E1b: Faculty respect for minority students		4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.4	42.7%	50.8%	5.6%	0.8%	124

E1b: Faculty respect for minority students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender*						
Male	3.5	53.6%	46.4%			28
Female	3.3	38.2%	53.9%	7.9%		89

E1b: Faculty respect for minority students	Mean	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	3.4	43.3%	51.5%	4.1%	1.0%	97
Students of Color	3.3	40.7%	48.1%	11.1%		27

E1b: Faculty respect for minority students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	3.1	30.3%	54.5%	12.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	3.5	50.9%	45.6%	3.5%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.4	43.8%	53.1%	3.1%		32

E1c: Faculty respect for female students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.2	38.7%	49.2%	8.9%	3.2%	124

E1c: Faculty respect for female students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	3.5	57.1%	39.3%	3.6%		28
Female	3.1	32.6%	52.8%	11.2%	3.4%	89

E1c: Faculty respect for female students		4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	3.2	40.2%	47.4%	9.3%	3.1%	97
Students of Color	3.2	33.3%	55.6%	7.4%	3.7%	27

E1c: Faculty respect for female students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	3.1	33.3%	51.5%	6.1%	9.1%	33
Middle class	3.3	47.4%	40.4%	10.5%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.3	31.3%	62.5%	6.3%		32

E1e: Student respect for minority faculty		4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.9	24.2%	50.8%	18.5%	6.5%	124

E1e: Student respect for minority faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender*						
Male	3.0	42.9%	25.0%	21.4%	10.7%	28
Female	2.9	18.0%	60.7%	16.9%	4.5%	89

E1e: Student respect for minority faculty		4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	3.0	24.7%	52.6%	18.6%	4.1%	97
Students of Color	2.7	22.2%	44.4%	18.5%	14.8%	27

E1e: Student respect for minority faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	2.9	21.2%	54.5%	15.2%	9.1%	33
Middle class	3.0	29.8%	47.4%	15.8%	7.0%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	2.9	18.8%	53.1%	28.1%		32

E1f: Student respect for female faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.2	35.5%	47.6%	13.7%	3.2%	124

E1f: Student respect for female faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender*						
Male	3.4	53.6%	28.6%	17.9%		28
Female	3.1	30.3%	55.1%	12.4%	2.2%	89

E1f: Student respect for female faculty		4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	3.2	37.1%	45.4%	14.4%	3.1%	97
Students of Color	3.1	29.6%	55.6%	11.1%	3.7%	27

E1f: Student respect for female faculty	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	3.0	24.2%	57.6%	15.2%	3.0%	33
Middle class	3.2	42.1%	42.1%	12.3%	3.5%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.2	37.5%	46.9%	12.5%	3.1%	32

E1g: Student respect for students of different race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.8	20.2%	52.4%	18.5%	8.9%	124

E1g: Student respect for students of different race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	2.9	35.7%	32.1%	21.4%	10.7%	28
Female	2.8	15.7%	60.7%	15.7%	7.9%	89

E1g: Student respect for students of different race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	2.8	19.6%	54.6%	16.5%	9.3%	97
Students of Color	2.8	22.2%	44.4%	25.9%	7.4%	27

E1g: Student respect for students of different race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	2.8	15.2%	54.5%	21.2%	9.1%	33
Middle class	2.9	26.3%	49.1%	15.8%	8.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	2.8	15.6%	56.3%	21.9%	6.3%	32

E1h: Student respect for students of different sexual orientation	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.7	14.5%	48.4%	27.4%	9.7%	124

E1h: Student respect for students of different sexual orientation	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	2.7	17.9%	42.9%	32.1%	7.1%	28
Female	2.7	13.5%	51.7%	25.8%	9.0%	89

E1h: Student respect for students of different sexual orientation	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	2.7	13.4%	49.5%	26.8%	10.3%	97
Students of Color	2.7	18.5%	44.4%	29.6%	7.4%	27

E1h: Student respect for students of different sexual orientation	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	2.6	9.1%	54.5%	24.2%	12.1%	33
Middle class	2.7	19.3%	43.9%	26.3%	10.5%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	2.7	12.5%	50.0%	34.4%	3.1%	32

E1i: Friendships between students of different racial/ethnic groups		4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.1	31.5%	47.6%	16.9%	4.0%	124

E1i: Friendships between students of different racial/ethnic groups	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender*						
Male	3.2	42.9%	35.7%	21.4%		28
Female	3.1	28.1%	52.8%	15.7%	3.4%	89

E1i: Friendships between students of different racial/ethnic groups	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	3.1	33.0%	47.4%	16.5%	3.1%	97
Students of Color	2.9	25.9%	48.1%	18.5%	7.4%	27

E1i: Friendships between students of different racial/ethnic groups	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	3.0	30.3%	45.5%	15.2%	9.1%	33
Middle class	3.1	36.8%	42.1%	19.3%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.1	25.0%	59.4%	12.5%	3.1%	32

E1j: Friendships between heterosexual and GLBT students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.9	19.4%	50.8%	26.6%	3.2%	124

E1j: Friendships between heterosexual and GLBT students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	2.9	17.9%	50.0%	32.1%		28
Female	2.9	19.1%	53.9%	23.6%	3.4%	89

E1j: Friendships between heterosexual and GLBT students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	2.9	18.6%	54.6%	23.7%	3.1%	97
Students of Color	2.8	22.2%	37.0%	37.0%	3.7%	27

E1j: Friendships between heterosexual and GLBT students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	2.6	15.2%	42.4%	33.3%	9.1%	33
Middle class	2.9	21.1%	50.9%	26.3%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.0	21.9%	59.4%	18.8%		32

E1k: Student respect for transgender students		4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.5	10.6%	42.3%	32.5%	14.6%	123

E1k: Student respect for transgender students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	2.5	14.3%	35.7%	39.3%	10.7%	28
Female	2.5	9.1%	45.5%	31.8%	13.6%	88

E1k: Student respect for transgender students	Mean	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	2.5	9.4%	40.6%	36.5%	13.5%	96
Students of Color	2.6	14.8%	48.1%	18.5%	18.5%	27

E1k: Student respect for transgender students	Mean Rating	4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Fair	1: Poor	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	2.4	3.1%	50.0%	34.4%	12.5%	32
Middle class	2.6	15.8%	40.4%	29.8%	14.0%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	2.4	9.4%	37.5%	37.5%	15.6%	32

E2a: NCSU Supportiveness: African American students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.1	35.5%	47.6%	12.9%	4.0%	124

E2a: NCSU Supportiveness: African American students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	4.1	42.9%	32.1%	21.4%	3.6%	28
Female	4.1	32.6%	52.8%	10.1%	4.5%	89

E2a: NCSU Supportiveness: African American students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	4.2	36.1%	48.5%	13.4%	2.1%	97
Students of Color	4.0	33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	27

E2a: NCSU Supportiveness: African American students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	4.1	27.3%	60.6%	9.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	4.2	40.4%	43.9%	10.5%	5.3%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	34.4%	43.8%	21.9%	-	32

E2b: NCSU Supportiveness: Asian students				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.0	27.4%	48.4%	18.5%	5.6%	124

E2b: NCSU Supportiveness: Asian students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	4.1	39.3%	35.7%	25.0%		28
Female	3.9	23.6%	53.9%	15.7%	6.7%	89

E2b: NCSU Supportiveness: Asian students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	4.1	29.9%	49.5%	17.5%	3.1%	97
Students of Color	3.7	18.5%	44.4%	22.2%	14.8%	27

E2b: NCSU Supportiveness: Asian students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	3.8	24.2%	45.5%	21.2%	9.1%	33
Middle class	4.0	29.8%	47.4%	17.5%	5.3%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	28.1%	53.1%	18.8%		32

E2c: NCSU Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.9	23.4%	48.4%	23.4%	4.8%	124

E2c: NCSU Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	4.0	32.1%	39.3%	28.6%		28
Female	3.9	22.5%	50.6%	20.2%	6.7%	89

E2c: NCSU Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	3.9	23.7%	47.4%	24.7%	4.1%	97
Students of Color	3.9	22.2%	51.9%	18.5%	7.4%	27

E2c: NCSU Supportiveness: Hispanic/Latino students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	3.8	15.2%	54.5%	21.2%	9.1%	33
Middle class	4.1	29.8%	47.4%	21.1%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.8	21.9%	43.8%	31.3%	3.1%	32

E2d: NCSU Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.9	25.0%	45.2%	26.6%	2.4%	0.8%	124

E2d: NCSU Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	3.9	32.1%	28.6%	35.7%	3.6%		28
Female	3.9	22.5%	50.6%	23.6%	2.2%	1.1%	89

E2d: NCSU Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	3.9	26.8%	43.3%	26.8%	2.1%	1.0%	97
Students of Color	3.9	18.5%	51.9%	25.9%	3.7%	-	27

E2d: NCSU Supportiveness: Native American/Alaska Native students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	4.0	21.2%	57.6%	18.2%	3.0%		33
Middle class	4.0	29.8%	43.9%	24.6%	1.8%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.7	21.9%	34.4%	40.6%		3.1%	32

E2e: NCSU Supportiveness: White students				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)	
Total (N)	4.2	50.0%	30.6%	11.3%	6.5%	1.6%	124	

E2e: NCSU Supportiveness: White students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
Male	3.9	46.4%	17.9%	21.4%	10.7%	3.6%	28
Female	4.3	51.7%	33.7%	9.0%	5.6%	-	89

E2e: NCSU Supportiveness: White students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*							
White/Caucasian	4.1	43.3%	35.1%	11.3%	8.2%	2.1%	97
Students of Color	4.6	74.1%	14.8%	11.1%	-	-	27

E2e: NCSU Supportiveness: White students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.9	39.4%	33.3%	12.1%	12.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	4.3	50.9%	36.8%	7.0%	5.3%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	56.3%	18.8%	18.8%	3.1%	3.1%	32

E2f: NCSU Supportiveness: International students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)	
Total (N)	4.2	36.3%	47.6%	13.7%	2.4%	124	

E2f: NCSU Supportiveness: International students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	4.3	42.9%	39.3%	17.9%		28
Female	4.2	34.8%	50.6%	11.2%	3.4%	89

E2f: NCSU Supportiveness: International students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	4.3	39.2%	48.5%	11.3%	1.0%	97
Students of Color	3.9	25.9%	44.4%	22.2%	7.4%	27

E2f: NCSU Supportiveness: International students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	3.9	24.2%	51.5%	18.2%	6.1%	33
Middle class	4.2	38.6%	49.1%	10.5%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	4.3	40.6%	43.8%	15.6%		32

E2g: NCSU Supportiveness: Female students				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.1	32.5%	51.2%	14.6%	1.6%	123

E2g: NCSU Supportiveness: Female students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	4.3	50.0%	32.1%	17.9%		28
Female	4.1	28.4%	56.8%	12.5%	2.3%	88

E2g: NCSU Supportiveness: Female students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	4.2	36.5%	46.9%	14.6%	2.1%	96
Students of Color	4.0	18.5%	66.7%	14.8%	-	27

E2g: NCSU Supportiveness: Female students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	4.1	27.3%	57.6%	12.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	4.2	35.1%	49.1%	14.0%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	35.5%	48.4%	16.1%		31

E2h: NCSU Supportiveness: Male students				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)	
Total (N)	4.2	46.0%	36.3%	12.9%	4.0%	0.8%	124	

E2h: NCSU Supportiveness: Male students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
Male	4.0	39.3%	28.6%	25.0%	7.1%		28
Female	4.3	47.2%	39.3%	10.1%	3.4%	-	89

E2h: NCSU Supportiveness: Male students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	4.2	42.3%	40.2%	11.3%	5.2%	1.0%	97
Students of Color	4.4	59.3%	22.2%	18.5%		-	27

E2h: NCSU Supportiveness: Male students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	4.0	33.3%	48.5%	9.1%	6.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	4.4	50.9%	36.8%	10.5%	1.8%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	4.1	46.9%	25.0%	21.9%	6.3%	-	32

E2i: NCSU Supportiveness: Transgender students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.6	18.5%	37.1%	31.5%	10.5%	2.4%	124

E2i: NCSU Supportiveness: Transgender students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	3.8	32.1%	25.0%	32.1%	10.7%		28
Female	3.6	15.7%	40.4%	30.3%	11.2%	2.2%	89

E2i: NCSU Supportiveness: Transgender students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	3.6	18.6%	36.1%	33.0%	10.3%	2.1%	97
Students of Color	3.6	18.5%	40.7%	25.9%	11.1%	3.7%	27

E2i: NCSU Supportiveness: Transgender students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.6	15.2%	45.5%	27.3%	9.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	3.7	22.8%	38.6%	28.1%	10.5%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.3	15.6%	25.0%	43.8%	9.4%	6.3%	32

E2j: NCSU Supportiveness: GLB students				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.9	24.2%	48.4%	21.0%	6.5%	124

E2j: NCSU Supportiveness: GLB students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	4.0	39.3%	28.6%	25.0%	7.1%	28
Female	3.9	20.2%	55.1%	18.0%	6.7%	89

E2j: NCSU Supportiveness: GLB students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	3.9	23.7%	49.5%	20.6%	6.2%	97
Students of Color	3.9	25.9%	44.4%	22.2%	7.4%	27

E2j: NCSU Supportiveness: GLB students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	3.8	21.2%	45.5%	24.2%	9.1%	33
Middle class	4.0	28.1%	49.1%	14.0%	8.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.9	21.9%	46.9%	31.3%		32

E2k: NCSU Supportiveness: Christian students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.1	37.9%	36.3%	20.2%	4.8%	0.8%	124

E2k: NCSU Supportiveness: Christian students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
Male	4.1	50.0%	17.9%	21.4%	10.7%		28
Female	4.1	33.7%	41.6%	21.3%	3.4%	-	89

E2k: NCSU Supportiveness: Christian students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	4.0	36.1%	39.2%	17.5%	6.2%	1.0%	97
Students of Color	4.1	44.4%	25.9%	29.6%	-	-	27

E2k: NCSU Supportiveness: Christian students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.8	21.2%	48.5%	21.2%	6.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	4.1	40.4%	36.8%	17.5%	5.3%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	4.2	46.9%	25.0%	25.0%	3.1%	-	32

E2I: NCSU Supportiveness: Jewish students				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.8	18.5%	45.2%	30.6%	4.8%	0.8%	124

E2I: NCSU Supportiveness: Jewish students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
Male	3.8	21.4%	39.3%	32.1%	7.1%		28
Female	3.8	18.0%	47.2%	30.3%	4.5%		89

E2I: NCSU Supportiveness: Jewish students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	3.8	19.6%	48.5%	25.8%	5.2%	1.0%	97
Students of Color	3.6	14.8%	33.3%	48.1%	3.7%	-	27

E2I: NCSU Supportiveness: Jewish students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.7	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%	6.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	3.9	19.3%	52.6%	22.8%	5.3%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.6	15.6%	34.4%	46.9%	3.1%		32

E2m: NCSU Supportiveness: Muslim students				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.8	21.1%	44.7%	26.8%	5.7%	1.6%	123

E2m: NCSU Supportiveness: Muslim students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	3.7	22.2%	40.7%	29.6%	3.7%	3.7%	27
Female	3.8	20.2%	44.9%	28.1%	5.6%	1.1%	89

E2m: NCSU Supportiveness: Muslim students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	3.8	21.9%	49.0%	21.9%	6.3%	1.0%	96
Students of Color	3.6	18.5%	29.6%	44.4%	3.7%	3.7%	27

E2m: NCSU Supportiveness: Muslim students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.8	21.2%	45.5%	24.2%	9.1%		33
Middle class	3.9	25.0%	46.4%	23.2%	1.8%	3.6%	56
Upper middle/Upper class	3.6	12.5%	43.8%	34.4%	9.4%		32

E2n: NCSU Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.8	21.0%	42.7%	28.2%	7.3%	0.8%	124

E2n: NCSU Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	3.8	32.1%	25.0%	32.1%	7.1%	3.6%	28
Female	3.8	16.9%	49.4%	25.8%	7.9%		89

E2n: NCSU Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	3.8	22.7%	45.4%	23.7%	7.2%	1.0%	97
Students of Color	3.6	14.8%	33.3%	44.4%	7.4%	-	27

E2n: NCSU Supportiveness: Other Non-Christian students				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.7	15.2%	48.5%	27.3%	9.1%		33
Middle class	3.9	26.3%	47.4%	22.8%	1.8%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.5	18.8%	28.1%	40.6%	12.5%	-	32

E2o: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with disabilities	Mean	5: Strongly		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (NI)
uisabilities	Nating	Supportive	Supportive	Neutral	Nonsupportive	1 Otal (14)
Total (N)	4.1	31.7%	48.0%	18.7%	1.6%	123

E2o: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with disabilities		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	4.2	35.7%	46.4%	17.9%		28
Female	4.1	30.7%	48.9%	18.2%	2.3%	88

E2o: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with disabilities		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	4.1	30.9%	47.4%	20.6%	1.0%	97
Students of Color	4.2	34.6%	50.0%	11.5%	3.8%	26

E2o: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with disabilities		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	4.2	33.3%	51.5%	15.2%		33
Middle class	4.2	35.1%	47.4%	15.8%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.8	19.4%	48.4%	29.0%	3.2%	31

E2p: NCSU Supportiveness: Poor/working class students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.8	23.4%	41.9%	25.0%	7.3%	2.4%	124

E2p: NCSU Supportiveness: Poor/working class students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	4.0	28.6%	46.4%	17.9%	7.1%		28
Female	3.7	22.5%	41.6%	27.0%	5.6%	3.4%	89

E2p: NCSU Supportiveness: Poor/working class students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	3.8	25.8%	44.3%	20.6%	6.2%	3.1%	97
Students of Color	3.5	14.8%	33.3%	40.7%	11.1%	-	27

E2p: NCSU Supportiveness: Poor/working class students				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.5	15.2%	42.4%	21.2%	15.2%	6.1%	33
Middle class	4.0	31.6%	40.4%	22.8%	5.3%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.7	18.8%	43.8%	31.3%	3.1%	3.1%	32

E2q: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle class students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.9	28.5%	43.9%	21.1%	4.9%	1.6%	123

E2q: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle class students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	4.1	39.3%	35.7%	17.9%	7.1%		28
Female	3.9	25.0%	47.7%	22.7%	3.4%	1.1%	88

E2q: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle class students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	3.9	29.2%	42.7%	21.9%	5.2%	1.0%	96
Students of Color	3.9	25.9%	48.1%	18.5%	3.7%	3.7%	27

E2q: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle class students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.6	9.1%	60.6%	18.2%	9.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	4.1	35.7%	37.5%	23.2%	3.6%		56
Upper middle/Upper class	4.0	34.4%	37.5%	21.9%	3.1%	3.1%	32

E2r: NCSU Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.2	41.5%	40.7%	13.0%	3.3%	1.6%	123

E2r: NCSU Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender*							
Male	4.0	42.9%	25.0%	21.4%	7.1%	3.6%	28
Female	4.3	40.9%	46.6%	11.4%	1.1%		88

E2r: NCSU Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	4.1	36.5%	46.9%	12.5%	2.1%	2.1%	96
Students of Color	4.3	59.3%	18.5%	14.8%	7.4%	-	27

E2r: NCSU Supportiveness: Upper class/wealthy students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	4.1	42.4%	36.4%	12.1%	6.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	4.4	42.9%	50.0%	7.1%			56
Upper middle/Upper class	3.9	34.4%	31.3%	25.0%	6.3%	3.1%	32

E2s: NCSU Supportiveness: Nontraditional students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.8	18.7%	52.8%	20.3%	5.7%	2.4%	123

E2s: NCSU Supportiveness: Nontraditional students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	3.7	17.9%	50.0%	17.9%	10.7%	3.6%	28
Female	3.8	19.3%	54.5%	19.3%	4.5%	2.3%	88

E2s: NCSU Supportiveness: Nontraditional students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	3.9	19.8%	56.3%	16.7%	5.2%	2.1%	96
Students of Color	3.6	14.8%	40.7%	33.3%	7.4%	3.7%	27

E2s: NCSU Supportiveness: Nontraditional students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.7	12.1%	54.5%	24.2%	6.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	4.0	23.2%	58.9%	14.3%		3.6%	56
Upper middle/Upper class	3.7	18.8%	40.6%	28.1%	12.5%		32

E2t: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with children	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.6	15.4%	42.3%	33.3%	6.5%	2.4%	123

E2t: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with children		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	3.8	21.4%	46.4%	25.0%	7.1%		28
Female	3.6	13.6%	40.9%	36.4%	5.7%	3.4%	88

E2t: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with children		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity*							
White/Caucasian	3.7	15.6%	50.0%	27.1%	5.2%	2.1%	96
Students of Color	3.3	14.8%	14.8%	55.6%	11.1%	3.7%	27

E2t: NCSU Supportiveness: Students with children		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.4	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%	6.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	3.9	23.2%	48.2%	21.4%	5.4%	1.8%	56
Upper middle/Upper class	3.4	9.4%	37.5%	43.8%	6.3%	3.1%	32

E2u: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are veterans	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	4.0	25.2%	52.0%	20.3%	1.6%	0.8%	123

E2u: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are veterans		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	3.9	25.0%	42.9%	28.6%	3.6%		28
Female	4.0	26.1%	53.4%	18.2%	1.1%	1.1%	88

E2u: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are veterans		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	4.0	27.1%	53.1%	16.7%	2.1%	1.0%	96
Students of Color	3.9	18.5%	48.1%	33.3%	-	-	27

E2u: NCSU Supportiveness: Students who are veterans				3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.8	24.2%	42.4%	27.3%	3.0%	3.0%	33
Middle class	4.1	30.4%	55.4%	12.5%	1.8%		56
Upper middle/Upper class	3.9	15.6%	59.4%	25.0%			32

E2v: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students	Mean	5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.8	24.2%	44.4%	24.2%	5.6%	1.6%	124

E2v: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	3.8	28.6%	32.1%	32.1%	7.1%		28
Female	3.9	23.6%	48.3%	21.3%	4.5%	2.2%	89

E2v: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	3.9	25.8%	45.4%	22.7%	6.2%		97
Students of Color	3.6	18.5%	40.7%	29.6%	3.7%	7.4%	27

E2v: NCSU Supportiveness: Middle Eastern students		5: Strongly supportive		3: Neutral	2: Nonsupportive	1: Very nonsupportive	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.7	24.2%	36.4%	30.3%	6.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	4.0	28.1%	49.1%	17.5%	3.5%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.8	18.8%	43.8%	31.3%	6.3%	-	32

E3a: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	85.4%	13.8%	0.8%	123

E3a: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Gender*					
Male	1.1	89.3%	10.7%		28
Female	1.1	86.4%	13.6%	-	88

E3a: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
White/Caucasian	1.1	87.5%	11.5%	1.0%	96
Students of Color	1.2	77.8%	22.2%	-	27

E3a: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
Poor/Working class	1.2	81.8%	15.2%	3.0%	33
Middle class	1.1	89.5%	10.5%	-	57
Upper middle/Upper class	1.2	81.3%	18.8%		32

E3b: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.3	75.6%	21.1%	3.3%	123

E3b: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Gender*					
Male	1.3	82.1%	10.7%	7.1%	28
Female	1.2	77.3%	21.6%	1.1%	88

E3b: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
White/Caucasian	1.3	75.0%	20.8%	4.2%	96

E3b: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
Poor/Working class	1.2	78.8%	18.2%	3.0%	33
Middle class	1.2	78.9%	19.3%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	1.4	65.6%	28.1%	6.3%	32

E3c: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	82.1%	14.6%	2.4%	0.8%	123

E3c: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	1.1	92.9%	7.1%			28
Female	1.2	81.8%	14.8%	2.3%	1.1%	88

E3c: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	1.2	85.4%	11.5%	2.1%	1.0%	96
Students of Color	1.3	70.4%	25.9%	3.7%		27

E3c: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd			2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	1.4	72.7%	18.2%	6.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	1.1	89.5%	8.8%	1.8%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	1.2	78.1%	21.9%	-		32

E3d: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.4	68.3%	24.4%	7.3%	123

E3d: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Gender					
Male	1.3	75.0%	25.0%		28
Female	1.4	69.3%	21.6%	9.1%	88

E3d: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
White/Caucasian	1.3	71.9%	21.9%	6.3%	96
Students of Color	1.6	55.6%	33.3%	11.1%	27

E3d: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
Poor/Working class	1.4	66.7%	27.3%	6.1%	33
Middle class	1.4	73.7%	17.5%	8.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	1.5	59.4%	34.4%	6.3%	32

E3e: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.5	63.4%	24.4%	9.8%	1.6%	0.8%	123

E3e: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally		5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	1.3	75.0%	21.4%	3.6%			28
Female	1.6	62.5%	22.7%	11.4%	2.3%	1.1%	88

E3e: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	1.5	64.6%	25.0%	8.3%	1.0%	1.0%	96
Students of Color	1.6	59.3%	22.2%	14.8%	3.7%		27

E3e: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	1.6	57.6%	27.3%	15.2%			33
Middle class	1.5	63.2%	24.6%	8.8%	3.5%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	1.5	68.8%	21.9%	6.3%		3.1%	32

E3f: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.3	74.8%	19.5%	4.9%	0.8%	123

E3f: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	1.3	82.1%	10.7%	7.1%		28
Female	1.3	75.0%	20.5%	3.4%	1.1%	88

E3f: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	1.3	75.0%	17.7%	6.3%	1.0%	96
Students of Color	1.3	74.1%	25.9%	-		27

E3f: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	1.3	75.8%	18.2%	3.0%	3.0%	33
Middle class	1.3	75.4%	21.1%	3.5%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	1.4	71.9%	18.8%	9.4%		32

E3g: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.4	70.7%	23.6%	4.1%	1.6%	123

E3g: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Gender*						
Male	1.2	78.6%	21.4%			28
Female	1.4	71.6%	22.7%	4.5%	1.1%	88

E3g: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	1.4	69.8%	24.0%	4.2%	2.1%	96
Students of Color	1.3	74.1%	22.2%	3.7%		27

E3g: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	1.5	66.7%	21.2%	9.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	1.2	77.2%	22.8%			57
Upper middle/Upper class	1.5	62.5%	28.1%	6.3%	3.1%	32

E3h: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.6	58.5%	26.8%	12.2%	2.4%	123

E3h: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	1.3	78.6%	17.9%	3.6%		28
Female	1.7	54.5%	28.4%	13.6%	3.4%	88

E3h: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)		1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	1.6	59.4%	25.0%	12.5%	3.1%	96
Students of Color	1.6	55.6%	33.3%	11.1%		27

E3h: Faculty/instructors make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*						
Poor/Working class	1.6	60.6%	24.2%	6.1%	9.1%	33
Middle class	1.5	57.9%	31.6%	10.5%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	1.7	56.3%	21.9%	21.9%		32

E4a: Students make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.8	48.0%	31.7%	15.4%	3.3%	1.6%	123

E4a: Students make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	1.5	64.3%	21.4%	14.3%			28
Female	1.9	43.2%	36.4%	14.8%	3.4%	2.3%	88

E4a: Students make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating			3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	1.8	47.9%	33.3%	15.6%	2.1%	1.0%	96
Students of Color	1.9	48.1%	25.9%	14.8%	7.4%	3.7%	27

E4a: Students make inappropriate comments about disability status				3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	1.9	48.5%	27.3%	12.1%	9.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	1.7	49.1%	33.3%	14.0%	1.8%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	1.7	46.9%	34.4%	18.8%			32

E4b: Students make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity			2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.6	18.7%	27.6%	32.5%	14.6%	6.5%	123

E4b: Students make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating			3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	2.8	17.9%	14.3%	42.9%	21.4%	3.6%	28
Female	2.6	18.2%	31.8%	30.7%	12.5%	6.8%	88

E4b: Students make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	2.6	17.7%	27.1%	34.4%	14.6%	6.3%	96
Students of Color	2.6	22.2%	29.6%	25.9%	14.8%	7.4%	27

E4b: Students make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often		Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	2.5	27.3%	24.2%	24.2%	18.2%	6.1%	33
Middle class	2.5	19.3%	31.6%	35.1%	10.5%	3.5%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	2.9	9.4%	25.0%	37.5%	18.8%	9.4%	32

E4c: Students make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.2	35.0%	24.4%	27.6%	9.8%	3.3%	123

E4c: Students make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	2.1	46.4%	14.3%	28.6%	7.1%	3.6%	28
Female	2.3	30.7%	29.5%	26.1%	10.2%	3.4%	88

E4c: Students make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating			3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	2.2	36.5%	24.0%	29.2%	8.3%	2.1%	96
Students of Color	2.4	29.6%	25.9%	22.2%	14.8%	7.4%	27

E4c: Students make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating			3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	2.2	36.4%	27.3%	24.2%	9.1%	3.0%	33
Middle class	2.2	38.6%	22.8%	22.8%	14.0%	1.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	2.3	28.1%	25.0%	40.6%	3.1%	3.1%	32

E4d: Students make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	3.0	11.4%	18.7%	41.5%	20.3%	8.1%	123

E4d: Students make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity				3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	2.9	14.3%	10.7%	46.4%	25.0%	3.6%	28
Female	3.0	10.2%	20.5%	42.0%	17.0%	10.2%	88

E4d: Students make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	2.9	13.5%	18.8%	40.6%	20.8%	6.3%	96
Students of Color	3.2	3.7%	18.5%	44.4%	18.5%	14.8%	27

E4d: Students make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating			3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	3.0	12.1%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%	6.1%	33
Middle class	2.8	14.0%	22.8%	40.4%	14.0%	8.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.1	6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	6.3%	32

E4e: Students make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.8	13.8%	24.4%	37.4%	15.4%	8.9%	123

E4e: Students make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating			3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	2.8	14.3%	21.4%	42.9%	17.9%	3.6%	28
Female	2.9	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	13.6%	11.4%	88

E4e: Students make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	2.8	15.6%	22.9%	38.5%	16.7%	6.3%	96
Students of Color	3.0	7.4%	29.6%	33.3%	11.1%	18.5%	27

E4e: Students make inappropriate comments about religion				3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	2.7	15.2%	27.3%	36.4%	15.2%	6.1%	33
Middle class	2.8	15.8%	22.8%	35.1%	17.5%	8.8%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	2.9	9.4%	25.0%	43.8%	12.5%	9.4%	32

E4f: Students make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation			2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.8	13.1%	24.6%	34.4%	21.3%	6.6%	122

E4f: Students make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	3.0	10.7%	14.3%	42.9%	25.0%	7.1%	28
Female	2.8	12.6%	28.7%	33.3%	19.5%	5.7%	87

E4f: Students make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	2.8	14.7%	23.2%	34.7%	22.1%	5.3%	95
Students of Color	3.0	7.4%	29.6%	33.3%	18.5%	11.1%	27

E4f: Students make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation				3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	2.8	18.2%	21.2%	27.3%	30.3%	3.0%	33
Middle class	2.7	14.3%	25.0%	39.3%	16.1%	5.4%	56
Upper middle/Upper class	3.0	6.3%	28.1%	34.4%	21.9%	9.4%	32

E4g: Students make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status			2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.2	35.0%	25.2%	26.8%	10.6%	2.4%	123

E4g: Students make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	2.2	35.7%	25.0%	25.0%	10.7%	3.6%	28
Female	2.2	34.1%	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%	2.3%	88

E4g: Students make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	2.2	35.4%	24.0%	27.1%	12.5%	1.0%	96
Students of Color	2.2	33.3%	29.6%	25.9%	3.7%	7.4%	27

E4g: Students make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	2.2	39.4%	21.2%	21.2%	15.2%	3.0%	33
Middle class	2.1	38.6%	24.6%	29.8%	7.0%		57
Upper middle/Upper class	2.4	25.0%	31.3%	28.1%	12.5%	3.1%	32

E4h: Students make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	2.9	17.2%	19.7%	32.0%	22.1%	9.0%	122

E4h: Students make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender							
Male	2.7	22.2%	18.5%	25.9%	29.6%	3.7%	27
Female	2.9	13.6%	21.6%	35.2%	20.5%	9.1%	88

E4h: Students make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)			2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity							
White/Caucasian	2.8	17.9%	22.1%	28.4%	24.2%	7.4%	95
Students of Color	3.0	14.8%	11.1%	44.4%	14.8%	14.8%	27

E4h: Students make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)		1: Never		3: Occasionally	4: Often	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background							
Poor/Working class	2.9	18.2%	18.2%	30.3%	24.2%	9.1%	33
Middle class	2.7	17.5%	22.8%	38.6%	15.8%	5.3%	57
Upper middle/Upper class	3.1	16.1%	16.1%	22.6%	32.3%	12.9%	31

E5a: Staff make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	85.8%	12.5%	1.7%	120

E5a: Staff make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Gender*					
Male	1.1	92.9%	7.1%		28
Female	1.2	83.7%	15.1%	1.2%	86

E5a: Staff make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
White/Caucasian	1.2	87.1%	10.8%	2.2%	93
Students of Color	1.2	81.5%	18.5%	-	27

E5a: Staff make inappropriate comments about disability status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
Poor/Working class	1.2	81.8%	12.1%	6.1%	33
Middle class	1.1	90.9%	9.1%		55
Upper middle/Upper class	1.2	80.6%	19.4%		31

E5b: Staff make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity		1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	85.8%	10.8%	3.3%	120

E5b: Staff make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Gender					
Male	1.0	96.4%	3.6%		28
Female	1.2	82.6%	14.0%	3.5%	86

E5b: Staff make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
White/Caucasian	1.2	87.1%	9.7%	3.2%	93
Students of Color	1.2	81.5%	14.8%	3.7%	27

E5b: Staff make inappropriate comments about gender/sexual identity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
Poor/Working class	1.3	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	33
Middle class	1.1	89.1%	10.9%	-	55
Upper middle/Upper class	1.2	83.9%	12.9%	3.2%	31

E5c: Staff make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	85.8%	11.7%	2.5%	120

E5c: Staff make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating			3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Gender					
Male	1.1	89.3%	10.7%		28
Female	1.2	84.9%	12.8%	2.3%	86

E5c: Staff make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
White/Caucasian	1.2	87.1%	10.8%	2.2%	93
Students of Color	1.2	81.5%	14.8%	3.7%	27

E5c: Staff make inappropriate comments about immigration bkgrnd	Mean		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*					
Poor/Working class	1.3	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	33
Middle class	1.1	90.9%	9.1%	-	55
Upper middle/Upper class	1.2	80.6%	19.4%		31

E5d: Staff make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	84.2%	12.5%	2.5%	0.8%	120

E5d: Staff make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating			3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	1.1	92.9%	7.1%			28
Female	1.2	81.4%	15.1%	2.3%	1.2%	86

E5d: Staff make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	1.2	84.9%	11.8%	2.2%	1.1%	93
Students of Color	1.2	81.5%	14.8%	3.7%		27

E5d: Staff make inappropriate comments about race/ethnicity	Mean	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background*						
Poor/Working class	1.3	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%		33
Middle class	1.1	89.1%	10.9%			55
Upper middle/Upper class	1.3	77.4%	19.4%	-	3.2%	31

E5e: Staff make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	84.2%	11.7%	3.3%	0.8%	120

E5e: Staff make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	1.1	92.9%	7.1%			28
Female	1.3	81.4%	14.0%	3.5%	1.2%	86

E5e: Staff make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	1.2	84.9%	9.7%	4.3%	1.1%	93
Students of Color	1.2	81.5%	18.5%	-		27

E5e: Staff make inappropriate comments about religion	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	1.3	78.8%	15.2%	6.1%		33
Middle class	1.1	90.9%	7.3%	1.8%		55
Upper middle/Upper class	1.4	77.4%	16.1%	3.2%	3.2%	31

E5f: Staff make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	82.5%	15.0%	2.5%	120

E5f: Staff make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating			3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Gender					
Male	1.1	89.3%	10.7%		28
Female	1.2	80.2%	17.4%	2.3%	86

E5f: Staff make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity					
White/Caucasian	1.2	82.8%	14.0%	3.2%	93
Students of Color	1.2	81.5%	18.5%	-	27

E5f: Staff make inappropriate comments about sexual orientation	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background					
Poor/Working class	1.3	78.8%	15.2%	6.1%	33
Middle class	1.1	87.3%	12.7%	-	55
Upper middle/Upper class	1.3	77.4%	19.4%	3.2%	31

E5g: Staff make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.2	80.8%	15.8%	2.5%	0.8%	120

E5g: Staff make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	1.1	89.3%	7.1%	3.6%	-	28
Female	1.3	77.9%	19.8%	1.2%	1.2%	86

E5g: Staff make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	1.3	80.6%	15.1%	3.2%	1.1%	93
Students of Color	1.2	81.5%	18.5%	-		27

E5g: Staff make inappropriate comments about socioeconomic status	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	1.3	75.8%	18.2%	6.1%		33
Middle class	1.1	89.1%	10.9%	-		55
Upper middle/Upper class	1.4	71.0%	22.6%	3.2%	3.2%	31

E5h: Staff make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating	1: Never	2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Total (N)	1.3	80.7%	15.1%	3.4%	0.8%	119

E5h: Staff make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Gender						
Male	1.1	92.9%	7.1%			28
Female	1.3	76.5%	18.8%	3.5%	1.2%	85

E5h: Staff make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)			2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Race/Ethnicity						
White/Caucasian	1.3	80.4%	15.2%	3.3%	1.1%	92
Students of Color	1.2	81.5%	14.8%	3.7%		27

E5h: Staff make inappropriate comments about sex (i.e., male, female)	Mean Rating		2: Rarely	3: Occasionally	5: Very often	Total (N)
Socioeconomic Background						
Poor/Working class	1.3	78.8%	12.1%	9.1%		33
Middle class	1.1	85.5%	14.5%			55
Upper middle/Upper class	1.4	73.3%	20.0%	3.3%	3.3%	30