.8%	24.7%	11.2%	89

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 16

I3i: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Campus Police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.4	58.4%	30.5%	5.7%	5.4%	279

I3i: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Campus Police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.4	60.0%	28.6%	4.6%	6.9%	175
.....Female	3.5	59.6%	31.5%	6.7%	2.2%	89

I3i: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Campus Police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.4	57.9%	32.0%	6.1%	4.1%	197
.....Students of Color	3.4	59.8%	26.8%	4.9%	8.5%	82

I3i: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU Campus Police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.3	48.0%	36.0%	10.0%	6.0%	50
.....Middle class	3.5	62.2%	27.4%	4.4%	5.9%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.5	58.9%	32.2%	5.6%	3.3%	90

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 17

I3j: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU State Ombuds	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.4	14.9%	30.9%	34.9%	19.3%	275

I3j: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU State Ombuds	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.4	16.2%	30.6%	34.1%	19.1%	173
.....Female	2.4	13.8%	31.0%	35.6%	19.5%	87

I3j: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU State Ombuds	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.3	12.9%	30.4%	35.1%	21.6%	194
.....Students of Color	2.6	19.8%	32.1%	34.6%	13.6%	81

I3j: How likely tell/report situation: to NCSU State Ombuds	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.3	14.3%	26.5%	36.7%	22.4%	49
.....Middle class	2.5	17.3%	31.6%	32.3%	18.8%	133
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.3	11.2%	31.5%	38.2%	19.1%	89

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 18

I3k: How likely tell/report situation: to city/town police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.0	34.2%	36.0%	22.3%	7.6%	278

I3k: How likely tell/report situation: to city/town police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.0	34.5%	37.9%	20.1%	7.5%	174
.....Female	2.9	31.5%	33.7%	28.1%	6.7%	89

I3k: How likely tell/report situation: to city/town police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.0	35.7%	36.2%	19.4%	8.7%	196
.....Students of Color	2.9	30.5%	35.4%	29.3%	4.9%	82

I3k: How likely tell/report situation: to city/town police	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.9	40.0%	28.0%	18.0%	14.0%	50
.....Middle class	3.0	36.3%	34.1%	22.2%	7.4%	135
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.9	28.1%	42.7%	24.7%	4.5%	89

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 19

I3l: How likely tell/report situation: to parent(s)/guardian(s)	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.9	35.1%	31.2%	22.1%	11.6%	276

I3l: How likely tell/report situation: to parent(s)/guardian(s)	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	2.8	27.2%	35.8%	25.4%	11.6%	173
.....Female	3.1	51.1%	21.6%	17.0%	10.2%	88

I3l: How likely tell/report situation: to parent(s)/guardian(s)	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.9	33.0%	32.5%	22.2%	12.4%	194
.....Students of Color	3.0	40.2%	28.0%	22.0%	9.8%	82

I3l: How likely tell/report situation: to parent(s)/guardian(s)	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*						
.....Poor/Working class	2.6	34.0%	20.0%	20.0%	26.0%	50
.....Middle class	2.9	35.1%	32.1%	25.4%	7.5%	134
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.0	36.4%	35.2%	18.2%	10.2%	88

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 20

I3m: How likely tell/report situation: to other	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.0	16.7%	14.3%	20.2%	48.8%	84

I3m: How likely tell/report situation: to other	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.0	17.2%	17.2%	17.2%	48.3%	58
.....Female	1.9	15.8%	5.3%	31.6%	47.4%	19

I3m: How likely tell/report situation: to other	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	1.9	14.5%	12.9%	19.4%	53.2%	62
.....Students of Color	2.3	22.7%	18.2%	22.7%	36.4%	22

I3m: How likely tell/report situation: to other	Mean Rating	4: Very likely	3: Somewhat likely	2: Not very likely	1: Not at all likely	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	1.9	16.7%	11.1%	16.7%	55.6%	18
.....Middle class	2.1	18.6%	18.6%	20.9%	41.9%	43
.....Upper middle/Upper class	1.8	13.0%	8.7%	21.7%	56.5%	23

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 21

I4: If another student was in danger, knowledge/skills to intervene?	Mean Rating	3: Definitely	2: Maybe	1: No	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.4	44.9%	52.6%	2.5%	285

I4: If another student was in danger, knowledge/skills to intervene?	Mean Rating	3: Definitely	2: Maybe	1: No	Total (N)
Gender*					
.....Male	2.5	48.0%	50.8%	1.1%	177
.....Female	2.3	35.2%	59.3%	5.5%	91

I4: If another student was in danger, knowledge/skills to intervene?	Mean Rating	3: Definitely	2: Maybe	1: No	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
.....White/Caucasian	2.5	47.3%	50.7%	2.0%	203
.....Students of Color	2.4	39.0%	57.3%	3.7%	82

I4: If another student was in danger, knowledge/skills to intervene?	Mean Rating	3: Definitely	2: Maybe	1: No	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
.....Poor/Working class	2.4	50.0%	44.2%	5.8%	52
.....Middle class	2.4	46.3%	51.5%	2.2%	136
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.4	40.2%	58.7%	1.1%	92

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 22

I5: Familiarity with NCSU's policies on sexual harrassment prevention/resolution?	Mean Rating	4: Very familiar	3: Somewhat familiar	2: Not very familiar	1: Not at all familiar	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.7	15.1%	48.9%	28.2%	7.7%	284

I5: Familiarity with NCSU's policies on sexual harrassment prevention/resolution?	Mean Rating	4: Very familiar	3: Somewhat familiar	2: Not very familiar	1: Not at all familiar	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	2.7	18.0%	45.5%	29.2%	7.3%	178
.....Female	2.7	7.8%	56.7%	28.9%	6.7%	90

I5: Familiarity with NCSU's policies on sexual harrassment prevention/resolution?	Mean Rating	4: Very familiar	3: Somewhat familiar	2: Not very familiar	1: Not at all familiar	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	2.8	17.3%	48.0%	27.2%	7.4%	202
.....Students of Color	2.6	9.8%	51.2%	30.5%	8.5%	82

I5: Familiarity with NCSU's policies on sexual harrassment prevention/resolution?	Mean Rating	4: Very familiar	3: Somewhat familiar	2: Not very familiar	1: Not at all familiar	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	2.6	13.5%	46.2%	25.0%	15.4%	52
.....Middle class	2.8	17.6%	48.5%	26.5%	7.4%	136
.....Upper middle/Upper class	2.8	13.0%	53.3%	29.3%	4.3%	92

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 23

I6a: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are available/easy to find	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.1	27.7%	53.2%	16.0%	3.0%	231

I6a: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are available/easy to find	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.1	30.6%	52.8%	13.9%	2.8%	144
.....Female	2.9	18.7%	58.7%	20.0%	2.7%	75

I6a: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are available/easy to find	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.0	27.5%	51.5%	16.8%	4.2%	167
.....Students of Color	3.1	28.1%	57.8%	14.1%	.	64

I6a: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are available/easy to find	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.1	29.3%	56.1%	9.8%	4.9%	41
.....Middle class	3.1	27.0%	53.9%	16.5%	2.6%	115
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.1	28.4%	51.4%	17.6%	2.7%	74

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 24

I6b: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are easy to understand	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.2	36.2%	45.9%	16.3%	1.5%	196

I6b: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are easy to understand	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.2	38.9%	43.7%	16.7%	0.8%	126
.....Female	3.1	27.6%	51.7%	19.0%	1.7%	58

I6b: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are easy to understand	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.2	39.0%	41.2%	17.6%	2.2%	136
.....Students of Color	3.2	30.0%	56.7%	13.3%	.	60

I6b: NCSU's policies regarding sexual harassment are easy to understand	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.2	36.4%	51.5%	12.1%	.	33
.....Middle class	3.1	34.7%	46.5%	17.8%	1.0%	101
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.2	39.3%	42.6%	14.8%	3.3%	61

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 25

I6c: NCSU encourages victims to report harassment/stalking/relat and/or sexual violence	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.5	55.5%	36.2%	7.9%	0.4%	254

I6c: NCSU encourages victims to report harassment/stalking/relat and/or sexual violence	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Gender*						
.....Male	3.5	58.1%	38.1%	3.8%	.	160
.....Female	3.3	48.8%	34.1%	15.9%	1.2%	82

I6c: NCSU encourages victims to report harassment/stalking/relat and/or sexual violence	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.5	56.9%	33.7%	8.8%	0.6%	181
.....Students of Color	3.5	52.1%	42.5%	5.5%	.	73

I6c: NCSU encourages victims to report harassment/stalking/relat and/or sexual violence	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....**Poor/Working class**	3.5	66.0%	23.4%	8.5%	2.1%	47
.....**Middle class**	3.4	51.2%	40.0%	8.8%	.	125
.....**Upper middle/Upper class**	3.5	57.0%	38.0%	5.1%	.	79

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 26

I6d: NCSU offers victims adequate support, resources, and services	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.4	53.0%	39.3%	4.6%	3.2%	219

I6d: NCSU offers victims adequate support, resources, and services	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Gender						
.....Male	3.5	54.4%	39.7%	3.7%	2.2%	136
.....Female	3.3	49.3%	38.7%	6.7%	5.3%	75

I6d: NCSU offers victims adequate support, resources, and services	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
.....White/Caucasian	3.4	53.5%	36.8%	5.8%	3.9%	155
.....Students of Color	3.5	51.6%	45.3%	1.6%	1.6%	64

I6d: NCSU offers victims adequate support, resources, and services	Mean Rating	4: Strongly agree	3: Somewhat agree	2: Somewhat disagree	1: Strongly disagree	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
.....Poor/Working class	3.4	59.5%	29.7%	2.7%	8.1%	37
.....Middle class	3.5	53.6%	40.0%	4.5%	1.8%	110
.....Upper middle/Upper class	3.4	49.3%	42.3%	5.6%	2.8%	71

* Statistically significant difference (p<.05) between group
Prepared by Office of Institutional Research and Planning
Page 27