

NC State University  
 AY14-15 COACHE Survey  
 Mean Ratings  
 Non-Tenure Track Faculty Only: Men vs. Women (1 = low to 5 = high)

Theme	Measure	Non-Tenure Track		
		Men	Women	Diff <sup>1</sup>
	<i>Benchmark: Nature of work: Research</i>	3.36	3.19	
	Time spent on research	3.54	3.30	
	Expectations for finding external funding	3.19	3.21	
	Influence over focus of research	4.04	4.13	
	Quality of graduate student to support research	3.62	3.46	
Nature of work: Research	Support for research	2.83	2.94	
	Support for engaging undergrads in research	3.24	3.21	
	Support for obtaining grants (pre-award)	3.10	3.16	
	Support for managing grants (post-award)	3.07	3.41	↓
	Support for securing grad student assistance	2.87	3.13	↓
	Support for travel to present/conduct research	3.23	2.99	↑
	Availability of course release for research	2.94	2.42	↑
		<i>Benchmark: Nature of work: Service</i>	3.65	3.60
	Time spent on service	3.94	3.91	
	Support for faculty in leadership roles	3.31	3.36	
Nature of work: Service	Number of committees	3.89	3.72	
	Attractiveness of committees	3.63	3.65	
	Discretion to choose committees	3.66	3.51	
	Equitability of committee assignments	3.33	3.22	
	Number of student advisees	4.00	3.82	
		<i>Benchmark: Nature of work: Teaching</i>	3.81	3.96
	Time spent on teaching	4.32	4.23	
	Number of courses taught	3.87	4.05	
Nature of work: Teaching	Level of courses taught	3.90	4.19	↓
	Discretion over course content	4.19	4.41	
	Number of students in classes taught	3.85	3.82	
	Quality of students taught	3.71	3.99	↓
	Equitability of distribution of teaching load	3.07	3.12	
	Quality of grad students to support teaching	3.26	3.82	↓
Other Work Activities	Time spent on outreach	3.84	3.83	
	Time spent on administrative tasks	3.37	3.60	
	Ability to balance teaching/research/service	3.85	3.82	

NC State University  
 AY14-15 COACHE Survey  
 Mean Ratings  
 Non-Tenure Track Faculty Only: Men vs. Women (1 = low to 5 = high)

Theme	Measure	Non-Tenure Track		
		Men	Women	Diff <sup>1</sup>
Facilities and work resources	<i>Benchmark: Facilities and work resources</i>	3.72	3.88	
	Support for improving teaching	3.44	3.74	↓
	Office	3.73	3.92	
	Laboratory, research, or studio space	3.44	3.60	
	Equipment	3.72	3.91	
	Classrooms	3.53	3.79	↓
	Library resources	4.07	4.31	
	Computing and technical support	3.87	3.89	
	Clerical/administrative support	3.63	3.74	
Personal and family policies	<i>Benchmark: Personal and family policies</i>	3.47	3.35	
	Housing benefits	2.21	2.93	↓
	Tuition waivers, remission, or exchange	3.10	3.32	
	Spousal/partner hiring program	2.91	2.56	↑
	Childcare	2.56	2.05	↑
	Eldercare	3.33	3.27	
	Family medical/parental leave	3.60	3.39	
	Flexible workload/modified duties	3.75	3.68	
	Inst. does what it can for work/life compatibility	3.59	3.28	↑
Right balance between professional/personal	3.62	3.53		
Health and retirement benefits	<i>Benchmark: Health and retirement benefits</i>	3.34	3.64	↓
	Health benefits for yourself	3.52	3.86	↓
	Health benefits for your family (i.e. spouse, dependents, etc)	3.00	3.07	
	Retirement benefits	3.46	3.76	↓
	Phased retirement options	3.03	3.30	↓
	Salary	2.84	2.89	
Interdisciplinary work	<i>Benchmark: Interdisciplinary work</i>	2.93	3.06	
	Budget encourages interdisciplinary work	2.66	3.04	↓
	Facilities are conducive to interdisciplinary work	3.03	3.09	
	Interdiscip. work is rewarded in merit	2.43	2.98	↓
	Interdiscip. work is rewarded in promotion	2.42	3.06	↓
	Dept. knows how to evaluate interdiscip. work	2.87	2.96	
	Interdiscip. work is rewarded in reappointment process	2.60	3.08	↓

NC State University  
 AY14-15 COACHE Survey  
 Mean Ratings  
 Non-Tenure Track Faculty Only: Men vs. Women (1 = low to 5 = high)

Theme	Measure	Non-Tenure Track		
		Men	Women	Diff <sup>1</sup>
Collaboration	<i>Benchmark: Collaboration</i>	3.70	3.44	↑
	Opportunities for collab. within dept.	3.90	3.70	
	Opportunities for collab. outside dept.	3.51	3.39	
	Opportunities for collab. outside inst.	3.60	3.21	↑
Mentoring	<i>Benchmark: Mentoring</i>	3.14	3.24	
	Effectiveness of mentoring from within dept.	4.00	3.95	
	Effectiveness of mentoring from outside dept.	3.44	3.74	↓
	Support for faculty to be good mentors	2.50	2.47	
	Being a mentor is fulfilling	4.18	4.16	
	Importance of mentoring within dept.	4.24	4.27	
	Importance of mentoring outside dept.	3.31	3.75	↓
	Importance of mentoring outside instit.	3.27	3.43	
	Effectiveness of mentoring outside instit.	3.51	3.67	
Effectiveness of mentoring of NTT faculty	2.45	2.40		
Promotion	<i>Benchmark: Promotion</i>	N/A	N/A	
	Clarity of promotion process	2.67	2.53	
	Clarity of promotion criteria	2.74	2.46	↑
	Clarity of promotion standards	2.57	2.43	
	Clarity of body of evidence for promotion	2.85	2.52	↑
	Clarity of whether I will be promoted	2.70	2.56	
	Clarity of contract renewal process	3.32	3.12	
	Clarity of contract renewal criteria	3.36	3.00	↑
	Clarity of contract renewal standards	3.21	2.87	↑
	Clarity of body of evidence for contract renewal	3.44	3.07	↑
	Clarity of whether my contract will be renewed	3.41	3.37	
Institutional Governance and Leadership	Priorities are stated consistently	3.09	3.28	
	Priorities are acted on consistently	2.91	2.98	
	Changed priorities negatively affect my work**	2.60	2.92	↓

NC State University  
 AY14-15 COACHE Survey  
 Mean Ratings  
 Non-Tenure Track Faculty Only: Men vs. Women (1 = low to 5 = high)

Theme	Measure	Non-Tenure Track		
		Men	Women	Diff <sup>1</sup>
Leadership: Senior	<i>Benchmark: Leadership: Senior</i>	3.25	3.43	
	Pres/Chancellor: Pace of decision making	3.27	3.48	
	Pres/Chancellor: Stated priorities	3.31	3.51	
	Pres/Chancellor: Communication of priorities	3.25	3.56	↓
	CAO: Ensuring faculty input	N/A	N/A	
	CAO: Pace of decision making	3.18	3.36	
	CAO: Stated priorities	3.20	3.35	
	CAO: Communication of priorities	3.19	3.33	
	CAO: Support in adapting to change	N/A	N/A	
Leadership: Divisional	<i>Benchmark: Leadership: Divisional</i>	3.03	3.25	
	Dean: Pace of decision making	3.03	3.26	
	Dean: Stated priorities	3.06	3.31	↓
	Dean: Communication of priorities	3.00	3.30	↓
	Dean: Ensuring faculty input	2.97	3.12	
	Dean: Support in adapting to change	2.32	2.37	
Leadership: Departmental	<i>Benchmark: Leadership: Departmental</i>	3.83	3.59	
	Head/Chair: Pace of decision making	3.78	3.58	
	Head/Chair: Stated priorities	3.83	3.53	↑
	Head/Chair: Communication of priorities	3.85	3.57	↑
	Head/Chair: Ensuring faculty input	3.78	3.50	↑
	Head/Chair: Fairness of evaluating my work	3.90	3.82	
	Head/Chair: Support in adapting to change	3.53	3.17	↑
Departmental Collegiality	<i>Benchmark: Departmental collegiality</i>	3.90	3.66	
	Colleagues support work/life balance	4.06	3.72	↑
	Meeting times compatible with personal needs	4.36	4.04	↑
	Amount of personal interaction w/ pre-tenure	3.62	3.47	
	How well you fit	3.60	3.36	
	Amount of personal interaction w/ tenured	3.63	3.28	↑
	Colleagues pitch in when needed	3.83	3.68	
	Dept. is collegial	4.01	3.84	
	Colleagues committed to diversity/inclusion	4.13	3.90	
	Amount of personal interaction w/ NTT	3.88	3.87	

NC State University  
 AY14-15 COACHE Survey  
 Mean Ratings

Non-Tenure Track Faculty Only: Men vs. Women (1 = low to 5 = high)

Theme	Measure	Non-Tenure Track		
		Men	Women	Diff <sup>1</sup>
Departmental Engagement	<i>Benchmark: Departmental engagement</i>	3.49	3.37	
	Discussions of undergrad student learning	3.89	3.77	
	Discussions of grad student learning	2.89	2.69	
	Discussions of effective teaching practices	3.70	3.72	
	Discussions of effective uses of technology	3.63	3.52	
	Discussions of current research methods	3.15	2.89	↑
	Amount of professional interaction w/ pre-tenure	3.62	3.48	
	Amount of professional interaction w/ tenured	3.59	3.32	↑
	Amount of professional interaction w/ NTT	4.01	3.90	
Departmental Quality	<i>Benchmark: Departmental quality</i>	3.61	3.66	
	Intellectual vitality of tenured faculty	3.67	3.80	
	Intellectual vitality of pre-tenure faculty	4.20	4.14	
	Intellectual vitality of NTT faculty	4.03	4.17	
	Scholarly productivity of tenured faculty	3.70	3.79	
	Scholarly productivity of pre-tenure faculty	4.00	4.07	
	Scholarly productivity of NTT faculty	3.83	3.88	
	Teaching effectiveness of tenured faculty	3.54	3.38	
	Teaching effectiveness of pre-tenure faculty	3.79	3.81	
	Teaching effectiveness of NTT faculty	4.22	4.27	
	Dept. is successful at faculty recruitment	3.69	3.78	
	Dept. is successful at faculty retention	3.43	3.58	
	Dept. addresses sub-standard performance	2.71	2.58	

NC State University  
 AY14-15 COACHE Survey  
 Mean Ratings  
 Non-Tenure Track Faculty Only: Men vs. Women (1 = low to 5 = high)

Theme	Measure	Non-Tenure Track		
		Men	Women	Diff <sup>1</sup>
	<i>Benchmark: Appreciation and recognition</i>	3.31	3.38	
<b>Appreciation and Recognition</b>	Recognition: For teaching	3.25	3.42	
	Recognition: For advising	2.96	3.23	↓
	Recognition: For scholarship	3.18	3.24	
	Recognition: For service	3.12	3.19	
	Recognition: For outreach	3.10	3.32	
	Recognition: From colleagues	3.84	3.62	
	Recognition: From Head/Chair	3.78	3.52	↑
	CAO cares about faculty of my rank	2.57	3.21	↓
	<b>Recruitment and Retention</b>	Outside offers are not necessary in negotiations	2.46	2.68
<b>Global Satisfaction</b>	Visible leadership for support of diversity	3.97	3.94	
	I would again choose this institution	4.01	4.12	
	Department as a place to work	4.04	3.95	
	Institution as a place to work	3.82	3.91	

<sup>1</sup>Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that the individual college mean rating is notably higher than the mean of combined respondents from the other colleges, whereas down arrows indicate that the college mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.